

## MDC's Response to Mr Bradwell 11.07.2019

Mr Bradwells objection to HE1(4) have now been considered by the local planning authority and it is proposed to make the following modification to the policy, ensure that the local plan policy is in accordance with para 197 of the NPPF 2019.

### Policy HE1

#### Historic environment

1. As part of ensuring the conservation and enhancement of Mansfield district's historic environment, where a development proposal would affect the significance of a heritage asset (whether designated or non-designated), including any contribution made to its setting, it should be informed by proportionate historic environment assessments and evaluations (such as heritage impact assessments, desk-based appraisals, field evaluation and historic building reports) that:

a. identify all heritage assets likely to be affected by the proposal;

b. explain the nature and degree of any effect on elements that contribute to their significance and demonstrating how, in order of preference, any harm will be avoided, minimised or mitigated;

c. provide a clear explanation and justification for the proposal in order for the harm to be weighed against public benefits; and

d. demonstrate that all reasonable efforts have been made to sustain the existing use, find new uses or mitigate the extent of the harm to the significance of the asset; and whether the works proposed are the minimum required to secure the long term use of the asset.

2. Development proposals affecting conservation areas will be permitted where they make a positive contribution to the character, distinctiveness and appearance of the conservation area and its setting, and preserve or enhance its significance, including settlement patterns, important buildings, important spaces, landscapes, walls, trees and significant views within, into and out of the conservation area.

3. Development proposals affecting listed buildings, scheduled monuments or registered parks and gardens will be permitted where they conserve the heritage asset(s) and their settings.

4. Development affecting non-designated heritage assets (including those identified through the planning process and archaeological sites) will be considered according to the significance of the asset and the contribution that setting makes to the significance. ~~development involving loss will be resisted unless public benefits have been satisfactorily demonstrated that would outweigh the loss.~~ Where development

proposals are likely to affect non-designated archaeological sites, including sites with archaeological potential, the developer should submit sufficient information to allow the significance of the potential archaeological remains and the impacts of the proposals to be understood. Appropriate measures should be taken to ensure the protection of archaeological sites in-situ.