

Nottinghamshire Rapid Health Impact Assessment Matrix

Rapid Health Impact Assessment

Mansfield District Council Local Plan Publication Draft 2013-2033

Assessment criteria	Relevant?	Details/evidence	Potential health impact?	Recommended amendments or enhancement actions to the proposal under consideration
1. Housing quality and design				
1. Does the proposal seek to address the housing needs of the wider community by requiring provision of variation of house type that will meet the needs of older or disabled people?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Key issues - The ageing population and the need to provide housing to meet this need and needs of people living with disability.</p> <p>Objective 3 - Recognises the need for housing to meet the needs of the whole community.</p> <p>Policy H6 – Specialist Housing Sheltered and extra care accommodation for people with disability and the elderly.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	<p>Accessible housing</p> <p>It is recommended that a policy or policy objective on the accessible housing including the proportion of new homes required under category 2 and category 3 of the national technical access standards for housing published in March 2015.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Category 1: mandatory former 'Part M' Visitable Dwellings, with no significant improvements; • Category 2: Accessible & Adaptable Dwellings - broadly comparable to Lifetime Homes' standard;and, • Category 3: Wheelchair User Dwellings - broadly similar to Wheelchair Housing Design Guide standards. <p>Policy example: https://www.cornwall.gov.uk/housing/affordable-housing/guide-to-accessible-adaptable-and-wheelchair-dwellings/ Habinteg & TCPA Guidance – Towards accessible housing. A toolkit for planning policy</p>

Assessment criteria	Relevant?	Details/evidence	Potential health impact?	Recommended amendments or enhancement actions to the proposal under consideration
				https://www.habinteg.org.uk/tah-toolkit
2. Does the proposal promote development that will reduce energy requirements and living costs and ensure that homes are warm and dry in winter and cool in summer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	Objective 8 - Ensure new development minimises, and is resilient to, the adverse impacts of climate change Policy D6 – Climate Change Sustainable design and layout that maximises energy efficiency.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None.
2. Access to healthcare services and other social infrastructure				
3. Does the proposal seek to retain, replace or provide health and social care related infrastructure?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	9. Infrastructure and Facilities – Introduction – Health and social care related infrastructure listed under “social”. Policy IN1 – Infrastructure Delivery.	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	It is recommended to ensure the updated the Mansfield Infrastructure Delivery Plan includes up-to-date information on local NHS and social care infrastructure plans.
4. Does the proposal address the proposed growth/ assess the impact on healthcare services?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	No specific mention but is relevant to Policy IN1 – Infrastructure Delivery.	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	It is recommended that the impact of developments on healthcare service should be mentioned as a specific reference in Policy IN1. The work currently being undertaken with Public Health and the CCG to model healthcare needs should be incorporated into the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
5. Does the proposal explore/allow for opportunities for shared community use and co-location of services?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	No specific mention but is relevant to Policy IN1 – Infrastructure Delivery.	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	It is recommended that information on this is sort from the Local Estates Forum and included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan if applicable.
3. Access to open space and nature				
6. Does the proposal seek to retain and enhance existing and provide new open and natural	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	There is significant coverage of these issues in the Plan. These include:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral	None.

Assessment criteria	Relevant?	Details/evidence	Potential health impact?	Recommended amendments or enhancement actions to the proposal under consideration
spaces to support healthy living and physical activity?		<p>Key issues: - the local plan should aim to create a well-designed and integrated network of green infrastructure...</p> <p>Vision: - "By 2033 the district of Mansfield will have continued its transformation to a healthier, greener .. place .."</p> <p>The overall vision includes "providing attractive green infrastructure through the urban areas connecting to the wider countryside" thus promoting physical activity.</p> <p>This is also described in objective 7.</p> <p>Policy S4 – urban regeneration</p> <p>Policy D5 Comprehensive development</p> <p>Policy D6 – Climate change and new development.</p> <p>Policy IN2 – Green Infrastructure</p> <p>Policy IN3 – Protection of community open space and outdoor sports provision</p> <p>Policy IN4 – Creation of open space and outdoor sports provision in new development</p> <p>Policy IN5 - Protection and creation of allotments</p> <p>Policy IN6 - Designated local green space</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	
7. Does the proposal promote links between open and natural spaces and areas of residence, employment and commerce?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	<p>This is implicit in the vision, objective 7 and keys issues described above.</p> <p>This concept in all of the policies described above</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	As above.
8. Does the proposal seek to ensure that open and natural spaces are welcoming, safe and accessible to all?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Policy IN2 – Green Infrastructure. Access to nature is part of the function of green infrastructure for recreation and commuting. Accessibility for people with mobility issues or physical or sensory disabilities is not mentioned. Nor is safety.</p> <p>Policy IN4 – Accessibility is a criteria. 9.34</p> <p>There is a requirement for new open space provision to be accessible to people with disabilities.</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	It is recommended that accessibility for people with disabilities is included in policy IN2 as in IN4 and IN5. It is recommended that safety should be a consideration within these policies.

Assessment criteria	Relevant?	Details/evidence	Potential health impact?	Recommended amendments or enhancement actions to the proposal under consideration
		Policy IN5 – There is a requirement for allotments for people to be accessible for elderly and disabled people. There does not seem to be a consideration of safety in the above policies. But the role of artificial lighting is considered in policy NE3		
9. Does the proposal seek to provide a range of play spaces for children and young people (e.g. play pitches, play areas etc.) including provision for those that are disabled?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	Masterplans for these developments include play area provision. Policy SUE 1 - Pleasley Hill Farm. Policy SUE 3 - New Mill Lane 9. Infrastructure and facilities – Play areas are identified in the list of Green Infrastructure. Policy IN3 – Protection of community open space and outdoor sports provision. Does not mention play areas or the specific needs of children and young people or those that are disabled. Policy IN4 – New open space and outdoor sports provision. Includes play. However there is a specific mention of school playing fields.	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	It is recommended that the needs of children with disabilities are referred to in site policies including children’s play equipment, and policies relating to open space.
4. Air quality, noise and neighbourhood amenity				
10. Does the proposal seek to minimise construction impacts such as dust, noise, vibration and odours?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	Policy D8 -Amenity Policy H7 – Houses in multiple occupation and bedsit accommodation Policy – RT11 – Hot food takeaways Policy NE3 – Pollution and land instability Policy CC1 – Renewable and low carbon energy generation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None.
11. Does the proposal seek to minimise air pollution caused by traffic and employment/commercial facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	District Profile mentions air quality and noise. Policy IN2 – Green infrastructure . “ How can landscaping and layout help reduce health risks related to air pollution?	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	It is recommended that the District Profile section and evidence for policies IN8 and NE3-should reference that the District has particular matter air pollution (PM2.5) above WHO standards as described in the Nottinghamshire JSNA.

Assessment criteria	Relevant?	Details/evidence	Potential health impact?	Recommended amendments or enhancement actions to the proposal under consideration
		<p>Policy IN8 - Protecting and improving the sustainable transport network. Mention of "reduction in harmful emissions".</p> <p>Policy NE3 - Pollution and land instability – Specific mention of areas with poor air quality and the need to monitor contribution of development.</p>		<p>See Air Quality JSNA full report figure 2 & text. http://www.nottinghamshireinsight.org.uk/research-areas/jsna/cross-cutting-themes/air-quality-2015/ It is recommended that policy IN8 –should specifically mention reduction in vehicle particulate (PM) and nitrogen oxide (include NO2) emission to contribute to improvements in air quality. It is recommended that policy E5 should state that developments should not contribute to poor air quality.</p>
12. Does the proposal seek to minimise noise pollution caused by traffic and employment/commercial facilities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Policy d8 – amenity</p> <p>Policy RT11 – hot food takeaways</p> <p>Policy NE3 – Pollution and land instability</p> <p>Policy CC1 – Renewable and low carbon energy generation.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None.
5. Accessibility and active transport				
13. Does the proposal prioritise and encourage walking (such as through shared spaces) connecting to local walking networks?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>The overall vision includes "providing attractive green corridors through the urban areas connecting to the wider countryside" thus promoting physical activity.</p> <p>Walking is specifically mentioned in objective 9 in relation to increasing physical activity and as a sustainable travel option.</p> <p>The opportunity for walking and cycling in favour of using the car is a criteria for consideration when identifying sites.</p> <p>Policy S4 – Supporting economic and housing growth through urban regeneration – creating stronger walking and cycling links.</p> <p>Policy D3 – Connected Developments</p> <p>Policy E5 – Other industrial and business development – ..connected by convenient walking and cycling.</p> <p>Policy RT4 – creating of stronger walking and cycling links.</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None

Assessment criteria	Relevant?	Details/evidence	Potential health impact?	Recommended amendments or enhancement actions to the proposal under consideration
		<p>Policy SUE, SUE3 Infrastructure and Facilities – waling including in list. Policy IN2 – Green Infrastructure Policy IN8. Protecting and improving the sustainable transport network. Policy CC4: Protection. River and waterbody corridors</p>		
14. Does the proposal prioritise and encourage cycling (for example by providing secure cycle parking, showers and cycle lanes) connecting to local and strategic cycle networks?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Cycling infrastructure and facilities are prioritised he above Plan Objectives and policies. Cycle storage is specifically mentioned in policy D6, climate change - h7 HMO. Policy IN10 – Car and cycle parking</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None
15. Does the proposal support traffic management and calming measures to help reduce and minimise road injuries?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Several policies state that developments should have no adverse impact on highway safety and traffic: Policy CC1 – no adverse impact on highway safety and traffic Policy – H5 Custom and self-build homes Policy H8 –Accommodation for gypsies.. Policy In9 –Impact of development on the transport network Policy IN10 – car and cycle parking Policy S4 – improve traffic arrangements within the town centre. Policy RT5 - accessing Mansfield town centre -traffic calming measures. Policy Rt8 – reducing the impact of vehicular traffic</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None.
16. Does the proposal promote accessible buildings and places to enable access to people with mobility problems or a disability?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Considered at part of: Policy In5 – protection and creation of allotments. Policy IN10 - parking</p> <p>The Housing Technical paper (para 7.8) considers the requirement for adapted housing for people with long term illness or disability. However this document does not</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	See recommendations for items 1. , 8 and 9.

Assessment criteria	Relevant?	Details/evidence	Potential health impact?	Recommended amendments or enhancement actions to the proposal under consideration
		go into enough detail to consider how this would be promoted.		
6. Crime reduction and community safety				
17. Does the proposal create environments & buildings that make people feel safe, secure and free from crime?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Feeling safe is part of the vision for Mansfield town centre Policy D2 – Safe, healthy and attractive development.- minimising the opportunity for crime. Policy RT4 – Mansfield town Centre. Appropriate security and crime prevention measures. Policy RT5 – Accessing Mansfield town Centre – safety in taxi ranks. Policy H7 – HMOs – anti-social behaviour.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None.
7. Access to healthy food				
18. Does the proposal support the retention and creation of food growing areas, allotments and community gardens in order to support a healthy diet and physical activity?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	Policy IN2 – Green Infrastructure. Policy IN5 – Protection and creation of allotments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None
19. Does the proposal seek to restrict the development of hot food takeaways (A5) in specific areas?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	Policy RT3 – Mansfield town centre primary shopping area. Policy RT11 – hot food takeaways	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	Note: Public Health are currently reviewing a policies across the country and related appeals. We can share this with you when written up.
8. Access to work and training				
20. Does the proposal seek to provide new employment opportunities and encourage local employment and training?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	Economic growth and regeneration is a key objective of the Plan: Objective 2 – stronger and resilient local economy. Policy S2 – Scale of new development. Policy S3 – Presumption in favour of sustainable development.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None.

Assessment criteria	Relevant?	Details/evidence	Potential health impact?	Recommended amendments or enhancement actions to the proposal under consideration
		<p>Policy S4 – Supporting economic and housing growth through urban regeneration.</p> <p>Policy S5 – Delivering key regeneration sites.</p>		
9. Social cohesion and lifetime neighbourhoods				
<p>21. Does the proposal connect with existing communities where the layout and movement avoids physical barriers and severance and encourages social interaction?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Connectively across the district is a theme set out in the vision and in objective 9 relating to transport and social interaction is acknowledged in objective 11 which relates to maintenance of community facilities.</p> <p>Policy D1 – Achieving high quality design. Policy D2 – safe, healthy and attractive development</p> <p>Policy D3 – Connected Developments</p> <p>Policy D4 – Building for Life</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	<p>It is recommended that the Lifetime Neighbourhood guidance is utilised in relation to policies D1-D4 so that specific statements can be added regarding how design can positively benefit older people. This is taking into account the aging population identified in the Plan:</p> <p>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/lifetime-neighbourhoods--2</p>
10. Minimising the use of resources				
<p>22. Does the proposal seek to incorporate sustainable design and construction techniques?</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Policy D6 – Climate Change and new developments</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	<p>None.</p>
11. Climate change				

Assessment criteria	Relevant?	Details/evidence	Potential health impact?	Recommended amendments or enhancement actions to the proposal under consideration
23. Does the proposal incorporate renewable energy and ensure that buildings and public spaces are designed to respond to winter and summer temperatures, i.e. ventilation, shading and landscaping?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	Objective 8 sets out the plan to be more resilient to the impacts of climate change by adopting measures to address renewable and low carbon energy. Policy D6 – Climate Change and new developments Policy IN2 Green Infrastructure Policy S6 - Development in the Countryside Policy CC1 – Renewable and low carbon energy generation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None
24. Does the proposal maintain or enhance biodiversity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	Increasing biodiversity is a part of the Plan vision and recognised as a key issue Policy Policy D6 – Climate Change and new developments CC1 – Renewable and low carbon energy generation Policy CC3 – Sustainable drainage systems	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	None.
12. Health inequalities				
25. Does the proposal consider health inequalities and encourage engagement by underserved communities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> No	There is no mention of reducing inequalities. This was a strategic priority but has now been removed.	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Uncertain	There are health inequalities between Mansfield and England and within Mansfield that this Plan can help address. It is recommended that reducing health inequalities are included in the Plan vision or objectives.
Any other comments				
We welcome that the vision statement has a focus on active and healthier lifestyles and incorporates other domains that are positive to public health.				
Name of assessor and organisation	John Wilcox, Public Health, Nottinghamshire County Council			
Date of assessment	22.03.18			