

Mansfield Local Plan

Sustainability Appraisal

Addendum to S8a

Table of contents

NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY	1
1 Introduction	3
2 Summary of changes to the Plan	3
3 Scoping	6
4 Consideration of alternatives.....	9
4.1 Introduction	9
5 Appraisal of proposed Main Modifications	12
5.2 Housing	14
5.3 Health and Wellbeing.....	16
5.4 Biodiversity	18
5.5 Built and natural heritage	20
5.6 Natural Resources	22
5.7 Resource use	24
5.8 Transport and accessibility	25
5.9 Economy.....	27
6 Mitigation and enhancement	29
7 Summary	30
8 Monitoring	32
8.1 Monitoring	32
9 Clarifications	32
9.1 Capacity for Site H1e (Land at Redruth Drive)	32
9.2 The Council’s response to recommendations.....	33
10 Next steps	34
Appendix A: Screening the Proposed Main Modifications	

NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Introduction

SA is a process for considering and communicating the likely effects of a draft plan, with a view to avoiding and mitigating adverse effects and maximising the positives. This Addendum has been prepared to:

- document the implications of proposed modifications to the Mansfield Local Plan;
- make a correction to the site capacity for site H1e (Land at Redruth Drive); and
- confirm how recommendations made in the SA Report have been taken into consideration in the plan-making process.

Scoping

The same information presented in the SA Report (to which this addendum applies) remains appropriate. Therefore, the effects of the Main Modifications have been determined using the same methods and appraisal framework.

Alternatives

The first step was to screen all the modifications to determine which could possibly lead to significant effects (either individually or in combination with other Modifications).

No reasonable alternatives have been identified for any of the Main Modifications that have been 'screened-in' to the sustainability appraisal.

Appraisal of the modifications

The Modifications screened in to the SA have been appraised against each of the SA Topics set out in the SA Report. The broad findings are summarised below.

There are no significant effects predicted for any of the Modifications when considered against each sustainability topic.

The overall SA findings and conclusions within the original SA Report (S8a) remain unchanged with the exception of 'Resource Use'. For this objective, the Modifications mean that the Plan will have only minor positive effects rather than the significant positive effects identified in the SA Report.

Otherwise, the Modifications are almost entirely positive in nature and contribute to a sound Plan.

The table below illustrates the broad implications of the modifications (considered in combination) for each of the SA Topics. The symbols provided do not reflect significant positive or negative effects, only the nature of the changes in terms of being positive (↑), negative (↓) or neutral (↔). For some effects there may be a degree of uncertainty (?).

Housing	Health and wellbeing	Biodiversity	Built and natural heritage	Natural resources	Resource use	Transport and accessibility	Economy
↑	↑	↑	↑?	↑	↓	↑	↑

Mitigation and enhancement

No mitigation or enhancement measures were identified throughout the appraisal process at this stage. This is largely due to the fact that the proposed Main Modifications in themselves have been made to enhance positive effects and to mitigate any negative effects.

Rather than leading to 'new' significant effects, the modifications largely enhance the positive effects identified in the SA Report.

There are no negative effects as such, though some of the modifications do mean that certain policies are less positive (but still positive nonetheless).

Monitoring

The effects of proposed modifications are all predicted to be 'insignificant' and broadly in-line with those effects identified in the SA Report. Therefore, the monitoring measures outlined in the SA Report are considered to be sufficient.

However, given that the effects on 'resource use' are less likely to be significant compared to the original assessment, there is no firm requirement to monitor this factor.

1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 AECOM is commissioned to undertake a sustainability appraisal (SA) in support of the Mansfield Local Plan. SA is a process for considering and communicating the likely effects of a draft plan, with a view to avoiding and mitigating adverse effects and maximising the positives. This Addendum has been prepared to
- document the implications of proposed modifications to the Mansfield Local Plan;
 - make a correction to the site capacity for site H1e (Land at Redruth Drive); and
 - confirm how recommendations made in the SA Report have been taken into consideration in the plan-making process.
- 1.1.2 It is important to read this addendum alongside the main SA Report, August 2018 (S8a) which contains further detail on the scope of the SA and provides the context in which these policies have developed¹.

<http://www.mansfield.gov.uk/CHttpHandler.ashx?id=10306&p=0>

2 Summary of changes to the Plan

- 2.1.1 During the Local Plan Examination, and in the lead up to it, a number of proposed changes to the submission version of the Local Plan have been put forward. These changes fall into two categories; Main Modifications are those which will be required to make the Local Plan sound and Minor Changes which otherwise improve or update the Plan (for example by providing clarification) but do not impact on the Plan's soundness. Changes to the policies map are categorised as Minor Changes.
- 2.1.2 AECOM has reviewed the schedule of proposed Minor Changes and concluded that these will not have any significant effect on the SA findings.
- 2.1.3 The wording of the proposed Main Modifications has also been reviewed in full. These changes are the focus of this SA Addendum. Some of these changes are unlikely to lead to any significant effects whereas for others there is the potential for some effects upon the environment, economy or communities (whether this be in isolation, or in combination with other Main Modifications that have been screened in to the SA process)
- 2.1.4 Table 2.1 below lists the Main Modifications that have been 'screened in' to the SA process given their potential to have an effect on the SA findings. A number of the Main Modifications were 'screened out' as they were deemed unlikely to have any

¹ This report is an Addendum to the Main SA Report, and should be read as such. It is not intended to represent an 'SA Report' in the context of the SEA Regulations, which requires the presentation of certain information in the SA Report. It is not appropriate, proportionate or in the interests of effective consultation to repeat all this information in the Addendum.

effect on the SA findings either on their own or in combination with others.
Appendix A contains a summary of each of the proposed Main Modifications and the rationale for screening these in or out of the SA.

Table 2.1 *Summary of Main Modifications (that have been screened in) and corresponding policies*

Modification / Policy	Summary of proposed modifications
MM9 Policy P1	The changes to both policies provide a more explicit reference to the need for inclusive and accessible developments. Appendix 11 provides an increased emphasis on the need to consider people with disabilities as part of the Mansfield Green Space Standard.
MM11 Policy P2	
MM99 Appendix 11	
MM17 Policy H1a	
MM22 Policy H1g	Provides specific requirements to ascertain impacts on the species common to the ppSPA. Changes require mitigation of impacts on the road network.
MM24 Policy H1j	Changes require mitigation of impacts on the road network. Cauldwell Road site no longer allocated (42 homes)
MM28 Housing Delivery Changes	The housing supply forecasts have been amended, and a greater amount of homes are expected to be delivered in the Plan period (591 homes). This subsequently means that the buffer increases from 28%
MM34, MM36 Policy H5	Specific requirements in relation to custom / self-build homes have been removed. Commitment to an SPD also removed from supporting text.
MM37 Policy H6	Widens the range of groups to be considered through specialist housing.
MM41 Policy E2b	Amendments to the use of land at allocated employment site at Oakfield Lane, Market Warsop.
MM47 Policy RT1	Now requires sequential and impact tests at new SUEs.
MM55 Policy RT6b	Additional site and policy included at Belvedere Street (11,500 sqm of main town centre uses).
MM58 Policy RT8	Clarity provided on what constitutes a predominant A Class use.

Modification / Policy	Summary of proposed modifications
MM64 Policy RT10	Deletion of Retail Parks policy.
MM70 Policy SUE2	Requirement for a nitrogen deposition study included within the policy for SUE2 Land off Jubilee Way.
MM86 Policy NE2	Clarifies / strengthens the need to secure a full range of suitable compensatory measures.
MM91 Policy CC1	Limits opportunities for securing wind energy.
PM26-PM32	A range of changes have been made to the masterplans, mostly involving the addition of important features onto the plans such as; pedestrian and cycle connections, PROW and areas of habitat importance. Other spatial changes have been made including the positioning of schools, open space, SUDs, size of commercial areas and the overall site boundaries.

3 Scoping

3.1.1 The same information presented in the SA Report (to which this addendum applies) remains appropriate. Therefore, the effects of the Main Modifications have been determined using the same methods and appraisal framework. The SA Framework is replicated below, with the subsequent topic areas.

Sustainability appraisal objectives		Sub criteria
SA1	To ensure that the housing stock meets the housing needs of the district	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it increase the range and affordability of housing for all social groups? • Will it reduce homelessness? • Will it reduce the number of unfit homes?
SA2	To improve health and wellbeing, and reduce health inequalities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce health inequalities? • Will it improve access to health services? • Will it increase the opportunities for recreational physical activity?
SA3	To provide better opportunities for people to value and enjoy the district's green spaces and culture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide new open space? • Will it improve the quality of existing open space? • Will it help people to increase their participation in sport and recreation and cultural activities? • Will it allow better access to the green infrastructure network?
SA4	To improve community safety, reduce crime and the fear of crime	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide safer communities? • Will it reduce crime and the fear of crime? • Will it contribute to a safe, secure and stable built environment?
SA5	To promote and support the development and growth of social capital across the district	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve access to, and resident's satisfaction with community facilities and services? • Will it encourage engagement in community activities?
SA6	To increase biodiversity levels across the district	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it help protect / restore / improve biodiversity and in particular avoid harm to protected species? • Will it help protect / restore / improve habitats? • Will it increase / maintain / provide opportunities for improving / enhancing sites designated for their nature conservation interest / value? • Will it maintain / restore / enhance woodland cover and management? • Will it help achieve local BAP targets? • Will it help to avoid / reduce the loss of / decline in semi-natural habitats, agricultural habitats and urban habitats? • Will it conserve species and protect the district's overall biodiversity? • Will it expand and enhance the green infrastructure network?

Sustainability appraisal objectives		Sub criteria
SA7	To protect, enhance and restore the rich diversity of the natural, cultural and built environmental and archaeological assets of the district	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it protect / enhance existing cultural assets? • Will it protect / enhance the historical and archaeological environment? • Will it protect / restore / enhance the landscape character and sense of place?
SA8	To manage prudently the natural resources of the district including water (and associated flooding and quality issues), air quality, soils and minerals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve or ensure no deterioration to, water quality? • Will it minimise flood risk? • Will it improve air quality? • Will it lead to reduced consumption of raw materials? • Will it promote the use of sustainable design, materials and construction techniques? • Will it minimise the loss of soils to development? • Will it maintain and enhance soil quality?
SA9	To minimise waste and increase the re-use and recycling and composting of waste materials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it reduce household waste? • Will it increase waste recovery, re-use and recycling? • Will it reduce hazardous waste? • Will it reduce waste in the construction industry?
SA10	To minimise energy usage and to develop the district's renewable energy resource, reducing dependency on non-renewable sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve energy efficiency of new buildings? • Will it support the generation and use of renewable energy?
SA11	To make efficient use of the existing transport infrastructure, help reduce the need to travel by car, improve accessibility to jobs and services for all and to ensure that all journeys are undertaken by the most sustainable mode available	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it utilise and enhance existing transport infrastructure? • Will it help to develop a transport network that minimises the impact on the environment? • Will it reduce journeys undertaken by car by encouraging alternative modes of transport?

Sustainability appraisal objectives		Sub criteria
SA12	To create high quality employment opportunities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it improve the diversity and quality of jobs? • Will it reduce unemployment? • Will it increase average income levels?
SA13	To develop a strong culture of enterprise and innovation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it increase levels of qualification? • Will it create jobs in high knowledge sectors?
SA14	To provide the physical conditions for a modern economic structure, including infrastructure to support the use of new technologies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it provide land and buildings of a type required by businesses? • Will it improve the diversity of jobs available?

3.1.2 Within the SA Report, the findings / effects were presented under broad sustainability topics, which align with the SA objectives. To avoid duplication, SA objectives with similar aims have been grouped together under one sustainability topic as follows:

SA Topic	Corresponding SA Objectives
Housing	SA1: Housing
Health and Wellbeing	SA2 Health SA3 Access to green space and culture SA4 Community safety SA 5 Social capital
Biodiversity	SA6 Biodiversity
Built and Natural Heritage	SA7 Built and natural heritage
Natural Resources	SA8 Natural Resources
Resource use	SA9 Waste SA10 Energy
Transport and accessibility	SA11 Transport and accessibility
Economy	SA12 Employment SA13 Enterprise and Innovation SA 14 Modern economy

4 Consideration of alternatives

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 The table below sets out the consideration of whether there are any reasonable alternatives to each proposed modification. Alternative approaches to a range of plan issues were considered at earlier stages of plan making (discussed in the main SA Report). At this stage, the focus is on whether there are alternatives to the proposed modifications, not to the whole policy approach (which remains broadly the same).

Table 4.1 Consideration of alternatives

Policy	Alternatives considered
MM9 Policy P1 MM11 Policy P2 MM98 Appendix 11	Each of the modifications relate to an increased emphasis upon inclusive and accessible developments. There are no reasonable alternatives other than to not make these changes (which is the approach within the submission version of the Plan).
MM17 Policy H1a	This is a policy addition. There are no reasonable alternatives as it relates to a specific issue.
MM22 Policy H1g	Changes are in response to a specific issue, to ensure that negative effects do not occur. There are no other alternatives that would mitigate effects upon road junctions (measures to encourage alternative modes of travel are already encouraged).
MM24 Policy H1j	Site options have been considered at various stages of the plan-making process. There are no further alternative sites to consider.

Policy	Alternatives considered
MM28 Housing Delivery Changes	<p>The amount of allocations have not been increased, but it could be argued that less allocations are needed to meet needs given that delivery rates will be higher in the Plan period.</p> <p>A reasonable alternative would therefore to be to appraise a lower level of housing provision (i.e. fewer site allocations). Options for growth have been tested at various stages of the SA process (which would involve the release of fewer sites for development). It is therefore considered unnecessary to undertake a further round of assessment looking at the effects of the Plan should land to accommodate 591 fewer dwellings not be allocated.</p>
MM34, MM36 Policy H5	There are no reasonable alternatives other than to not make these changes (which is the approach within the submission version of the Plan).
MM37 Policy H6	There are no reasonable alternatives other than to not make these changes (which is the approach within the submission version of the Plan).
MM41 Policy E2b	Changes to the boundary of employment sites are in response to specific issues / discussions. There are no reasonable alternatives.
MM47 Policy RT1	There are no reasonable alternatives other than to not make these changes (which is the approach within the submission version of the Plan).
MM55 Policy RT6b	Site options have been considered at various stages of the plan-making process. This was a previous commitment. There are no further alternative sites to consider.
MM58 Policy RT8	There are no reasonable alternatives other than to not make these changes (which is the approach within the submission version of the Plan).
MM64 Policy RT10	There are no reasonable alternatives other than to not make these changes (which is the approach within the submission version of the Plan).

MM70 Policy SUE2	There are no reasonable alternatives other than to not make these changes. (which is the approach within the submission version of the Plan).
MM86 Policy NE2	There are no reasonable alternatives other than to not make these changes (which is the approach within the submission version of the Plan).
MM91 Policy CC1	There are no reasonable alternatives other than to not make these changes (which is the approach within the submission version of the Plan).
PM26-PM32	Many of the proposed modifications are for clarity and to add important features. As these are factual matters there are no reasonable alternatives. Issues such as the location of schools, natural greenspace, commercial land etc.. have been informed by technical studies and the design/vision for the masterplans. There are a whole range of different configurations that could be explored, but these are factors that have been considered through the master planning design process.

5 Appraisal of proposed Main Modifications

- 5.1.1 The appraisal identifies and evaluates 'likely significant effects' on the baseline / likely future baseline associated with the proposed Main Modifications, drawing on the sustainability topics and issues identified through the SA Scoping as a methodological framework.
- 5.1.2 Every effort is made to predict effects accurately; however, this is inherently challenging given the high level nature of the policy measures under consideration. The ability to predict effects accurately is also influenced by understanding of the baseline and (in particular) the future baseline.
- 5.1.3 In light of this, where likely significant effects are predicted this is done with an accompanying explanation of the assumptions made. In many instances it is not possible to predict likely significant effects, but it is possible to comment on the merits of the Plan as proposed to be modified in more general terms.
- 5.1.4 It is important to note that effects are predicted taking into account the criteria presented within the SEA Regulations. So, for example, account is taken of the duration, frequency and reversibility of effects as far as possible. The potential for 'cumulative' effects is also considered. These effect 'characteristics' are described within the appraisal as appropriate under each sustainability topic.
- 5.1.5 The appraisal of the proposed Main Modifications is set out within separate tables for each of the sustainability topics listed below (which are derived from the SA Framework).
- | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| - Housing | - Resource use |
| - Health and wellbeing | - Transport and accessibility |
| - Biodiversity | - Economy |
| - Built and natural resources | |
- 5.1.6 To reflect the different effects that the proposed Main Modifications could have, they may be scored as both positive and negative against the same SA Topic. This reflects the fact that policies could have different effects in different locations and circumstances.
- 5.1.7 It is important to note the difference between positive/negative effects and 'significant effects'. Where significant effects are predicted, this means that a change to the baseline position is predicted (positive or negative).

- 5.1.8 Significant effects are highlighted in the accompanying text; with the text coloured as follows: there would be a significant positive effect or conversely a significant negative effect.
- 5.1.9 The appraisal text does not present a separate score or commentary for each individual policy, rather, the appraisal summarises the **cumulative effects** of each of the proposed Main Modifications which have been screened-in as well as the plan 'as a whole'. This avoids duplication and provides a more realistic assessment of plan policies by taking into account other policies in the plan when identifying its overall effects.
- 5.1.10 Local Plans should be read 'as a whole' and thus appraisal needs to be undertaken on the same basis to take account of how policies complement or contradict one another. This is also where appropriate mitigation and enhancement can be identified.

5.2 Housing

Background

- 5.2.1 This section sets out a discussion of the appraisal findings for ‘housing’ associated with the proposed Main Modifications which have been screened in, and also how these affect the overall SA findings as set out in the SA Report (reproduced in the table below).

Appraisal of the Proposed Main Modifications

- 5.2.2 Several modifications are unlikely to have any effects upon housing as they relate to other specific issues. The modifications below have been identified as being relevant to housing, and a discussion of the effects is provided below.

Relevant Mods	Summary	
MM24 Policy H1j	Cauldwell Road site no longer allocated (42 homes)	↓
MM28 Housing Delivery Changes	The housing supply forecasts have been amended and a greater amount of homes are expected to be delivered in the Plan period (591 homes). This subsequently means that the buffer increases from 28%	↑
MM34, MM36 Policy H5	Specific requirements in relation to custom / self-build homes have been removed. Commitment to an SPD also removed from supporting text.	↓
MM37 Policy H6	Widens the range of groups to be considered through specialist housing.	↑

- 5.2.3 MM24 means that 42 fewer homes will be delivered in a specific location to the south of the Mansfield Urban Area. This is unlikely to have any impact on the ability to meet housing needs overall. In addition, a large amount of housing is being proposed at SUE3 which is nearby. This means that any there will already be ample choice and provision in this particular location.
- 5.2.4 The modification MM28 is not a change as such, but reflects the different rates of delivery that have been identified. The implications of this increased delivery means that the buffer for meeting housing needs is increased. Therefore, there is greater certainty that the objectively assessed needs will be met in full.

- 5.2.5 With regards to the type and mix of homes, MM34 and MM36 are less specific in terms of the proportion of self-build / custom homes that are required on new sites. This could mean that opportunities for such development are reduced slightly.
- 5.2.6 MM37 ought to be positive as it includes reference for the need to consider people with care needs rather than just the 'elderly'. This broadens the range of people captured by the policy and should ensure that housing is suitable throughout a persons' life. This is a minor change but is beneficial nevertheless.

Table 5.1 *Implications for the SA findings relating to the Plan 'as a whole'*

Summary of effects identified in the SA Report (S8a)	Implications of Proposed Main Modifications
<p>The Plan is predicted to have a significant positive effect on housing in a number of ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The delivery of housing to meet local needs - Establishing housing for the elderly and other community groups with particular needs. 	<p>The modifications have mixed implications.</p> <p>There are improvements in relation to the adaptability of homes for people with care needs, and the buffer for housing delivery has risen (albeit this is related to changes in delivery rather than specific changes being made).</p> <p>On the other hand support for self-build / custom homes is slightly reduced but these effects are very minor and the associated policy (H5) is still positive.</p> <p>Overall, the significant positive effects predicted in the SA Report remain unchanged.</p>

5.3 Health and Wellbeing

Background

- 5.3.1 This section sets out a discussion of the appraisal findings for ‘health and wellbeing’ associated with the Proposed Main Modifications, and also how these affect the overall SA findings as set out in the SA Report (reproduced in the table below).

Appraisal of the Proposed Main Modifications

- 5.3.2 Several modifications are unlikely to have any effects upon health and wellbeing as they relate to other specific issues. The modifications below have been identified as being relevant to health and wellbeing, and a discussion of the effects is provided below.

Relevant mods	Summary	
MM9 (Policy P1) MM11 (Policy P2) MM98 (Appendix 11)	The changes to both policies provide a more explicit reference to the need for inclusive and accessible developments.	↑
MM22 Policy H1g	Changes require mitigation of impacts on the road network.	↑
MM24 Policy H1j	Site no longer allocated.	↔
MM34, MM36 Policy H5	Specific requirements in relation to custom / self-build homes have been removed. Commitment to an SPD also removed from supporting text.	↓
MM37 Policy H6	Widens the range of groups to be considered through specialist housing.	↑

- 5.3.3 Three of the modifications explicitly reference the need to ensure that developments are designed so as to ensure that they are accessible and take account of the diversity of different communities. This is positive in terms of cohesion, social capital and subsequently the wellbeing of residents.
- 5.3.4 Managing congestion is also positive for wellbeing as it will help to address air quality issues and should improve safety. The effects are minor though.
- 5.3.5 Changes relating to custom and self-build homes are considered to be slightly negative, as it is less proactive with regards to choice and flexibility for those wishing to live in a specific accommodation (i.e. there are no targets for development which can help to catalyse such development). However, the magnitude of effects is very

small (i.e. the amount of people affected is limited) and people could still go ahead with their own projects.

- 5.3.6 The removal of site H1j is unlikely to have any effect upon health and wellbeing. There will still be a choice of affordable housing in this area, and so there would be no implications to the provision of 42 fewer homes.
- 5.3.7 Given that the adjacent land is currently occupied by a college and agricultural land, development of the site would not have led to any amenity impacts for existing communities. However, the site is located near to a main road and development on the site itself could have caused amenity concerns.

Table 5.2 *Implications for the SA findings relating to the Plan ‘as a whole’*

Summary of effects identified in the SA Report	Implications of Proposed Main Modifications
Significant positive effects are predicted as a result of improved social infrastructure, access to housing and jobs and improved opportunities for recreation.	<p>The modifications discussed are mostly positive with regards to health and wellbeing. In particular, the changes result in policies that better consider the needs of a wider group of communities.</p> <p>Significant positive effects are already predicted with regards to social infrastructure improvements. The improvements with regards to social capital are positive, but do not lead to any additional significant effects.</p>

5.4 Biodiversity

Background

- 5.4.1 This section sets out a discussion of the appraisal findings for ‘biodiversity’ associated with the Proposed Main Modifications, and also how these affect the overall SA findings as set out in the SA Report (reproduced in the table below).

Appraisal of the Proposed Main Modifications

- 5.4.2 Several modifications are unlikely to have any effects upon biodiversity as they relate to other specific issues. The modifications below have been identified as being relevant to biodiversity, and a discussion of the effects is provided below.

Relevant mods	Summary	
MM17 Policy H1a	Provides specific requirements to ascertain impacts on the species common to the ppSPA.	↑
MM24 Policy H1j	Site at Cauldwell Road no longer allocated.	↑
MM70 Policy SUE2	Requirement for a nitrogen deposition study included within the policy for SUE2 Land off Jubilee Way.	↑
MM86 Policy NE2	Clarifies / strengthens the need to secure a full range of suitable compensatory measures for biodiversity.	↑
PM26-PM32	Several of the masterplans now identify important areas of existing biodiversity value. There are also specific changes proposed in terms of habitat creation at Jubilee Way (areas identified for Sustainable Alternative Natural Greenspaces).	↑

- 5.4.3 The site at Cauldwell Road is relatively close to the possible potential SPA and the plantation to the west could support Nightjar and Woodlark. Though effects would not necessarily be significant, the removal of this site means that negative effects are less likely.
- 5.4.4 With relation to the allocated site under H1a, the modification MM17 seeks to manage possible impacts on the ppSPA and related species by requiring detailed studies to ascertain potential issues and solutions. This is also positive as it gives clarity on what is expected to ensure that negative effects are avoided.
- 5.4.5 More generally, MM86 strengthens NE2 by providing firmer wording in relation to the requirement for comprehensive compensatory measures.

- 5.4.6 Several of the masterplans now identify important areas of existing biodiversity value (such as hedgerows and woodland belts), which provides a clear message that such features will need to be retained and enhanced. The identification of SANG at Jubilee Way is also positive as it will provide a clear direction in terms of the area to be created.

Table 5.3 *Implications for the SA findings relating to the Plan ‘as a whole’*

Summary of effects identified in the SA Report	Implications of Proposed Main Modifications
<p>Minor negative effects are identified, but it is possible that the effects on biodiversity could be significant if mitigation and enhancement is not appropriate.</p> <p>Biodiversity enhancement measures are predicted to have broadly positive effects in the long term. It may be possible for significant effects to be generated, but this depends upon implementation (and the extent to which these offset negative impacts).</p>	<p>The relevant modifications are all predicted to have positive effects. There are some minor improvements to the general biodiversity policy and some more specific measures that seek to prevent impacts and secure enhancements in particular locations.</p> <p>When considered as a whole, the amended Plan would have slightly more positive effects / fewer negatives. However, it is still uncertain whether significant effects would be generated.</p>

5.5 Built and natural heritage

Background

- 5.5.1 This section sets out a discussion of the appraisal findings for ‘built and natural heritage’ associated with the Proposed Main Modifications, and also how these affect the overall SA findings as set out in the SA Report (reproduced in the table below).

Appraisal of the Proposed Main Modifications

- 5.5.2 Several modifications are unlikely to have any effects upon biodiversity as they relate to other specific issues. The modifications below have been identified as being relevant to built and natural heritage, and a discussion of the likely effects is provided below.

Relevant mods	Summary	
MM24 Policy H1j	Site at Cauldwell Road no longer allocated.	↔
MM55 Policy RT6b	Additional site and policy included at Belvedere Street	↑?
MM58 Policy RT8	Clarity provided on what constitutes a predominant A Class use.	↑

- 5.5.3 The site at Cauldwell Road wasn’t predicted to have any effects upon the historic environment and so its removal (MM24) will have no effect on the overall conclusions in this respect.
- 5.5.4 By clarifying what ‘predominant’ means, MM58 ought to ensure that class A uses remain a key part of the district centres (whilst also allowing other uses so as to not lead to vacancy). The Conservation Area Appraisals for Market Warsop and Mansfield Woodhouse state that shop fronts have become an important element of their character. Therefore, protection of use class A ought to be beneficial in terms of retaining such character. The effects are only minor though as the character of the shops would be unaffected.
- 5.5.5 Allocation of the Belvedere Street site gives great impetus and certainty for its development over the plan period. It is considered that this is positive as the townscape in this part of the town is relatively poor and could be enhanced.
- 5.5.6 The site is on the outside of the Market Place and Bridge Street Conservation Areas but does provide a gateway into the central areas. At present, the streets leading

towards the central areas are not vibrant and do not contribute positively to the character of the Conservation Area. There are two listed buildings nearby. The Midland Hotel is not visible from the site, and its setting has already been adversely affected by unsympathetic development. Further development on the site is therefore unlikely to have negative connotations.

- 5.5.7 A further Grade II listed building is located on High Street (Stockwell Gate). This is not visible to the site and is already surrounded by modern development that detracts from its special character. This part of town is also fairly 'run down'. Development of a high quality gateway retail/leisure facility could therefore help to improve this area in a number of ways. First through a visual improvement of the existing car park, and second, by attracting footfall back to this part of the town, which could perhaps help to improve the public realm (through developer contributions perhaps). These effects are uncertain, and also would only apply if the current permission lapses and is not already built out. If the development comes forward sooner, then benefits would still occur, but would not be associated with this Plan policy as such, as the site already has the benefit of planning permission.

Table 5.4 Implications for the SA findings relating to the Plan 'as a whole'

Summary of effects identified in the SA Report	Implications of Proposed Main Modifications
Mostly neutral or minor positive effects are predicted with regards to the historic environment and townscape. Whilst these are not significant, it is important to ensure that these effects are realised on the ground. With regards to landscape, minor negative effects are identified overall.	Overall, the modifications are positive, but unlikely to lead to a change in the SA findings. The main change of relevance is the allocation of the Belvedere Road site, which is positive should the current permission lapse.

5.6 Natural Resources

Background

- 5.6.1 This section sets out a discussion of the appraisal findings for ‘natural resources’ associated with the Proposed Main Modifications, and also how these affect the overall SA findings as set out in the SA Report (reproduced in the table below).

Appraisal of the Proposed Main Modifications

- 5.6.2 Several modifications are unlikely to have any effects upon natural resources as they relate to other specific issues. The modifications below have been identified as being relevant to natural resources, and a discussion of the likely effects is provided below.

Relevant Mods	Summary	
MM22 Policy H1g	Changes require mitigation of impacts on the road network.	↑
MM24 Policy H1j	Cauldwell Road site no longer allocated (42 homes)	↑
MM47 Policy RT1	Now requires sequential and impact tests at new SUEs.	↑
MM55 Policy RT6b	Additional site and policy included at Belvedere Street (11,500 sqm of main town centre uses)	?
MM64 Policy RT10	Deletion of Retail Parks policy.	↑
MM70 Policy SUE2	Requirement for a nitrogen deposition study included within the policy for SUE2 Land off Jubilee Way.	↑
PM26-PM32	Several changes are proposed which bring out the importance of PROWs and pedestrian and cycling links.	↑

- 5.6.3 With regards to soil resources MM24 removes a site that is classified as Grade 3 agricultural land. This appears to be in agricultural use for crops and therefore it could be assumed it is of best and most versatile category 3a. Not allocating the site means that this strip of 2ha would not be lost; which is positive. Furthermore, the remaining piece of agricultural land (which is also approximately 2ha) would be under less threat of future development (as ‘rounding off’ could occur). Though this is a positive effect, the soil resources preserved is not significant from a district perspective.

- 5.6.4 With regards to minerals the modifications are unlikely to have any effects.
- 5.6.5 The requirement to undertake a nitrogen deposition study (and any subsequent mitigation) could perhaps lead to benefits for water quality (given that atmospheric nitrogen deposition can contribute to poorer water quality. However, these effects are of very low magnitude and dependent upon suitable mitigation.
- 5.6.6 With regards to air quality, several modifications ought to have a beneficial impact. By providing mitigation to tackle congestion H1g will contribute towards air quality management, which is minor benefit. The modifications relating to retail such as MM47, MM55 and MM64 should all help to promote a town centre first approach, which is beneficial in terms of promoting the use of public transport.
- 5.6.7 The Belvedere Road redevelopment could attract more cars, and so it will be necessary to ensure that public transport links are strengthened. In terms of air quality though, town centre monitoring locations suggest that air quality is consistently below the objective targets (so air quality is relatively good). It should also be noted that this site currently has planning permission.
- 5.6.8 Modifications to the Masterplans could help to strengthen walking and cycling links having a minor positive impact in terms of reducing car trips (which is positive for air quality – particularly in areas that are more sensitive such as Pleasley).

Table 5.5 Implications for the SA findings relating to the Plan ‘as a whole’

Summary of effects identified in the SA Report	Implications of Proposed Main Modifications
<p>Minor negative effects are predicted relating to a permanent loss of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land.</p> <p>Significant positive effects are predicted on water quality through the provision of green infrastructure enhancements and SUDs</p> <p>Neutral effects are predicted with regards to air quality.</p>	<p>Slightly less agricultural land will be lost, but this does not change the overall conclusions in the SA Report.</p> <p>The mods only have very minor implications for water quality and so the significant positive effects that are identified in the SA Report remain the same.</p> <p>In combination, the modifications are positive with regards to air quality. However, it is unlikely that these changes would bring about significant changes to the overall conclusions. Therefore a neutral effect remains.</p>

5.7 Resource use

Background

- 5.7.1 This section sets out a discussion of the appraisal findings for ‘resource use’ associated with the Proposed Main Modifications, and also how these affect the overall SA findings as set out in the SA Report (reproduced in the table below).

Appraisal of the Proposed Main Modifications

- 5.7.2 The majority of modifications are unlikely to have any effects upon resource use as they relate to other specific issues. The modifications below have been identified as being relevant to resource use, and a discussion of the likely effects is provided below.

Relevant mods	Summary	
MM91 Policy CC1	Limits the opportunities for securing wind energy.	↓

- 5.7.3 Policy CC1 has been weakened in respect of resource use. The policy previously identified areas where wind would be appropriate, which is the only circumstance in which larger wind schemes (i.e. more than 1 turbine) would be acceptable. This change therefore limits the potential for wind energy schemes to come forward in the district. This means that the current situation will remain the same.

Table 5.6 Implications for the SA findings relating to the Plan ‘as a whole’

Summary of effects identified in the SA Report	Implications of Proposed Main Modifications
<p>There are no significant negative effects predicted with regards to waste.</p> <p>Significant positive effects are predicted for energy related to support for community-led energy schemes, and identification of suitable locations for wind energy.</p>	<p>The proposed modifications are negative with regards to energy and climate change mitigation as they limit the potential for wind energy schemes. As a result, the positive effects identified in the SA Report are no longer significant.</p> <p>There are no implications in terms of the effects on waste management.</p>

5.8 Transport and accessibility

Background

- 5.8.1 This section sets out a discussion of the appraisal findings for ‘transport and accessibility’ associated with the Proposed Main Modifications, and also how these affect the overall SA findings as set out in the SA Report (reproduced in the table below).

Appraisal of the Proposed Main Modifications

- 5.8.2 Several modifications are unlikely to have any effects upon transport and accessibility as they relate to other specific issues. The modifications below have been identified as being relevant to transport and accessibility, and a discussion of the likely effects is provided below.

Relevant mods	Summary	
MM22 Policy H1g	Changes require mitigation of impacts on the road network.	↑
MM24 Policy H1j	Site no longer allocated.	↔
MM47 Policy RT1	Now requires sequential and impact tests at new SUEs.	↑
MM55 Policy RT6b	Additional site and policy included at Belvedere Street	?
MM64 Policy RT10	Deletion of Retail Parks policy.	↑
PM26-PM32	Several changes are proposed which bring out the importance of PROWs and pedestrian and cycling links.	↑

- 5.8.3 MM22 is positive as it specifically seeks to manage traffic impacts associated with the Abbot Road site allocation. This will help to ensure that negative effects on transport and accessibility are avoided in this location.
- 5.8.4 The Cauldwell Road site would not have added a significant amount of traffic to the network, and so its removal is likely to have limited implications for traffic.
- 5.8.5 Several changes are proposed that seek to encourage a sequential approach to retail provision, starting with the town centre. This ought to help reduce reliance on out of town centres and focus towards those with better public transport accessibility.

These principles were already prominent in the Plan, but have been strengthened slightly. Whilst positive, significant effects are unlikely.

- 5.8.6 The Belvedere Road site is allocated to ensure a long term commitment to its redevelopment. This is positive in terms of attracting people to accessible town centre retail / leisure. However, it might also attract car users.
- 5.8.7 The Modifications related to the masterplans are minor with regards to movement, but do add a slightly improved focus on pedestrian and cycling links; which is positive.

Table 5.7 *Implications for the SA findings relating to the Plan ‘as a whole’*

Summary of effects identified in the SA Report	Implications of Proposed Main Modifications
<p>Significant positive effects are predicted with regards to transport as the Plan supports a broadly sustainable pattern of growth that ensures accessibility to a range of services and public transport links. Improvements to walking, cycling and road infrastructure should also help to ensure that increased car usage on roads is manageable.</p>	<p>The Modifications are slightly more positive with regards to accessibility and transport as they consolidate the importance of town centre retail/leisure and reiterate the need to ensure that the SUEs are designed to encourage walking and cycling. Significant positive effects are already identified in the SA Report. Whilst the Modifications are positive, these do not change the overall conclusions notably.</p>

5.9 Economy

Background

5.9.1 This section sets out a discussion of the appraisal findings for ‘economy’ associated with the Proposed Main Modifications, and also how these affect the overall SA findings as set out in the SA Report (reproduced in the table below).

Appraisal of the Proposed Main Modifications

5.9.2 Several modifications are unlikely to have any effects upon economy and employment as they relate to other specific issues. The modifications below have been identified as being relevant to the economy, and a discussion of the likely effects is provided below.

Relevant mods	Summary	
MM22 Policy H1g	Changes require mitigation of impacts on the road network.	↑
MM24 Policy H1j	Site no longer allocated.	↔
MM41 Policy E2b	Amendments to the use of land at allocated employment site at Oakfield Lane, Market Warsop.	↑
MM55 Policy RT6b	Additional site and policy included at Belvedere Street	↑
MM91 Policy CC1	Limits the potential for securing wind energy.	↓

5.9.3 The modifications listed above are all only likely to have minor implications for the economy. These are summarised below:

- Tackling congestion associated with the Abbot Road allocation (MM22) is positive for businesses using the road network.
- Site H1j was not allocated for employment and its removal (MM24) will have no effect on the overall ability to provide housing for the workforce.
- MM55 identifies a significant redevelopment location for the town centre which could have notable benefits in terms of providing jobs and retaining leisure and retail within the town. Though this site has been a long standing commitment, it has not been developed, and so its allocation should provide greater certainty and encouragement for a scheme to come forward. The policy also sets out some high

level principles that a high quality scheme is developed, which should ensure that it is more attractive to prospective tenants and customers alike.

- Policy MM91 is restrictive in terms of energy schemes and will therefore do less to improve the provision of 'green energy' in the area.

Table 5.8 *Implications for the SA findings relating to the Plan 'as a whole'*

Summary of effects identified in the SA Report	Implications of Proposed Main Modifications
<p>Significant positive effects are predicted for the economy as the Plan supports the retention of key employment areas and allocation of suitable land for new businesses.</p> <p>Other Plan policies will support the economy by encouraging skills development and the vitality of town and village centres. Minor negative effects are predicted as the protection of landscape character could potentially affect the expansion of business land in some locations.</p>	<p>Modifications have broadly positive implications in terms of creating the conditions for economic growth. However, these are very small contributions and so the overall effects in the Plan remain unchanged.</p> <p>Though the redevelopment of the Belvedere Road site could have significant positive effects, this site is already committed. The allocation just provides a longer term vision should the permission lapse.</p>

6 Mitigation and enhancement

- 6.1.1 No mitigation or enhancement measures were identified throughout the appraisal process at this stage. This is largely due to the fact that the proposed Main Modifications in themselves have been made to enhance positive effects and to mitigate any negative effects.
- 6.1.2 Rather than leading to 'new' significant effects, the modifications largely enhance the positive effects identified in the SA Report.
- 6.1.3 There are no negative effects as such, though some of the modifications do mean that certain policies are slightly less positive (but still positive nonetheless).

7 Summary

7.1.1 The effects of the modifications against each of the sustainability topics are summarised below in tables 7.1 and 7.2. Table 7.1 illustrates the broad implications of the modifications, viewed in combination with one another. There are no significant effects predicted, and so the symbols provided do not reflect significant positive or negative effects. Rather, the symbols represent the broad implications of the modifications in relation to each objective. This is either positive (↑), negative (↓) or neutral (↔). Some effects may also come with a degree of uncertainty (?).

7.1.2 As is evident from table 7.1, overall the Modifications are positive for every SA topic except for 'Resource Use'.

Table 7.1 Broad implications of the modifications

Housing	Health and wellbeing	Biodiversity	Built and natural heritage	Natural resources	Resource use	Transport and accessibility	Economy
↑	↑	↑	↑?	↑	↓	↑	↑

Table 7.2 Summary of the effects of the modifications

Sustainability objective	Cumulative effects of modifications on SA findings
Housing	The modifications will have broadly positive implications. However this does not lead to a change in the overall conclusions in the SA Report. Therefore, the significant positive effects predicted in the SA Report remain unchanged.
Health and Wellbeing	Significant positive effects are already predicted within the SA Report. The Modifications lead to improvements with regards to social capital, but do not lead to any additional significant effects.
Biodiversity	When considered as a whole, the amended Plan (as a result of the Modifications) would have slightly more positive effects / fewer negatives. However, it is still uncertain whether significant effects would be generated.

Sustainability objective	Cumulative effects of modifications on SA findings
Built and natural heritage	Overall, the modifications are positive, but unlikely to lead to a change in the SA findings.
Natural resources	<p>Slightly less agricultural land will be lost, but this does not change the overall conclusions in the SA Report.</p> <p>The modifications only have very minor implications for water quality and so the significant positive effects that are identified in the SA Report remain the same.</p> <p>In combination, the modifications are positive with regards to air quality. However, it is unlikely that these changes would bring about significant changes to the overall conclusions. Therefore a neutral effect remains.</p>
Resource use	<p>The proposed modifications are negative with regards to energy and climate change mitigation as they limit the potential for wind energy schemes. As a result, the positive effects identified in the SA Report are no longer significant.</p> <p>There are no implications in terms of the effects on waste management.</p>
Transport and accessibility	Significant positive effects are already identified in the SA Report. Whilst the Modifications are positive, these do not change the overall conclusions notably.
Economy	The Modifications have broadly positive implications in terms of creating the conditions for economic growth. However, these small contributions and so the overall effects in the Plan remain unchanged.

8 Monitoring

8.1 Monitoring

- 8.1.1 At the current stage (i.e. within the SA Report and Addendum), there is only a need to present measures envisaged concerning monitoring. As such, **Table 8.1 in the main SA Report** suggests measures that might be taken to monitor the effects (in particular the significant effects) highlighted by the appraisal of the plan.
- 8.1.2 The effects of proposed modifications are all predicted to be 'insignificant' and broadly in-line with those effects identified in the SA Report. Therefore, the monitoring measures outlined in the SA Report are considered to be sufficient.
- 8.1.3 However, given that the effects on 'resource use' are less likely to be significant compared to the original assessment, there is no firm requirement to monitor this factor.

9 Clarifications

9.1 Capacity for Site H1e (Land at Redruth Drive)

- 9.1.1 For site H1e (Land at Redruth Drive) it was noted that the number of dwellings that was originally appraised (99) differs to what was allocated (178). Clarification on this issue was set out in **EXAM11** and is replicated below.
- 9.1.2 The change in dwellings makes no difference to the outcomes. All of the criteria have been checked and none of the scores change as a result of the higher dwelling number (given that the site boundary is exactly the same).
- 9.1.3 The only relevant criteria are as follows. The remaining criteria are based on distances and other features being present, which doesn't change.
- SA1. Housing, but the score remains light green as the category covers 1-199 dwellings. All that needs changing on the proforma is the dwelling amount.
 - SA13. Potential to contribute towards education provision - The score also remains light green, as the category covers 1-499 dwellings.
- 9.1.4 In summary, there are no changes to the outcomes for any SA criteria.

9.2 The Council's response to recommendations

- 9.2.1 The SA Report set out a number of recommendations that were made throughout the appraisal process. However, it was not entirely clear without cross-referencing to the Submission Plan how the Council had responded to these recommendations.
- 9.2.2 To provide clarity and transparency on this matter, the Council has provided a summary of its response to each recommendation in the table below.

<i>Policy</i>	<i>Recommendation</i>	<i>Included?</i>
S4	The policy should encourage development to consider the suitability of district energy schemes as part of the regeneration strategy.	No, this was considered too detailed for this policy. It can be included in the masterplanning work referred to in paragraph 3.31 of the plan's supporting text.
P1	There is an opportunity to improve the policy by including sustainable construction and natural resource use as a key principle of the design process. For example, energy efficiency as part of Passivhaus standards should form an integral part of developments overall designs.	No, these principles are covered in Policy P5 and the plan should be read as a whole.
P8	Provide greater flexibility to the policy that allows well integrated external shutters in appropriate circumstances.	Yes, in part 1e.
H6	Include measures to require specialist housing to be located in areas with good access to public transport (as well as being well located to access facilities on foot).	Yes, in part 1a.
SUE policies	Include reference for the need to ensure that increased access to wildlife sites enhances, rather than degrades such habitats.	No, covered in NE2 and the plan should be read as a whole.
HE1	Provide specific guidance on the preservation and potential enhancement of heritage assets at a local scale. This could be achieved through the delivery of a Supplementary Planning Document for example.	No, this was considered too detailed for this policy. The council could consider producing a SPD in the future.

10 Next steps

- 10.1.1 The Local Plan was submitted for Examination by an independent Planning Inspector on Wednesday 19th December, 2018.
- 10.1.2 The Inspector will judge whether or not the Plan is 'sound'. The SA report (*Document S8a*) was one of the background documents provided to the Inspector as part of the Examination.
- 10.1.3 During the Local Plan Examination, and in the lead up to it, a number of proposed changes to the submission version of the Local Plan have been put forward. This has culminated in the publication of the Inspectors proposed Modifications, which have been appraised through the SA process (and presented in this SA Addendum).
- 10.1.4 Public consultation on the proposed Main Modifications is being undertaken and the SA Addendum has been published at the same time. At the end of the consultation period, the consultation responses and the SA Addendum will be passed to the Inspector for her consideration.
- 10.1.5 At the time the Local Plan is adopted an SA 'Statement' must be published that sets out (amongst other things):
- How the SA findings and the views of consultees are reflected in the adopted Plan,

*i.e. bringing the story of 'plan-making / SA up to this point' up to date;
and*
 - Measures decided concerning **monitoring**.

Appendix A: Screening the Proposed Main Modifications

Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications to the submitted Local Plan.

Main Modifications are proposed to be made to the submitted Local Plan, and a summary is set out in the schedule below. The end column sets out whether the modifications are likely to have a significant effect in terms of the SA findings; and therefore whether they should be screened in or out of the SA process at this stage.

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM1 <i>Policies Map change PM3</i>	Chapter 3 Policy S1 Presumption in favour of sustainable development	<p>Presumption in favour of sustainable development</p> <p>1. The council will work proactively with applicants and other stakeholders to seek solutions which mean that proposals can be approved wherever possible and to secure improvements to the economic, social and environmental conditions in Mansfield district.</p> <p>2. Planning applications that accord with the policies in this Local Plan will be approved without delay, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.</p> <p>3. If there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant policies are out of date at the time of making the decision, then planning permission will be granted unless material considerations indicate otherwise– taking into account whether:</p> <p>a. any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and</p>	<p>Presumption in favour of sustainable development</p> <p>1. The council will work proactively with applicants and other stakeholders to seek solutions which mean <u>to ensure</u> that proposals <u>contribute to sustainable development and</u> can be approved wherever possible, and to secure <u>will contribute towards</u> improvements to the economic, social and environmental conditions in Mansfield district.</p> <p>2. Planning applications that accord with the policies in this Local Plan will be approved without delay, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.</p> <p>3. If there are no policies relevant to the application or relevant the policies <u>which are most important for determining the application</u> are out of date at the time of making the decision, then planning permission will be granted unless material considerations indicate otherwise–</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 2)	<p>Provides clarity on how the presumption in favour of sustainable development will be applied. Will not lead to significant effects or a change in the SA findings.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework taken as a whole; or</p> <p>b. specific policies in the National Planning Policy Framework indicate that development should be restricted.</p>	<p>taking into account whether:</p> <p>a. any adverse impacts of granting permission would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework taken as a whole; or</p> <p>b. specific policies in the <u>application of policies within the</u> National Planning Policy Framework indicate that development should be restricted <u>that protect areas or assets of particular importance provides a clear reason for refusing the development proposed.</u></p>		
MM2	Chapter 3 Policy S2 The spatial strategy	<p>The spatial strategy</p> <p>The spatial strategy for Mansfield district to 2033 is to:</p> <p>1. manage planned growth by directing development to appropriate locations, in accordance with the following settlement hierarchy:</p> <p>a. Mansfield urban area. Most new</p>	<p>The spatial strategy</p> <p>The spatial strategy for Mansfield district to 2033 is to:</p> <p>1. manage planned growth by directing development to appropriate locations, in accordance with the following settlement hierarchy:</p> <p>a. Mansfield urban area. Most new</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 2)	<p>Changes do not alter the strategy substantially.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>housing, employment and district wide service development proposals will be concentrated within the Mansfield urban area (including Rainworth, Pleasley and Clipstone) on brownfield sites, other underutilised land and sustainable greenfield sites on the edge of the town. Development opportunities in the Mansfield urban area are focused, as far as possible, on locations which have good access to the Mansfield Ashfield Regeneration Route (MARR) to improve connections with Greater Nottingham and the M1. Particular regeneration opportunities within and adjacent to Mansfield town centre will be priorities for delivery. Development at Rainworth should reflect the more rural character of the settlement and be more limited in scale.</p> <p>b. Market Warsop. As the second largest settlement new development appropriate to its scale and regeneration needs will be directed to Market Warsop.</p>	<p>housing, employment and district wide service development proposals retail and other community facilities will be concentrated within the Mansfield urban area (including Rainworth, Pleasley and Clipstone) on brownfield sites, other underutilised land previously developed or other surplus or derelict land and sustainable greenfield sites on the edge of the town. Development opportunities in the Mansfield urban area are focused, as far as possible, on locations which have good access to the Mansfield Ashfield Regeneration Route (MARR) to improve connections with Greater Nottingham and the M1 and on locations which are well served by public transport. Particular regeneration opportunities within and adjacent to Mansfield town centre will be priorities for delivery. Development at Rainworth should reflect the more rural character of the settlement its identity as a separate settlement and be more limited in scale.</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>c. Warsop Parish Villages. Limited growth is directed to the settlements of Church Warsop, Meden Vale, Warsop Vale and Spion Kop to ensure the continued vitality of these rural communities whilst maintaining their distinctive character.</p> <p>2. enable housing, commercial and retail development, during the period 2013 to 2033, including:</p> <p>a. Housing: At least 6500 new homes between 2013 and 2033. This will be distributed as follows:</p> <p>i. Mansfield urban area - 90% or at least 5850 new homes; and</p> <p>ii. Warsop Parish - 10% or at least 650 new homes.</p> <p>b. Employment: safeguard important existing employment areas, identify sites to meet future economic development needs for employment land for at least 41ha of employment land between 2013 to 2033.</p>	<p>b. Market Warsop. As the second largest settlement new development appropriate to its scale and regeneration needs will be directed to Market Warsop.</p> <p>c. Warsop Parish Villages. Limited growth is directed to the settlements of Church Warsop, Meden Vale, Warsop Vale and Spion Kop to ensure the continued vitality of these rural communities whilst maintaining their distinctive character.</p> <p><u>d. Open Countryside. Only development appropriate to rural areas as set out in Policy S3 will be supported within the open countryside in order to recognise the intrinsic value character and wider benefits of the countryside.</u></p> <p>2. enable housing, commercial and retail development, during the period 2013 to 2033, including:</p> <p>a. Housing: At least 6500 new homes between 2013 and 2033. This will be distributed as follows:</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																																								
		<p>c. Retail: Up to 17,240 sqm of retail and leisure floorspace between 2017 and 2033 distributed as follow.</p> <table><tr><td></td><td>Mansfield town centre</td><td>Mansfield Woodhouse district centre</td><td>Market Warsop district centre</td><td>Housing growth areas</td></tr><tr><td>Comparison (A1)</td><td>11,100 sqm</td><td>700 sqm</td><td>700 sqm</td><td>700 sqm</td></tr><tr><td>Convenience (A1)</td><td>0 sqm</td><td>0 sqm</td><td>0 sqm</td><td>540 sqm</td></tr><tr><td>Food and drink, leisure (A3, A4, A5)</td><td>2,800 sqm</td><td>350 sqm</td><td>350 sqm</td><td></td></tr></table>		Mansfield town centre	Mansfield Woodhouse district centre	Market Warsop district centre	Housing growth areas	Comparison (A1)	11,100 sqm	700 sqm	700 sqm	700 sqm	Convenience (A1)	0 sqm	0 sqm	0 sqm	540 sqm	Food and drink, leisure (A3, A4, A5)	2,800 sqm	350 sqm	350 sqm		<p>i. Mansfield urban area - 90% or at least 5850 new homes; and</p> <p>ii. Warsop Parish - 10% or at least 650 new homes.</p> <p>b. Employment: safeguard important existing employment areas, identify sites to meet future economic development needs for employment land for at least 41ha of employment land between 2013 to 2033.</p> <p>c. Retail: Up to At least 17,240 sqm of retail and leisure floorspace between 2017 and 2033 distributed as follow identified in table 3.2.</p> <table><tr><td></td><td>Mansfield town centre</td><td>Mansfield Woodhouse district centre</td><td>Market Warsop district centre</td><td>Housing growth areas</td></tr><tr><td>Comparison (A1)</td><td>11,100 sqm</td><td>700 sqm</td><td>700 sqm</td><td>700 sqm</td></tr><tr><td>Convenience (A1)</td><td>0 sqm</td><td>0 sqm</td><td>0 sqm</td><td>540 sqm</td></tr><tr><td>Food and drink, leisure (A3, A4, A5)</td><td>2,800 sqm</td><td>350 sqm</td><td>350 sqm</td><td></td></tr></table>		Mansfield town centre	Mansfield Woodhouse district centre	Market Warsop district centre	Housing growth areas	Comparison (A1)	11,100 sqm	700 sqm	700 sqm	700 sqm	Convenience (A1)	0 sqm	0 sqm	0 sqm	540 sqm	Food and drink, leisure (A3, A4, A5)	2,800 sqm	350 sqm	350 sqm			
	Mansfield town centre	Mansfield Woodhouse district centre	Market Warsop district centre	Housing growth areas																																									
Comparison (A1)	11,100 sqm	700 sqm	700 sqm	700 sqm																																									
Convenience (A1)	0 sqm	0 sqm	0 sqm	540 sqm																																									
Food and drink, leisure (A3, A4, A5)	2,800 sqm	350 sqm	350 sqm																																										
	Mansfield town centre	Mansfield Woodhouse district centre	Market Warsop district centre	Housing growth areas																																									
Comparison (A1)	11,100 sqm	700 sqm	700 sqm	700 sqm																																									
Convenience (A1)	0 sqm	0 sqm	0 sqm	540 sqm																																									
Food and drink, leisure (A3, A4, A5)	2,800 sqm	350 sqm	350 sqm																																										

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																									
MM3	Chapter 3 Paragraph 3.14	The study splits the requirement between Mansfield town centre, Mansfield Woodhouse district centre, Market Warsop district centre and new housing growth areas.	<p>The study splits the requirement between Mansfield town centre, Mansfield Woodhouse district centre, Market Warsop district centre and new housing growth areas. <u>Table 3.2 below sets out the split between the different locations. It is expected that the Mansfield urban area (particularly the town centre) will be the main focus for any new facilities which will serve a wider area; this may include new retail development, restaurants, leisure centres or uses which will attract a large number of visitors.</u></p> <p><u>Table 3.2 Retail floorspace distribution</u></p> <table><tr><td></td><td><u>Mansfield town centre</u></td><td><u>Mansfield Woodhouse district centre</u></td><td><u>Market Warsop district centre</u></td><td><u>Housing growth areas</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Comparison (A1)</u></td><td><u>11,100 sqm</u></td><td><u>700 sqm</u></td><td><u>700 sqm</u></td><td><u>700 sqm</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Convenience (A1)</u></td><td><u>0 sqm</u></td><td><u>0 sqm</u></td><td><u>0 sqm</u></td><td><u>540 sqm</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Food and drink, leisure (A3, A4, A5)</u></td><td><u>2,800 sqm</u></td><td><u>350 sqm</u></td><td><u>350 sqm</u></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr></table>		<u>Mansfield town centre</u>	<u>Mansfield Woodhouse district centre</u>	<u>Market Warsop district centre</u>	<u>Housing growth areas</u>	<u>Comparison (A1)</u>	<u>11,100 sqm</u>	<u>700 sqm</u>	<u>700 sqm</u>	<u>700 sqm</u>	<u>Convenience (A1)</u>	<u>0 sqm</u>	<u>0 sqm</u>	<u>0 sqm</u>	<u>540 sqm</u>	<u>Food and drink, leisure (A3, A4, A5)</u>	<u>2,800 sqm</u>	<u>350 sqm</u>	<u>350 sqm</u>							Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 2)	<p>Table 3.2 sets out the retail floor space distribution. The additional text provides clarity that the Mansfield Urban Area is the focus for new facilities. The principal behind the policy remains the same though, and so the effects in the SA remain the same.</p> <p>Screened out</p>
	<u>Mansfield town centre</u>	<u>Mansfield Woodhouse district centre</u>	<u>Market Warsop district centre</u>	<u>Housing growth areas</u>																										
<u>Comparison (A1)</u>	<u>11,100 sqm</u>	<u>700 sqm</u>	<u>700 sqm</u>	<u>700 sqm</u>																										
<u>Convenience (A1)</u>	<u>0 sqm</u>	<u>0 sqm</u>	<u>0 sqm</u>	<u>540 sqm</u>																										
<u>Food and drink, leisure (A3, A4, A5)</u>	<u>2,800 sqm</u>	<u>350 sqm</u>	<u>350 sqm</u>																											

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM4	Chapter 3 Policy S3 S4 Urban regeneration	<p>Urban regeneration</p> <p>1. Development proposals which help reuse previously developed land within the Mansfield and Market Warsop urban areas will be supported, particularly where they:</p> <p>a. bring forward high quality housing and mixed use development; or</p> <p>b. facilitate the upgrading of older / less popular areas of housing through selective refurbishment, demolition and replacement of properties; or</p> <p>c. provide economic development that diversifies the local economy; or</p> <p>d. enhance townscape, civic spaces and heritage assets and their setting*; or</p> <p>e. improve the appearance of key gateways and other prominent sites by providing well designed landmark buildings which help create a positive image of the district</p>	<p>Urban regeneration</p> <p>1. Development proposals which help reuse previously developed <u>or other surplus or derelict</u> land within the Mansfield and Market Warsop urban areas will be supported, particularly where they:</p> <p>a. bring forward high quality housing and mixed use development; or</p> <p>b. facilitate the upgrading of older / less popular areas of housing through selective refurbishment, demolition and replacement of properties; or</p> <p>c. provide economic development that diversifies the local economy; or</p> <p>d. enhance townscape, civic spaces and heritage assets and their setting*; or</p> <p>e. improve the appearance of key gateways and other prominent sites by providing well designed landmark buildings which help create</p>	Discussion at hearing sessions (Main Matter 2).	<p>Clarification provided that there may be other surplus or derelict land that is not previously developed. The policy principle remains the same though and SA findings are not affected.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>and give it a sense of identity; or</p> <p>f. improve resilience to flooding and climate change, taking opportunities to restore the river ecology of the River Maun; or</p> <p>g. improve traffic arrangements, including the reduction of vehicle / pedestrian conflict and the barrier effect of the Mansfield town centre ring road; or</p> <p>h. create stronger walking and cycling links including within the central residential and commercial areas of the town centres and where relevant to the green infrastructure, footpath and cycle networks; or</p> <p>i. create green infrastructure, including open space and wildlife corridors.</p> <p><i>* Enhancements to heritage assets include bringing them back into use, enabling development, repairing or restoring them, particularly if they are at risk</i></p>	<p>a positive image of the district and give it a sense of identity; or</p> <p>f. improve resilience to flooding and climate change, taking opportunities to restore the river ecology of the River Maun; or</p> <p>g. improve traffic arrangements, including the reduction of vehicle / pedestrian conflict and the barrier effect of the Mansfield town centre ring road; or</p> <p>h. create stronger walking and cycling links including within the central residential and commercial areas of the town centres and where relevant to the green infrastructure, footpath and cycle networks; or</p> <p>i. create green infrastructure, including open space and wildlife corridors.</p> <p><i>* Enhancements to heritage assets include bringing them back into use, enabling development, repairing or restoring them, particularly if they are</i></p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																												
			<i>at risk</i>																														
MM5	Chapter 3 Policy S4 S5 Delivering key regeneration sites	<p>Delivering key regeneration sites</p> <p>1. The following sites are key regeneration opportunities. Development proposals that would appropriately re-use these sites will be supported.</p> <table><tr><td>Site ref</td><td>Location</td><td>Hectares</td></tr><tr><td>S4a</td><td>White Hart Street</td><td>3.5</td></tr><tr><td>S4b</td><td>Portland Gateway</td><td>28.9</td></tr><tr><td>S4c</td><td>Riverside</td><td>3.9</td></tr></table>	Site ref	Location	Hectares	S4a	White Hart Street	3.5	S4b	Portland Gateway	28.9	S4c	Riverside	3.9	<p>Delivering key regeneration sites</p> <p>1. The following sites are key regeneration opportunities. Development proposals that would re-use these sites, <u>including for the uses identified in the table below,</u> will be supported.</p> <table><tr><td>Site ref</td><td>Location</td><td>Hectares</td><td><u>Appropriate uses</u></td></tr><tr><td>S4a</td><td>White Hart Street</td><td>3.5</td><td><u>Retail, office, residential, hotel and leisure uses</u></td></tr><tr><td>S4b</td><td>Portland Gateway</td><td>28.9</td><td><u>Industrial, office, residential, and small scale retail uses, and improvements to Mansfield Town Football Club's stadium</u></td></tr><tr><td>S4c</td><td>Riverside</td><td>3.9</td><td><u>Industrial, office, residential, and small scale retail uses, and improvements to Mansfield Town Football Club's stadium</u></td></tr></table>	Site ref	Location	Hectares	<u>Appropriate uses</u>	S4a	White Hart Street	3.5	<u>Retail, office, residential, hotel and leisure uses</u>	S4b	Portland Gateway	28.9	<u>Industrial, office, residential, and small scale retail uses, and improvements to Mansfield Town Football Club's stadium</u>	S4c	Riverside	3.9	<u>Industrial, office, residential, and small scale retail uses, and improvements to Mansfield Town Football Club's stadium</u>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 2)	<p>Provides clarity for developers about what uses are acceptable at the regeneration sites. This should help to guide appropriate uses and streamline the planning application process, which is beneficial in terms of the socio-economic factors.</p> <p>This would have benefits, but not significant ones.</p> <p>Screened out</p>
Site ref	Location	Hectares																															
S4a	White Hart Street	3.5																															
S4b	Portland Gateway	28.9																															
S4c	Riverside	3.9																															
Site ref	Location	Hectares	<u>Appropriate uses</u>																														
S4a	White Hart Street	3.5	<u>Retail, office, residential, hotel and leisure uses</u>																														
S4b	Portland Gateway	28.9	<u>Industrial, office, residential, and small scale retail uses, and improvements to Mansfield Town Football Club's stadium</u>																														
S4c	Riverside	3.9	<u>Industrial, office, residential, and small scale retail uses, and improvements to Mansfield Town Football Club's stadium</u>																														
MM6	Chapter 3 Policy S5 S3 Development in the countryside	<p>Development in the countryside</p> <p>1. Land outside the Mansfield urban area, the Market Warsop urban area and the other settlement boundaries</p>	<p><i>Relocate Policy S5 to follow Policy S2</i></p> <p>Development in the countryside</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 2)	Wording clarifications that do not fundamentally change the																												

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>(as shown on the Policies Map) is identified as countryside. Proposals for development within the countryside where listed in (a) to (o) below will be supported, subject to those considerations set out in criterion 2 below:</p> <p>a. agricultural, including agricultural workers' dwellings;</p> <p>b. the re-use and adaptation of buildings for appropriate uses;</p> <p>c. the redevelopment of previously developed land;</p> <p>d. flood protection;</p> <p>e. the limited extension and replacement of dwellings;</p> <p>f. expansion of all types of business and enterprise in rural areas, through conversion and extension of existing buildings and well-designed new buildings;</p> <p>g. sites for Gypsies and Travellers</p>	<p>1. Land outside the Mansfield urban area, the Market Warsop urban area and the other settlement boundaries (as shown on the Policies Map) is identified as countryside. Proposals for development within the countryside where listed in (a) to (o) below will be supported, subject to those considerations set out in criterion 2 below:</p> <p>a. agricultural, including agricultural workers' dwellings;</p> <p>b. the re-use and adaptation of buildings for appropriate uses;</p> <p>c. the redevelopment of previously developed land;</p> <p>d. flood protection;</p> <p>e. the limited extension and replacement of dwellings;</p> <p>f. expansion of all types of business and enterprise in rural areas, through conversion and extension of existing buildings and well-designed new</p>		<p>principles of the policy. No implications for the SA findings.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>and Travelling Showpeople in accordance with Policy H8;</p> <p>h. small-scale employment generating development or farm diversification;</p> <p>i. development by statutory undertakers or public utility providers;</p> <p>j. recreation and tourism;</p> <p>k. small-scale residential development of self-build or innovative/exceptional design;</p> <p>l. community services and facilities meeting a proven local need;</p> <p>m. renewable energy;</p> <p>n. transport infrastructure; and</p> <p>o. employment land in accordance with the provisions of Policy E4.</p> <p>2. Development in accordance with (a) to (o) above will be supported</p>	<p>buildings;</p> <p>g. sites for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in accordance with Policy H8;</p> <p>h. small-scale employment generating development or farm diversification;</p> <p>i. development by statutory undertakers or public utility providers;</p> <p>j. recreation and tourism;</p> <p>k. small-scale residential development of self-build or <u>individual dwellings of</u> innovative/ <u>or</u> exceptional design;</p> <p>l. community services and facilities meeting a proven local need;</p> <p>m. renewable energy <u>and low carbon energy in accordance with Policy CC1:</u></p> <p>n. transport infrastructure; and</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>where:</p> <p>i. the appearance and character of the landscape, including its historic character and features such as views, settlement pattern, rivers, watercourses, field patterns and local distinctiveness is safeguarded and where practical enhanced.</p> <p>ii. it does not lead to, either individually or cumulatively with existing or proposed development, the physical and perceived coalescence of settlements;</p> <p>iii. it does not create or exacerbate ribbon development;</p> <p>iv. any new development is well integrated with existing and the reuse of existing buildings has been explored where appropriate;</p> <p>v. it is appropriately accessible, or will be made accessible, by a range of sustainable transport;</p> <p>vi. takes account of agricultural land</p>	<p>o. employment land in accordance with the provisions of Policy E4.</p> <p>2. Development in accordance with (a) to (e) <u>Development falling within categories (a) to (o) above will only be supported where:</u></p> <p>i. the appearance and character of the landscape, including its historic character and features such as views, settlement pattern, rivers, watercourses, field patterns and local distinctiveness is safeguarded <u>or</u> and where practical enhanced;</p> <p>ii. it does not lead to, either individually or cumulatively with existing or proposed development, the physical and perceived coalescence of settlements;</p> <p>iii. it does not create or exacerbate ribbon development;</p> <p>iv. any new development is well integrated with existing and the reuse of existing buildings has been</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		classifications avoiding the best and most versatile land where possible.	<p>explored where appropriate;</p> <p>v. it is appropriately accessible <u>for the type of development proposed</u> or, <u>in the case of major development</u>, will be made accessible by a range of sustainable <u>modes of</u> transport; <u>and</u></p> <p>vi. takes account of agricultural land classifications avoiding the best and most versatile land where possible.</p>		
MM7	Chapter 3 Paragraph 3.38	Small scale development (as mentioned in criteria h and k) refers to non- major development which is 9 dwellings or less.	<p><u>New isolated homes in the countryside will not be supported unless there are special circumstances such as:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>The essential need for a rural worker to live permanently at or near their place of work in the countryside</u> • <u>Where such development would represent the optimal viable use of a heritage asset or would be appropriate enabling development to secure future heritage assets</u> 	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 2). This is new supporting text to follow on from paragraph 3.37.	Supporting text. No implications for SA findings. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Where the development would re-use redundant or disused buildings and lead to an enhancement to the immediate setting</u> <u>The exceptional quality or innovative nature of the design of the dwelling</u> <p><u>Such a design should:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Be truly outstanding or innovative, helping to raise standards of design more generally in rural areas</u> <u>Reflect the highest standards in architecture</u> <u>Significantly enhance its immediate setting</u> <u>Be sensitive to the defining characteristics of the local area</u> 		
MM8	Chapter 3 New Paragraph after 3.37		<u>An 'appropriate accessibility' for new developments will be assessed according to the scale of the development and the number of trips generated.</u>		Supporting text for clarity. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM9	Chapter 4 Policy P1 Achieving high quality design	<p>Achieving high quality design</p> <p>1. All new major development proposals (including new build, conversions and extensions) will be supported where they contribute positively to the creation of well-designed buildings and places. This will be achieved by developers demonstrating that they have placed good design at the heart of their proposals and in particular by submitting supporting evidence that they have:</p> <p>a. undertaken a thorough and robust site and contextual analysis, identifying and responding to opportunities and constraints;</p> <p>b. involved local communities and key stakeholders, including the local planning authority, at an early stage in the development of design solutions;</p> <p>c. responded positively to Mansfield's</p>	<p>Achieving high quality design</p> <p>1. All new major development proposals (including new build, conversions and extensions) will be supported where they contribute positively to the creation of <u>inclusive and accessible</u> well-designed buildings and places. This will be achieved by developers demonstrating that they have placed good design at the heart of their proposals and in particular by submitting supporting evidence that they have:</p> <p>a. Undertaken a thorough and robust site and contextual analysis, identifying and responding to opportunities and constraints;</p> <p>b. Involved local communities and key stakeholders, including the local planning authority, at an early stage in the development of design solutions;</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 3)	<p>Provides a more explicit reference to the need for inclusive and accessible developments as a key component of design. This is positive.</p> <p>Whilst unlikely to generate significant effects alone, it could contribute to a significant effect in combination with other Modifications. This should be explored and so the Mod is screened in.</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>place making principles and Building for Life 12; and</p> <p>d. where appropriate, engaged with and responded to the recommendations of design review.</p> <p>2. Where schemes are assessed as having performed well against Buildings for Life 12 or successor scheme, they will be deemed also to have accorded with Policies P2* and P3.</p> <p><i>*with the exception of requirement for health impact assessment checklist for major schemes (Policy P2 (2) refers)</i></p>	<p>c. Responded positively to the Mansfield's p <u>Place m</u> M <u>aking</u> p <u>Principles and</u> or Building for Life 12; and</p> <p>d. Where appropriate, engaged with and responded to the recommendations of design review.</p> <p>2. Where schemes are assessed as having performed well against Building for Life 12 or successor scheme, they will be deemed also to have accorded with Policies P2* and P3.</p> <p><i>*with the exception of requirement for health impact assessment checklist for major schemes (policy P2 (2) refers)</i></p>		
MM10	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.11	<p>Design and Access Statements for major developments should, proportionate to the scale and complexity of the proposal, provide an explanation of the design process and include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a thorough and robust site and contextual analysis. A robust analysis will involve 	<p>Design and Access Statements for major developments should, proportionate to the scale and complexity of the proposal, provide an explanation of the design process and include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a thorough and robust site and contextual analysis. A robust analysis will involve 	To clarify policy approach.	<p>Clarification that has no implications for the SA findings as the principles of the policy remain the same.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>identifying and agreeing with the council site and contextual opportunities and constraints. To ensure the robustness of this process, the council will expect applicants to actively engage other stakeholders as necessary;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> for all types of development, a concise statement of how the design has considered and responded to the Mansfield Place Making Principles; and for residential developments of ten homes or more Design and Access Statements will be expected to be structured against the Building for Life 12 questions. 	<p>identifying and agreeing with the council site and contextual opportunities and constraints. To ensure the robustness of this process, the council will expect applicants to actively engage other stakeholders as necessary;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> for all types of <u>non-residential</u> development a <u>Design and Access Statement will be expected to include</u>, a concise statement of how the design has considered and responded to the Mansfield Place Making Principles; and for residential developments of ten homes or more Design and Access Statements will be expected to be structured against the Building for Life 12 questions. 		
MM11	Chapter 4 Policy P2	<p>Safe, healthy and attractive development</p> <p>1. Development will be supported provided it creates a strong sense of</p>	<p>Safe, healthy and attractive development</p> <p>1. Development will be supported provided it creates a strong sense of</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 3)	Greater emphasis on inclusive and accessible development. In combination with

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>place and is appropriate to its context in terms of layout, scale, density, detailing and materials through:</p> <p>a. respecting, where appropriate, existing patterns of development which contribute to the character of the area;</p> <p>b. retaining, integrating and enhancing existing built and natural features which contribute to creating a distinctive identity;</p> <p>c. taking opportunities to create new public open spaces, landmark buildings, landscape features (including street trees), views and public art as an integral part of the design;</p> <p>d. taking opportunities to promote physical activity;</p> <p>e. providing variety, on larger developments, with different character areas and a hierarchy of street types;</p>	<p>place and is <u>inclusive and accessible and</u> appropriate to its context in terms of layout, scale, density, detailing and materials through:</p> <p>a. respecting, where appropriate, existing patterns of development which contribute to the character of the area;</p> <p>b. retaining, integrating and enhancing existing built and natural features which contribute to creating a distinctive identity;</p> <p>c. taking opportunities to create new public open spaces, landmark buildings, landscape features (including street trees), views and public art as an integral part of the design;</p> <p>d. taking opportunities to promote physical activity;</p> <p>e. providing variety, on larger developments, with different character areas and a hierarchy of</p>		<p>other Modifications could potentially lead to significant effects.</p> <p>Screened in</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>f. creating attractive streetscapes and spaces which are defined and brought to life by the layout, scale and appearance of the buildings;</p> <p>g. minimising the opportunities for crime by designing in high levels of security which meets 'secure by design' principles (or equivalent) including the use of natural surveillance and ensuring public spaces are clearly distinguished from private spaces and are well lit;</p> <p>h. providing adequate and accessible external storage space for waste, recycling and bicycles;</p> <p>i. avoiding obtrusive skyline views; and</p> <p>j. ensuring that any tall buildings are appropriate to their location, are of high quality design and do not detract from key views or heritage assets and their settings, nor create unacceptable local environmental conditions.</p>	<p>street types;</p> <p>f. creating attractive streetscapes and spaces which are defined and brought to life by the layout, scale and appearance of the buildings;</p> <p>g. minimising the opportunities for crime by designing in high levels of security which meets 'secure by design' principles (or equivalent) including the use of natural surveillance and ensuring public spaces are clearly distinguished from private spaces and are well lit;</p> <p>h. providing adequate and accessible external storage space for waste, recycling and bicycles;</p> <p>i. avoiding obtrusive skyline views; and</p> <p>j. ensuring that any tall buildings are appropriate to their location, are of high quality design and do not detract from key views or heritage assets and their settings, nor create unacceptable local environmental</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			conditions.		
MM12	Chapter 4 Policy P4	<p>Comprehensive Development</p> <p>1. Development proposals will be supported provided they do not jeopardise the comprehensive delivery of allocated sites or sites with existing planning permission or their associated infrastructure, and in all cases must not:</p> <p>a. Prejudice the development of adjoining land with longer term potential; or</p> <p>b. Lead to piecemeal forms of development.</p> <p>2. On large sites (of five more hectares or 150 dwellings) a masterplan for the whole site will be required to be submitted as part of any planning application.</p>	<p>Comprehensive Development</p> <p>1. Development proposals will be supported provided they do not jeopardise the comprehensive delivery of allocated sites or sites with existing planning permission or their associated infrastructure, and in all cases must not:</p> <p>a. Prejudice the development of adjoining land with longer term potential; or</p> <p>b. Lead to piecemeal forms of development.</p> <p>2. On large sites (of five <u>hectares or more</u> hectares or 150 dwellings <u>or more</u>) a masterplan for the whole site will be required to be submitted as part of any planning application, <u>in accordance with the Masterplanning requirements within Appendix 8. For large allocated sites which may be developed in phases, it is</u></p>	<p>Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 3)</p>	<p>Greater emphasis that large allocated sites need to deliver integrated development (supporting text has been brought into the actual policy wording)</p> <p>Whilst positive, this is unlikely to have significant effects.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<u>important that we are able to assess how the whole scheme works as one. This includes ensuring that open space contributes to the wider green infrastructure network, any cumulative infrastructure requirements can be delivered and access arrangements are suitable for the total scale of development.</u>		
MM13	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.36	For large allocated sites which may be developed in phases, it is important that we are able to assess how the whole scheme works as one. This includes ensuring that open space contributes to the wider green infrastructure network, any cumulative infrastructure requirements can be delivered and access arrangements are suitable for the total scale of development.	For large allocated sites which may be developed in phases, it is important that we are able to assess how the whole scheme works as one. This includes ensuring that open space contributes to the wider green infrastructure network, any cumulative infrastructure requirements can be delivered and access arrangements are suitable for the total scale of development.	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 3)	Wording has been moved to the policy. See above discussion for MM12 Screened out
MM14	Chapter 4 Policy P7 Amenity	Amenity 1. Proposals for development will be designed and constructed to avoid and minimise impacts on the amenity of both existing and future uses, alone and in combination, within the	Amenity 1. Proposals for development will be designed and constructed to avoid and minimise impacts on the amenity of both existing and future uses <u>users</u> , alone and in combination,	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 3)	Policy principle remains the same with no major additions. Screened out.

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>development and close to it. As such, development proposals will be supported where it can be demonstrated that:</p> <p>a. they do not have a significant adverse effect on the living conditions of existing and new residents through loss of privacy, excessive overshadowing or overbearing impact; and</p> <p>b. they do not generate a level of activity, noise, light, air quality, odour, vibration or other pollution which cannot be mitigated to an appropriate standard.</p> <p>2. Development will not be permitted where the amenity of future occupants would be below an unacceptable level.</p>	<p>within the development and close to it. As such, development proposals will be supported where it can be demonstrated that <u>expected to:</u></p> <p>a. they do not have a significant adverse effect on the living conditions of existing and new residents <u>and future occupiers of the proposed development</u> through loss of privacy, excessive overshadowing or overbearing impact; and</p> <p>b. they do not generate a level of activity, noise, light, air quality, odour, vibration or other pollution which cannot be mitigated to an appropriate standard.</p> <p>2. Development will not be permitted where the amenity of future occupants would be below an unacceptable level.</p>		
MM15	Chapter 4 Paragraph 4.53	Planning applications should demonstrate that they meet the requirements of Policy P7 through an relevant and appropriate assessments carried out by a	Planning applications should demonstrate that they meet the requirements of Policy P7 through an relevant and appropriate assessments carried out by a	Respond to comment and Nottinghamshire Rapid Health Impact	Additional detail on policy implementation should help to ensure that more

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		qualified assessor. Whilst this policy mainly addresses local impacts on residential areas, Policy NE3 (Pollution and land instability) may also apply which looks at wider impacts, including on the natural environment.	qualified assessor. Whilst this policy mainly addresses local impacts on residential areas, Policy NE3 (Pollution and land instability) may also apply which looks at wider impacts, including on the natural environment. <u>An Air Quality and Emissions Mitigation Guidance for Developers Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will provide further guidance for policy implementation.</u>	Assessment of Mansfield District Council Publication Draft 2013-2033 / discussions with Nottinghamshire County Council.	positive outcomes are achieved, which is positive. The effects would be associated with the SPD though and so at this stage this Modification is screened out.
MM16	Chapter 4 Policy P8 Shop front design and signage	Shop front design and signage 1. New and alterations to existing shop fronts will be supported where they: a. respect the scale, proportions, character and materials of the whole building and where appropriate, adjoining buildings and the wider street scene; b. retain any existing independent access to upper floors and take opportunities to provide such access from the street where none currently exists;	Shop front design and signage 1. New and alterations to existing shop fronts will be supported where they: a. respect the scale, proportions, character and materials of the whole building and where appropriate, adjoining buildings and the wider street scene; b. retain any existing independent access to upper floors and take opportunities to provide such access from the street where none currently exists;	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 3)	Detail with regards to heritage assets and conservation areas has been removed. This leaves the application of the policy open to greater interpretation. However, it is unlikely to lead to a significant change to the SA findings. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>c. provide fully accessible entrances for all users where appropriate to do so;</p> <p>d. respect the design of individual units when combining two or more units; and</p> <p>e. avoid the provision of external shutters and the use of external roller shutter boxes unless this can be fully justified in appropriate circumstances.</p> <p>2. In conservation areas, changes to shop fronts will not be permitted if they fail to contribute to the preservation and enhancement of the area's character, appearance and setting.</p> <p>3. The following considerations apply to advertisement proposals:</p> <p>a. they should be appropriate in size and scale to the building on which they are to be attached especially those associated with</p>	<p>c. provide fully accessible entrances for all users where appropriate to do so;</p> <p>d. respect the design of individual units when combining two or more units; and</p> <p>e. avoid the provision of external shutters and the use of external roller shutter boxes unless this can be fully justified in appropriate circumstances.</p> <p>2. In conservation areas <u>Where proposals affect heritage assets,</u> changes to shop fronts <u>and signage</u> will not be permitted if they fail to contribute to the preservation <u>preserve and or enhance</u> enhancement of the area's character, appearance and setting. <u>The following considerations will also apply to advertisement proposals:</u></p> <p>3. The following considerations apply to advertisement proposals:</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>listed buildings and conservation areas;</p> <p>b. any illuminated advertisements are required to be fully justified and not be over dominant in the street scene particularly in relation to heritage assets; and</p> <p>c. internally lit box signs will not be appropriate on listed buildings or within conservation areas.</p>	<p>a. they should be appropriate in size and scale to the building on which they are to be attached especially those associated with listed buildings and conservation areas;</p> <p>b. any illuminated advertisements <u>should conserve or enhance the appearance of the heritage asset and surrounding area</u> are required to be fully justified and not be over dominant in the street scene particularly in relation to heritage assets; and</p> <p>c. Internally lit box signs will not be appropriate on listed buildings or within conservation areas.</p>		
MM17	Chapter 5 Policy H1a Clipstone Road East	<p>Clipstone Road East</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 511 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provision of onsite open space as part of green corridors through the development to enable access to the Timberland Trail; • provision of features to protect 	<p>Clipstone Road East</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 511 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • provision of onsite open space as part of green corridors through the development to enable access to the Timberland Trail; • provision of features to protect 	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 6)	<p>Provides specific requirements to ascertain impacts on the species common to the ppSPA. This is positive for biodiversity.</p> <p>Screened in</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>and, if possible, enhance water quality and flow of Vicar Water; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> contributions toward the improvement of bus stops within the vicinity of the site. 	<p>and, if possible, enhance water quality and flow of Vicar Water; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> contributions toward the improvement of bus stops within the vicinity of the site; <u>and</u> <u>an application specific assessment to identify and address impacts on nightjar and woodlark and their habitats.</u> 		
MM18	Chapter 5 Policy H1b Land off Skegby Lane	<p>Land off Skegby Lane</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 215 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provision of a landscape buffer along the western boundary of the site to avoid coalescence with Sutton in Ashfield; addressing low soil permeability through appropriate measures; enhanced cycle provision along or parallel to Skegby Lane; 	<p>Land off Skegby Lane</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 215 194 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> provision of a landscape buffer along the western boundary of the site to avoid coalescence with Sutton in Ashfield; addressing low soil permeability through appropriate measures; enhanced cycle provision along or parallel to Skegby Lane; 	Reflect need to provide onsite primary school	<p>Small change to housing numbers unlikely to have significant effects.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • an off-site open space contribution towards improvements at Millennium Green (Skegby Lane); and • provision of walking and cycling improvements to existing pathways leading to nearby open space, hospitals, shops and the Timberland Trail. <p>Contributions will be required to mitigate the impact of development on the following junctions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kings Mill Road/ Beck Lane/ B6014 Skegby Lane/ Mansfield Road; • Sutton Road / Skegby Lane/ Sheepbridge Lane • Any further junctions that are identified by the Transport Assessment required to support a future planning application for the site. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • an off-site open space contribution towards improvements at Millennium Green (Skegby Lane); and • provision of walking and cycling improvements to existing pathways leading to nearby open space, hospitals, shops and the Timberland Trail. <p>Contributions will be required to mitigate the impact of development on the following junctions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kings Mill Road/ Beck Lane/ B6014 Skegby Lane/ Mansfield Road; • Sutton Road / Skegby Lane/ Sheepbridge Lane; and • Any further junctions that are identified by the Transport Assessment required to support a future planning application for the site. 		
MM19 <i>Policies Map change PM5</i>	Chapter 5 Policy H1c Fields Farm, Abbott Road	Fields Farm, Abbott Road This site is allocated for approximately 200 new homes subject to:	Fields Farm, Abbott Road This site is allocated for approximately 200 new homes subject to:	Respond to comment from statutory consultee.	Wording in relation to archaeological assessments clarified. Unlikely to have a

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • retention and enhancement of the existing public rights of way; • enhancement of habitat connections between existing woodland on-site and nearby, through the retention and creation of habitat areas on-site; • an off-site open space contribution towards improvements on Abbott Road Playing Field; • an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed; • protection of the buildings and other features that make up the non-designated local heritage asset at Ashland Farm; • addressing low soil permeability through appropriate measures; and <p>Contributions will be required to mitigate the impact of development</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • retention and enhancement of the existing public rights of way; • enhancement of habitat connections between existing woodland on-site and nearby, through the retention and creation of habitat areas on-site; • an off-site open space contribution towards improvements on Abbott Road Playing Field; • an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed <u>any development proposals would need to be supported by detailed desk based archaeology assessment and the results of a staged pre-determination programme of archaeological investigation on the basis of an approved Written Scheme of Investigation:</u> • protection of the buildings and 		<p>significant effect as the principle remains the same.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>on the following junctions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kings Mill Road/ Beck Lane/ B6014 Skegby Lane/ Mansfield Road; • Sutton Road / Skegby Lane/ Sheepbridge Lane • Any further junctions that are identified by the Transport Assessment required to support a future planning application for the site. 	<p>other features that make up the non-designated local heritage asset at Ashland Farm; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • addressing low soil permeability through appropriate measures; and <p>Contributions will be required to mitigate the impact of development on the following junctions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kings Mill Road/ Beck Lane/ B6014 Skegby Lane/ Mansfield Road; • Sutton Road / Skegby Lane/ Sheepbridge Lane; and • Any further junctions that are identified by the Transport Assessment required to support a future planning application for the site. 		
MM20 <i>Policies Map change PM6</i>	Chapter 5 Policy H1d Three Thorn Hollows s Farm	<p>Three Thorn Hollow Farm</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 188 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the provision of enhanced walking and cycling links to the Mansfield Way; 	<p>Three Thorn Hollows s Farm</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 188 200 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the provision of enhanced walking and cycling links to the Mansfield Way; 	To correct error and respond to comments (including statutory consultees)	Slight increase in housing numbers not likely to have significant effects in relation to biodiversity impacts, or other factors such as

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the creation and maintenance of an appropriate ecological buffers to Rainworth Lakes SSSI, Foul Evil Brook and local wildlife site located to the south; provision of onsite open space including play / formal recreational provision; provision of features to protect and, if possible, enhance water quality and flow of Foul Evil Brook and Rainworth Water; a design, layout and density that is reflective of the rural character of Rainworth; an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed; protection of the buildings and other features that make up the non-designated local heritage asset at Three Thorn Hollows Farm; reinstatement of sympathetic field boundaries along 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the creation and maintenance of an appropriate ecological buffers to Rainworth Lakes SSSI, Foul Evil Brook and local wildlife site located to the south; provision of onsite open space including play / formal recreational provision; provision of features to protect and, if possible, enhance water quality and flow of Foul Evil Brook and Rainworth Water; a design, layout and density that is reflective of the rural character of Rainworth; an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed <u>any development proposals would need to be supported by detailed desk based archaeology assessment and the results of a staged pre-determination programme of archaeological</u> 		<p>play space.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>Blidworth Lane and the southern edge of site boundary which complement the Sherwood landscape character;</p> <p>Contributions will be required to mitigate the impact of development on the following junctions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A6176 MARR/ A6191 Southwell Road • Any further junctions that are identified by the Transport Assessment required to support a future planning application for the site. 	<p><u>investigation on the basis of an approved Written Scheme of Investigation:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • protection of the buildings and other features that make up the non-designated local heritage asset at Three Thorn Hollows Farm; <u>and</u> • reinstatement <u>provision</u> of sympathetic field boundaries along Blidworth Lane and the southern edge of site boundary which complement the Sherwood landscape character.; <p>Contributions will be required to mitigate the impact of development on the following junctions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A6176 MARR/ A6191 Southwell Road; <u>and</u> • Any further junctions that are identified by the Transport Assessment required to support a future planning application for the site. 		
MM21	Chapter 5 Policy H1f Former	Former Rosebrook Primary School This site is allocated for	Former Rosebrook Primary School This site is allocated for	Discussion at hearing session (Main	Wording in relation to archaeological assessments

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
	Rosebrook Primary School	<p>approximately 134 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the provision cycling and walking routes connecting with and along Hall Barn Lane and Hall Barn Lane open space; an off-site open space contribution towards improvements at Abbott Road Playing Field; and an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed. <p>Contributions will be required to mitigate the impact of development on the following junctions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chesterfield Road / Debdale Lane Any further junctions that are identified by the Transport Assessment required to support a future planning application for the site. 	<p>approximately 134 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the provision cycling and walking routes connecting with and along Hall Barn Lane and Hall Barn Lane open space; an off-site open space contribution towards improvements at Abbott Road Playing Field; and an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed <u>any development proposals would need to be supported by detailed desk based archaeology assessment and the results of a staged pre-determination programme of archaeological investigation on the basis of an approved Written Scheme of Investigation; and</u> <u>the positioning of the site access off Abbott Road</u> 	Matter 6)	<p>clarified. Unlikely to have a significant effect as the principle remains the same.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<p><u>(A6075).</u></p> <p>Contributions will be required to mitigate the impact of development on the following junctions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chesterfield Road / Debdale Lane; <u>and</u> Any further junctions that are identified by the Transport Assessment required to support a future planning application for the site. 		
MM22	Chapter 5 Policy H1g Abbott Road	<p>Abbott Road</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 102 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the on-site provision of open space with play / formal provision enhancements and to maintain access for local residents; an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed; addressing low soil permeability through 	<p>Abbott Road</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 102 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the on-site provision of open space with play / formal provision enhancements and to maintain access for local residents; an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed <u>any development proposals would need to be supported by detailed desk</u> 	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 6)	<p>Wording in relation to archaeological assessments clarified. Unlikely to have a significant effect as the principle remains the same.</p> <p>Screened out</p> <p>With regards to impacts on the road network, the changes are positive and are likely to have</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>appropriate measures; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the retention and enhancement of the on-site football pitches and associated facilities. 	<p><u>based archaeology assessment and the results of a staged pre-determination programme of archaeological investigation on the basis of an approved Written Scheme of Investigation:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> addressing low soil permeability through appropriate measures; and the retention and enhancement of the on-site football pitches and associated facilities. <p><u>Contributions will be required to mitigate the impact of development on the following junctions:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Kings Mill Road/ Beck Lane/ B6014 Skegby Lane / Mansfield Road;</u> <u>Sutton Road / Skegby Lane/ Sheepbridge Lane; and</u> <u>Any further junctions that are identified by the Transport Assessment required to support a future</u> 		<p>benefits in terms of congestion, air quality and accessibility.</p> <p>Screened in</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<u>planning application for the site.</u>		
MM23	Chapter 5 Policy H1i Former Mansfield Brewery (part a)	<p>Former Mansfield Brewery (part a)</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 70 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the creation of new habitats alongside sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) provided on-site; an off-site open space contribution towards improvements at Titchfield Park; protection of the buildings and other features that make up the nearby heritage asset including conservation areas, listed buildings and non-designated heritage assets; an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed. 	<p>Former Mansfield Brewery (part a)</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 70 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the creation of new habitats alongside sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) provided on-site; an off-site open space contribution towards improvements at Titchfield Park; protection of the buildings and other features that make up the nearby heritage asset including conservation areas, listed buildings and non-designated heritage assets; <u>and</u> an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed <u>any development proposals would need to be</u> 	To respond to comment from statutory consultee.	<p>Wording in relation to archaeological assessments clarified. Unlikely to have a significant effect as the principle remains the same.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<u>supported by detailed desk based archaeology assessment and the results of a staged pre-determination programme of archaeological investigation on the basis of an approved Written Scheme of Investigation.</u>		
MM24 <i>Policies Map change PM7</i>	Chapter 5 Policy H1j Cauldwell Road	Cauldwell Road This site is allocated for approximately 42 new homes subject to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a comprehensive approach to the entire site including the part in Ashfield district; • the creation and integration of multi-use green corridors and open space to minimise impact on nightjar and woodlark habitats; and • an application-specific assessment to identify and address impacts on nightjark and woodlark and their habitats. 	Cauldwell Road This site is allocated for approximately 42 new homes subject to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a comprehensive approach to the entire site including the part in Ashfield district; • the creation and integration of multi-use green corridors and open space to minimise impact on nightjar and woodlark habitats; and • an application-specific assessment to identify and address impacts on nightjark and woodlark and their habitats. 	This site was an extension to an allocation in the Ashfield District Council Local Plan which has now been withdrawn (October 2018)	Removal has implications in terms of housing provision in this specific location, but on the other hand minimises negative environmental impacts. Screened in

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM25 <i>Policies Map change PM8</i>	Chapter 5 Policy H1n Sherwood Close			Amend site boundary for H1n to be consistent with the planning application	No policy change Screened out
MM26	Chapter 5 Policy H1q South of Debdale Lane	<p>South of Debdale Lane</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 32 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • an off-site contribution towards improvements at Burlington Drive open space; • protection and enhancement of the existing public right of way; • creation and maintenance of an appropriate habitat buffer adjacent to the local wildlife site; • provision of appropriate access management measures to avoid or minimise impacts on designated sites; and 	<p>South of Debdale Lane</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 32 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • an off-site contribution towards improvements at Burlington Drive open space; • protection and enhancement of the existing public right of way; • creation and maintenance of an appropriate habitat buffer adjacent to the local wildlife site; • provision of appropriate access management measures to avoid or minimise impacts on designated sites; and 	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 6)	<p>Wording in relation to archaeological assessments clarified. Unlikely to have a significant effect as the principle remains the same.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any recommended actions being followed. <u>any development proposals would need to be supported by detailed desk based archaeology assessment and the results of a staged pre-determination programme of archaeological investigation on the basis of an approved Written Scheme of Investigation.</u> 		
MM27	Chapter 5 Policy H1t Land off Ley Lane	<p>Land off Ley Lane</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 14 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> an off-site contribution towards improvements at Warsop Road open space and Leeming Lane open space; an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any 	<p>Land off Ley Lane</p> <p>This site is allocated for approximately 14 new homes subject to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> an off-site contribution towards improvements at Warsop Road open space and Leeming Lane open space; an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person and any 	To respond to comment from statutory consultee.	<p>Wording in relation to archaeological assessments clarified. Unlikely to have a significant effect as the principle remains the same.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>recommended actions being followed; and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the pattern of development should positively respect the historic character along Ley Lane. 	<p>recommended actions being followed <u>any development proposals would need to be supported by detailed desk based archaeology assessment and the results of a staged pre-determination programme of archaeological investigation on the basis of an approved Written Scheme of Investigation;</u></p> <p>and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the pattern of development should positively respect the historic character along Ley Lane. 		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)		Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																				
MM28	Chapter 5 Table 5.1	<table><tr><td>Completions (already built)</td><td>1543</td></tr><tr><td>Commitments (with planning permission – including those listed in H2 and SUE3)</td><td>3290</td></tr><tr><td>Sustainable Urban Extensions (those listed in SUE 1-2)</td><td>959</td></tr><tr><td>On Allocated Housing Sites (those listed in H1)</td><td>2425</td></tr><tr><td>Windfall Allowance</td><td>380</td></tr></table>		Completions (already built)	1543	Commitments (with planning permission – including those listed in H2 and SUE3)	3290	Sustainable Urban Extensions (those listed in SUE 1-2)	959	On Allocated Housing Sites (those listed in H1)	2425	Windfall Allowance	380	<table><tr><td>Completions (already built)</td><td>1935</td></tr><tr><td>Commitments (with planning permission – including those listed in H2 and SUE3)</td><td>3941</td></tr><tr><td>Sustainable Urban Extensions (those listed in SUE 1-2)</td><td>1169</td></tr><tr><td>On Allocated Housing Sites (those listed in H1)</td><td>1763</td></tr><tr><td>Windfall Allowance</td><td>380</td></tr></table>	Completions (already built)	1935	Commitments (with planning permission – including those listed in H2 and SUE3)	3941	Sustainable Urban Extensions (those listed in SUE 1-2)	1169	On Allocated Housing Sites (those listed in H1)	1763	Windfall Allowance	380		Updates to reflect completions and commitments and higher amount relating to SUEs. Subsequent reduction in allocated housing sites is fairly substantial. Screened in
Completions (already built)	1543																									
Commitments (with planning permission – including those listed in H2 and SUE3)	3290																									
Sustainable Urban Extensions (those listed in SUE 1-2)	959																									
On Allocated Housing Sites (those listed in H1)	2425																									
Windfall Allowance	380																									
Completions (already built)	1935																									
Commitments (with planning permission – including those listed in H2 and SUE3)	3941																									
Sustainable Urban Extensions (those listed in SUE 1-2)	1169																									
On Allocated Housing Sites (those listed in H1)	1763																									
Windfall Allowance	380																									
MM29	Chapter 5 New paragraph after 5.21			<u>Planning applications should be supported by sufficient information such as a transport statement or transport assessment setting out the transport impacts of the proposal to help the council make an informed assessment of the potential impact and to help secure the necessary supporting transport infrastructure. As part of submitting any future planning application, consultation should be held with the Local Education Authority to establish the level of education contribution required to</u>	To respond to comments from statutory consultees.	Supporting text. No significant change to SA. Screened out																				

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<u>support development. Reference can also be had to the Education Technical Paper 2018 which is correct at time of publication but is subject to change. It should be noted that a new primary school will require approximately a 1.1 ha site which will need to be delivered to the west of Mansfield, the most appropriate sites to be able to deliver the school through an equalisation agreement are H1b Land at Skegby Lane and H1g Abbott Road. It should be noted that the housing numbers on Skegby Lane have been reduced in order for a school to be accommodated on the site.</u>		
MM30	Chapter 5 Paragraph 5.27	Information on the need for different sizes and types of properties in Mansfield district is contained in both the SHMA and a specific study (Housing Needs of Particular Groups). These set out requirements for the mix properties over the plan period as set out in Table 5.4:	Information on the need for different sizes and types of properties in Mansfield district is contained in both the SHMA at page 197 and a specific study (Housing Needs of Particular Groups) at pages 84 and 88 . These set out requirements for the mix properties over the plan period as set out in Table 5.4:	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 6)	Signposting. Not relevant for the SA. Screened out
MM31	Chapter 5 Paragraph	This policy does not set specific requirements that must be met by an	This policy does not set specific requirements that must be met by an	Discussion at hearing	Supporting text. Positive with

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
	5.28	individual site, but is intended to act as a guide. Account will also be taken of the character and scale of the site and the wider area. It will be easier for larger sites to deliver a mix while a town centre site is unlikely to deliver 4+bed properties. Development proposals will not be supported where the mix varies substantially from the mix identified above, unless there are specific benefits associated with the mix of homes proposed.	individual site <u>or phase of development</u> , but is intended to act as a guide. Account will also be taken of the character and scale of the site and the wider area. It will be easier for larger sites to deliver a mix while a town centre site is unlikely to deliver 4+bed properties. <u>The provision of bungalows will be supported on sites as these may be particularly attractive to older people which may assist in encouraging households to downsize, as set out in the Housing Needs of Particular Groups Report page 89.</u> Development proposals will not be supported where the mix varies substantially from the mix identified above, unless there are specific benefits associated with the mix of homes proposed.	session (Main Matter 6)	regards to communities and health and wellbeing. No significant effects likely though. Screened out
MM32	Chapter 5 Policy H4 Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing 1. The minimum proportions of affordable housing required on market housing sites are: a. within Zone 1 (as shown in	Affordable housing 1. The minimum proportions of affordable housing required on market housing sites are: a. within Zone 1 (as shown in	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 6)	Clarification. No significant implications for the SA. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>Appendix 6):</p> <p>i. 10% on greenfield land; or</p> <p>ii. 5% on brownfield land.</p> <p>b. within Zone 2 (as shown in Appendix 6):</p> <p>i. 20% on greenfield land; or</p> <p>ii. 10% on brownfield land.</p> <p>2. These proportions apply to sites of:</p> <p>a. more than 10 dwellings; or,</p> <p>b. with a combined gross floorspace of more than 1,000 square metres; and</p> <p>c. more than 0.5ha in site area.</p> <p>3. The council will consider the type of property and tenure in relation to identified needs.</p> <p>4. Off-site commuted sums of an</p>	<p>Appendix 6):</p> <p>i. 10% on greenfield land; or</p> <p>ii. 5% on brownfield land.</p> <p>b. within Zone 2 (as shown in Appendix 6):</p> <p>i. 20% on greenfield land; or</p> <p>ii. 10% on brownfield land.</p> <p>2. These proportions apply to sites of:</p> <p>a. more than 10 dwellings <u>10 or more dwellings</u>; or,</p> <p>b. with a combined gross floorspace of more than 1,000 square metres; and</p> <p>c. more than 0.5ha in site area. <u>where the site area is 0.5 hectares or more.</u></p> <p>3. The council will consider the type of property and tenure in relation to</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>equivalent value may be made in lieu of on-site provision (in total or in part) where on-site provision is satisfactorily demonstrated not to be justified or where such off site contribution can be shown to contribute to the successful development of other affordable housing and or regeneration schemes within the district.</p> <p>5. Proposals which do not meet the above policy requirements will only be acceptable where it is satisfactorily demonstrated that a different level or mix of affordable housing is required to make the development viable and the approach contributes towards creating mixed and balanced communities.</p>	<p>identified needs.</p> <p>4. Off-site commuted sums of an equivalent value may be made in lieu of on-site provision (in total or in part) where on-site provision is satisfactorily demonstrated not to be justified or where such off-site contributions⁵ can be shown to contribute to the successful development of other affordable housing and or regeneration schemes within the district.</p> <p>5. Proposals which do not meet the above policy requirements will only be acceptable, where it is satisfactorily demonstrated, that a different level or mix of affordable housing is required to make the development viable and the approach contributes towards creating mixed and balanced communities.</p>		
MM33	Chapter 5 Paragraph 5.32	The NPPF (Annex 2: Glossary) defines affordable housing as social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing provided for eligible households whose needs are	The NPPF 2019 (Annex 2: Glossary) defines affordable housing as social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing provided for eligible households whose needs are	Replace definition of Affordable Housing with new NPPF	Clarification on what constitutes affordable housing.

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>not met by the market. Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices.</p> <p>Social rented housing: is owned by local authorities and private registered providers (as defined in Section 80 of the Housing and Regeneration Act 2008), for which guideline target rents are determined through the national rent regime.</p> <p>Affordable rented housing: is let by local authorities or private registered landlords of social housing to households that are eligible for social rented housing. Affordable rent is subject to rent controls that require rent of no more than 80% of the local market rent.</p> <p>Intermediate affordable housing: is housing for sale and rent provided at a cost above social rent, but below market levels, subject to the criteria in the affordable housing definition above. These can include shared equity, other low cost housing for sale and intermediate rent.</p>	<p>not met by the market <u>affordable housing for rent, starter homes, discounted market sales housing and other affordable routes to home ownership.</u> Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices.</p> <p>Social rented housing: is owned by local authorities and private registered providers (as defined in Section 80 of the Housing and Regeneration Act 2008), for which guideline target rents are determined through the national rent regime.</p> <p>Affordable rented housing: is let by local authorities or private registered landlords of social housing to households that are eligible for social rented housing. Affordable rent is subject to rent controls that require rent of no more than 80% of the local market rent.</p> <p>Intermediate affordable housing: is housing for sale and rent provided at a cost above social rent, but below market levels, subject to the criteria</p>	(2018) definition.	<p>Supporting text that does not change the principle and detail of the associated policy.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>Starter homes: is housing as defined in Sections 2 and 3 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 and any subsequent secondary legislation made under these sections.</p>	<p>in the affordable housing definition above. These can include shared equity, other low cost housing for sale and intermediate rent.</p> <p><u>Affordable housing for rent: meets all of the following conditions:</u></p> <p><u>a. the rent is set in accordance with the Government's rent policy for Social Rent or Affordable Rent, or is at least 20% below local market rents (including service charges where applicable);</u></p> <p><u>b. the landlord is a registered provider, except where it is included as part of a Build to Rent scheme (in which case the landlord need not be a registered provider); and</u></p> <p><u>c. it includes provisions to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households, or for the subsidy to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision.</u></p> <p><u>For Build to Rent schemes,</u></p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<p><u>affordable housing for rent (i.e. Affordable Private Rent) is expected to be the normal form of affordable housing provision.</u></p> <p>Starter homes: is housing as defined in Sections 2 and 3 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 and any subsequent secondary legislation made under these sections.</p> <p><u>Discounted market sales housing: is that sold at a discount of at least 20% below local market value. Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices. Provisions should be in place to ensure housing remains at a discount for future eligible households.</u></p> <p><u>Other affordable routes to home ownership: is housing provided for sale that provides a route to ownership for those who could not achieve home ownership through the market. It includes shared ownership, relevant equity</u></p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<u>loans, other low cost homes for sale (at a price equivalent to at least 20% below local market value) and rent to buy (which includes a period of intermediate rent).</u>		
MM34	Chapter 5 Policy H5	<p>Custom and self-build homes</p> <p>1. On development proposals of more than 100 homes, at least 5% of the dwelling plots should be provided as reasonably sized plots for self-build or custom build homes. On commencement of the overall development the availability of the serviced land for self-build or custom build housing shall be advertised for sale on an individual plot basis at a fair market price. Any of these plots which are not sold on that basis after a period of 12 months advertising may be used for general market housing.</p> <p>2. In all cases, a proposal for self-build and/or custom housing will be supported provided the following criteria are met:</p>	<p>Custom and self-build homes</p> <p>1. On development proposals of more than 100 homes, at least 5% of the dwelling plots should be provided as reasonably sized plots for self-build or custom build homes. On commencement of the overall development the availability of the serviced land for self-build or custom build housing shall be advertised for sale on an individual plot basis at a fair market price. Any of these plots which are not sold on that basis after a period of 12 months advertising may be used for general market housing.</p> <p>2. In all cases, a proposals for self-build and/or custom housing will be supported provided the following criteria are met:</p>	In response to the inspectors letter EXAM17	<p>Less specific. Policy relating to self-build on new developments has been removed. This lessens the chance that serviced plots will be available.</p> <p>SA findings in relation to this policy are different. Whether this is significant on its own or in combination with other policies should be explored.</p> <p>Screened in</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>a. it is within the boundary of a settlement or accords with Policy S5 (Development in the countryside);</p> <p>b. it is of a high standard of design and does not adversely affect the area by reason of its scale, bulk, form, layout or materials;</p> <p>c. it would not cause a significant adverse impact on the amenity of nearby residents or occupiers; and</p> <p>d. there is no significant adverse impact on highway safety and appropriate provision for parking is made.</p>	<p>a. it is within the boundary of a settlement or accords with Policy S5 (Development in the countryside);</p> <p>b. it is of a high standard of design and does not adversely affect the area by reason of its scale, bulk, form, layout or materials;</p> <p>c. it would not cause a significant adverse impact on the amenity of nearby residents or occupiers; and</p> <p>d. there is no significant adverse impact on highway safety and appropriate provision for parking is made.</p>		
MM35	Chapter 5 Paragraph 5.45	By requiring a small area of serviced plots to be made available on larger housing development sites one of the major obstacles to self build, i.e. the availability of land can be overcome. This is an approach that has been taken by a number of other local planning authorities. The plots provided should be 'shovel-ready' meaning that there is access to utilities and the road network, and offered at market value. The number	By requiring a small area of serviced plots to be made available on larger housing development sites one of the major obstacles to self build, i.e. the availability of land can be overcome. This is an approach that has been taken by a number of other local planning authorities. The plots provided should be 'shovel-ready' meaning that there is access to utilities and the road network, and offered at market value. The number	In response to the inspectors letter EXAM17	Supporting text relating to policy H5. Changes to this policy through MM35 will be explored. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		of plots will be specified in and secured by conditions attached to the planning permission.	of plots will be specified in and secured by conditions attached to the planning permission.		
MM36	Chapter 5 Paragraph 5.46	<p>The council will prepare a Supplementary Planning Document to set out further guidance related to the provision of self or custom build homes including:</p> <p>the size of plots;</p> <p>how market value is to be established;</p> <p>details of how plots should be offered or allocated to those on the Self Build register;</p> <p>what happens if plots are not taken up within a specified length of time; and</p> <p>any requirements for the construction (such as completion deadlines, access arrangements, security etc).</p>	<p>The council will prepare a Supplementary Planning Document to set out further guidance related to the provision of self or custom build homes including:</p> <p>the size of plots;</p> <p>how market value is to be established;</p> <p>details of how plots should be offered or allocated to those on the Self Build register;</p> <p>what happens if plots are not taken up within a specified length of time; and</p> <p>any requirements for the construction (such as completion deadlines, access arrangements, security etc).</p>	In response to the inspectors letter EXAM17	<p>Less likely to promote self-build, which has implications in terms of the SA.</p> <p>Screened in</p>
MM37	Chapter 5 Policy H6	<p>Specialist housing</p> <p>1. Development proposals for specialist housing which falls within</p>	<p>Specialist housing</p> <p>1. Development proposals for specialist housing which falls within</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 6)	Clarification broadens the range of groups captured by the

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>Use Class C2, such as sheltered and extra care accommodation, will be supported on sites within existing or proposed residential areas provided they are:</p> <p>a. conveniently situated in relation to local retail, community services and public transport facilities; and</p> <p>b. are of a design, layout and accessibility suitable for occupation by people with disabilities and the elderly.</p>	<p>Use Class C2, such as sheltered and extra care accommodation, will be supported on sites within existing or proposed residential areas provided they are:</p> <p>a. conveniently situated in relation to local retail, community services and public transport facilities; and</p> <p>b. are of a design, layout and accessibility suitable for occupation by people with disabilities and the elderly <u>and people with care needs.</u></p>		<p>study. This has wider benefits in terms of wellbeing and equality.</p> <p>Screened in</p>
MM38	Chapter 5 Policy H8 Accommodation for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople	<p>Accommodation for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople</p> <p>1. Provision will be made to meet the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers between 2013 and 2033 for a minimum of:</p> <p>a. 2017-22: two pitches and one transit /stopping place;</p> <p>b. 2022-33: one pitch and zero transit /stopping place; and</p>	<p>Accommodation for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople</p> <p>1. Provision will be made to meet the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers between 2013 and 2033 for a minimum of:</p> <p>a. 2017-22: two pitches and one transit /stopping place;</p> <p>b. 2022-33: one pitch and zero transit /stopping place; and</p>	To respond to a comment and to comply with national policy (paragraph 10 of DCLG's Planning Policy for Traveller Sites).	<p>Text deletion does not fundamentally change the policy content and so there are no implications for the SA findings.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>c. and any arising need for travelling show people plots.</p> <p>2. The council is preparing a Gypsy and Travellers Site Allocation Development Plan Document (DPD) to allocate suitable site/s to meet the identified need set out in 1 a - c above.</p> <p>3. Proposals for new sites, and extensions/improvements to existing permitted or lawful sites, will be supported where they meet the following criteria:</p> <p>a. they are required to meet a shortfall in provision of identified need as set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017 pending the adoption of the Mansfield District Gypsy and Travellers Site Allocation DPD;</p> <p>b. be located with reasonable access to a range of services, such as shops, schools, welfare facilities</p>	<p>c. and any arising need for travelling show people plots.</p> <p>2. The council is preparing a Gypsy and Travellers Site Allocation Development Plan Document (DPD) to allocate suitable site/s to meet the identified need set out in 1 a - c above.</p> <p>3. Proposals for new sites, and extensions/improvements to existing permitted or lawful sites, will be supported where they meet the following criteria:</p> <p>a. they are required to meet a shortfall in provision of identified need as set out in the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment 2017 pending the adoption of the Mansfield District Gypsy and Travellers Site Allocation DPD;</p> <p>ba. be located with reasonable access to a range of services, such as shops, schools, welfare facilities</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>or public transport;</p> <p>c. be proportionate to the scale of the nearest settlement; its local services and infrastructure;</p> <p>d. have suitable highway access, and is not detrimental to public highway safety;</p> <p>e. provides for adequate on-site parking and turning of vehicles as well as appropriate facilities for servicing and storage, and in the case of a show people site sufficient space for fairground equipment maintenance;</p> <p>f. be capable of being provided with adequate services including water supply, power, drainage, sewage disposal and waste disposal facilities;</p> <p>g. be compatible with landscape, environment, heritage and biodiversity as well as the physical and visual character of the area;</p>	<p>or public transport;</p> <p>cb. be proportionate to the scale of the nearest settlement; its local services and infrastructure;</p> <p>dc. have suitable highway access, and is not detrimental to public highway safety;</p> <p>ed. provides for adequate on-site parking and turning of vehicles as well as appropriate facilities for servicing and storage, and in the case of a show people site sufficient space for fairground equipment maintenance;</p> <p>fe. be capable of being provided with adequate services including water supply, power, drainage, sewage disposal and waste disposal facilities;</p> <p>gf. be compatible with landscape, environment, heritage and biodiversity as well as the physical and visual character of the area;</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>h. not significantly impact the amenities of neighboring properties and land uses; and</p> <p>i. be appropriately located in terms of flood risk.</p> <p>4. Authorised existing and new sites will be safeguarded for Gypsy, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople groups unless they are no longer required to meet identified need.</p>	<p>hg. <u>would</u> not significantly impact harm the amenities of neighboring <u>neighbouring</u> properties and land uses; and</p> <p>ih. <u>would</u> be appropriately located in terms of flood risk.</p> <p>4. Authorised existing and new sites will be safeguarded for Gypsy, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople groups unless they are no longer required to meet identified need.</p>		
MM39 <i>Policies Map change PM10</i>	Chapter 6 E2a Ratcher Hill Quarry Employment Area	<p>Ratcher Hill Quarry employment area</p> <p>1. A 5.37ha extension to the Ratcher Hill Quarry Employment Area* is allocated for employment uses with access off Southwell Road, provided that:</p> <p>a. the provision of employment units are of varying sizes to meet the needs of a wide range of employers, suitable for B1, B2 and B8 developments;</p> <p>b. contributions are made</p>	<p>Ratcher Hill Quarry employment area</p> <p>1. A 5.37ha 5.37ha <u>3.45 ha</u> extension to the Ratcher Hill Quarry Employment Area is allocated for employment uses with access off Southwell Road <u>or Jubilee Way if feasible</u>, provided that:</p> <p>a. the provision of employment units are of varying sizes to meet the needs of a wide range of employers, suitable for B1, B2 and B8 developments;</p>	In response to the Inspectors letter EXAM17	<p>Site boundary amendment. Not likely to lead to significant effects.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>towards improvements at the following junctions to mitigate the impact of development:</p> <p>i. A6191 Southwell Road / Oaktree Lane / Adams way;</p> <p>ii. A6191 Southwell Road / Berry Hill Lane;</p> <p>iii. A6191 / Bellamy Road; and</p> <p>iv. any additional junctions that are identified as part of the Transport Assessment that will be required to support a future application for the site;</p> <p>c. new and enhanced walking and cycling linkages are provided to connect with existing strategic trails along Mansfield Way to facilitate the use of sustainable transport;</p> <p>d. an application-specific assessment is provided to identify and address impacts on nightjar and woodlark and their habitats;</p>	<p>b. contributions are made towards improvements at the following junctions to mitigate the impact of development:</p> <p>i. A6191 Southwell Road / Oaktree Lane / Adams Way;</p> <p>ii. A6191 Southwell Road / Berry Hill Lane;</p> <p>iii. A6191 / Bellamy Road; and</p> <p>iv. any additional junctions that are identified as part of the Transport Assessment that will be required to support a future application for the site;</p> <p>c. new and enhanced walking and cycling linkages are provided to connect with existing strategic trails along Mansfield Way to facilitate the use of sustainable transport;</p> <p>d. an application-specific assessment is provided to identify and address impacts on nightjar and woodlark and their habitats;</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>e. ecological linkages and landscape character are enhanced and restored in order to create landscaped habitat buffers between the employment site and:</p> <p>i. the northern section of the quarry (planned restoration area); and</p> <p>ii. adjacent local wildlife sites;</p> <p>f. 2.55 ha of land within the district is provided as heathland; and</p> <p>g. an adequate foul drainage solution is proposed.</p> <p>2. If the 2.55 ha site within the Ratcher Hill Sand Quarry, as identified in Figure 6.1, is no longer required to meet the district's employment land requirements, the site will need to be restored to heathland.</p>	<p>e. ecological linkages and landscape character are enhanced and restored in order to create landscaped habitat buffers between the employment site and:</p> <p>i. the northern section of the quarry (planned restoration area); and</p> <p>ii. adjacent local wildlife sites; and</p> <p>f. 2.55 ha of land within the district is provided as heathland; and</p> <p>g. f. an adequate foul drainage solution is proposed.</p> <p>2. If the 2.55 ha site within the Ratcher Hill Sand Quarry, as identified in Figure 6.1, is no longer required to meet the district's employment land requirements, the site will need to be restored to heathland.</p>		
MM40 <i>Policies Map Change 11</i>	Chapter 6 Figure 6.1 Ratcher Hill Quarry		Delete Figure 6.1	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 5)	See discussion for MM39 Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM41	Chapter 6 Policy E2b Oakfield Lane, Market Warsop	<p>Oakfield Lane, Market Warsop</p> <p>1. A 2.2ha site adjacent to the recycling depot, Oakfield Lane, Market Warsop is allocated for employment uses subject to the following:</p> <p>a. the provision of employment units of varying sizes to meet the needs of a wide range of employers;</p> <p>b. there is no detrimental impact upon residential amenity;</p> <p>c. creation and maintenance of an appropriate habitat buffer adjacent to the local wildlife site; and</p> <p>d. the retention and enhancement of the existing habitat buffer along the railway line.</p> <p>2. Improvements will be required at the junction of A60 Church Street/Wood Street, Warsop and any future junctions that are identified as part of the Transport Assessment that will be required to support any</p>	<p>Oakfield Lane, Market Warsop</p> <p>1. A 2.2ha site adjacent to the recycling depot, Oakfield Lane, Market Warsop is allocated for employment uses subject to the following:</p> <p>a. the provision of employment units of varying sizes to meet the needs of a wide range of employers;</p> <p>ba. there is no detrimental impact upon residential amenity;</p> <p>eb. creation and maintenance of an appropriate habitat buffer adjacent to the local wildlife site; and</p> <p>dc. the retention and enhancement of the existing habitat buffer along the railway line.</p> <p>2. Improvements will be required at the junction of A60 Church Street/Wood Street, Warsop and any future junctions that are identified as part of the Transport Assessment that will be required to support any</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 5)	<p>Potential impacts with regards to a less diverse range of employment units.</p> <p>Clarification in terms of the longer term use of the site if employment not required has also be amended which has implications.</p> <p>Screened in</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>future planning application for the site.</p> <p>3. The site is only suitable for the extension of employment uses within the open countryside. If the site is no longer required to meet the district's employment land requirements the land should revert back to the open countryside. The change of the use of the site to residential will not be supported.</p>	<p>future planning application for the site.</p> <p>3. The site is only suitable for the extension of employment uses within the open countryside. If the site is no longer required to meet the district's employment land requirements the land should revert back to the open countryside. The change of the use of the site to residential will not be supported.</p>		
MM42	Chapter 6 Policy E2c Penniment Farm	<p>Penniment Farm</p> <p>1. A 9ha site at Penniment Farm is allocated for employment uses and with direct access from the Mansfield and Ashfield Regeneration Route (MARR) subject to the following:</p> <p>a. the provision of employment units of varying sizes to meet the needs of a wide range of employers;</p> <p>b. there is no detrimental impact upon residential amenity;</p> <p>c. the provision of appropriate landscaping, planting and other</p>	<p>Penniment Farm</p> <p>1. A 9ha site at Penniment Farm <u>The site at Penniment Farm is allocated for a minimum of 9 hectares of B1, B2 and B8</u> employment uses and with direct access from the Mansfield and Ashfield Regeneration Route (MARR) subject to the following:</p> <p>a. the provision of employment units of varying sizes to meet the needs of a wide range of employers;</p> <p>b. there is no detrimental impact upon residential amenity;</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 5)	<p>Clarification has no significant implications for SA findings.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>features so as to minimise the impact upon adjoining housing development as well as the impacts on the wider landscape and biodiversity;</p> <p>d. the provision of cycle and walking links to the adjoining housing development;</p> <p>e. a comprehensive masterplan is prepared and agreed in writing by the local planning authority; and</p> <p>f. development of the site should not prejudice further improvements to the MARR.</p>	<p>c. the provision of appropriate landscaping, planting and other features so as to minimise the impact upon adjoining housing development as well as the impacts on the wider landscape and biodiversity;</p> <p>d. the provision of cycle and walking links to the adjoining housing development;</p> <p>e. a comprehensive masterplan is prepared <u>in accordance with Appendix 8</u> and agreed in writing by the local planning authority; and</p> <p>f. development of the site should not prejudice further improvements to the MARR.</p>		
MM43	Chapter 6 Paragraph 6.18	This site forms part of a wider development for a mix of uses, part of which already has planning permission. As such, a masterplan should be prepared in consultation with stakeholders and agreed in writing with the local planning authority for the comprehensive development of the site. This should	This site forms part of a wider development for a mix of uses, part of which already has planning permission. As such, a masterplan should be prepared in consultation with stakeholders and agreed in writing with the local planning authority for the comprehensive development of the <u>employment</u>	To respond to a comment and to reflect that the residential site has now commenced.	<p>Clarification of supporting text has no implications for the SA findings.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																														
		identify a range of land uses (including the committed residential, employment and commercial uses, green infrastructure and open spaces, pedestrian and cycle links within and beyond the site) and their relationship to each other and existing development in the vicinity of the site.	area of the site. This should identify a range of land uses (including the links to the already-committed and under construction residential areas , employment and commercial uses, green infrastructure and open spaces, pedestrian and cycle links within and beyond the site) and their relationship to each other and existing development in the vicinity of the site.																																
MM44	Chapter 6 Table 6.3	Table 6.3 Employment land provision <table><tr><td>Plan Period Employment Land Target ha</td><td>Employment Land ha</td></tr><tr><td>Target</td><td>41*</td></tr><tr><td>Total amount of floor space/ employment land developed between 1/4/13 and 1/4/18</td><td>2.38</td></tr><tr><td>Sites with planning permission at 31 March 2018</td><td>22</td></tr><tr><td>Land available on key and general employment areas</td><td>11.47</td></tr><tr><td>Local Plan allocations</td><td>20</td></tr><tr><td>Total</td><td>55.85</td></tr></table>	Plan Period Employment Land Target ha	Employment Land ha	Target	41*	Total amount of floor space/ employment land developed between 1/4/13 and 1/4/18	2.38	Sites with planning permission at 31 March 2018	22	Land available on key and general employment areas	11.47	Local Plan allocations	20	Total	55.85	Table 6.3 Employment land provision <table><tr><td><u>Plan Period Employment Land Target ha</u></td><td><u>Employment Land ha</u></td></tr><tr><td>Target</td><td>41*</td></tr><tr><td><u>Total amount of floorspace /employment land developed between 1/4/13 and 1/4/19</u></td><td><u>2.82</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Loss of employment land 2013/2019</u></td><td><u>-0.98</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Sites with planning permission at 31 March 2019</u></td><td><u>23.83</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Land available on key and general employment areas</u></td><td><u>8.95</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Local Plan Allocations</u></td><td><u>18.1</u></td></tr><tr><td><u>Total</u></td><td><u>52.73</u></td></tr></table>	<u>Plan Period Employment Land Target ha</u>	<u>Employment Land ha</u>	Target	41*	<u>Total amount of floorspace /employment land developed between 1/4/13 and 1/4/19</u>	<u>2.82</u>	<u>Loss of employment land 2013/2019</u>	<u>-0.98</u>	<u>Sites with planning permission at 31 March 2019</u>	<u>23.83</u>	<u>Land available on key and general employment areas</u>	<u>8.95</u>	<u>Local Plan Allocations</u>	<u>18.1</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>52.73</u>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 4)	Reflects main modifications relating to employment use. Detail is provided in other policies (and associated modifications) Screened out
Plan Period Employment Land Target ha	Employment Land ha																																		
Target	41*																																		
Total amount of floor space/ employment land developed between 1/4/13 and 1/4/18	2.38																																		
Sites with planning permission at 31 March 2018	22																																		
Land available on key and general employment areas	11.47																																		
Local Plan allocations	20																																		
Total	55.85																																		
<u>Plan Period Employment Land Target ha</u>	<u>Employment Land ha</u>																																		
Target	41*																																		
<u>Total amount of floorspace /employment land developed between 1/4/13 and 1/4/19</u>	<u>2.82</u>																																		
<u>Loss of employment land 2013/2019</u>	<u>-0.98</u>																																		
<u>Sites with planning permission at 31 March 2019</u>	<u>23.83</u>																																		
<u>Land available on key and general employment areas</u>	<u>8.95</u>																																		
<u>Local Plan Allocations</u>	<u>18.1</u>																																		
<u>Total</u>	<u>52.73</u>																																		
MM45 <i>Policies Map change PM12</i>	Chapter 6 Policy E3 Retaining land for employment uses: Key	Retaining land for employment uses: Key and general employment areas 1. Within the existing key or general employment areas (as shown on the Policies Map and listed below) and	Retaining land for employment uses: Key and general employment areas 1. Within the existing key or general employment areas (as shown on the Policies Map and listed below) and	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 5) The	Site removed and minor clarifications. Does not have significant implications for the																														

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																																																																						
	and general employment areas	<p>allocated employment areas (as set out in Policy E2), development proposals will be supported provided that the proposal falls within Use Class B1, B2 or B8:</p> <table><tr><th>Site ref</th><th>Key/general employment areas</th></tr><tr><td>E3a</td><td>Old Mill Lane Industrial Estate, Old Mill Lane, Mansfield Woodhouse</td></tr><tr><td>E3b</td><td>Sherwood Oaks Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3c</td><td>Millennium Business Park, Chesterfield Road North, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3d</td><td>Oakfield Business Park, Hamilton Way, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3e</td><td>Oak Tree Business Park, Oak Tree Lane, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3f</td><td>Botany Commercial Park, Botany Avenue, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3g</td><td>Broadway Industrial Estate, The Broadway, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3h</td><td>Brunts Business Centre, Brunts Way, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3i</td><td>Commercial Gate, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3j</td><td>Crown Farm Industrial Estate, Crown Farm Way, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3k</td><td>Mansfield Woodhouse Gateway, Off Grove Way, Mansfield Woodhouse</td></tr><tr><td>E3l</td><td>Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3m</td><td>Bellamy Road Industrial Estate, Bellamy Road, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3n</td><td>Intake Business Centre, Kirkland Avenue, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3o</td><td>Hermitage Lane Industrial Estate, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3p</td><td>Maunside, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3q</td><td>Warsop Enterprise Centre, Burns Lane, Market Warsop</td></tr></table>	Site ref	Key/general employment areas	E3a	Old Mill Lane Industrial Estate, Old Mill Lane, Mansfield Woodhouse	E3b	Sherwood Oaks Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield	E3c	Millennium Business Park, Chesterfield Road North, Mansfield	E3d	Oakfield Business Park, Hamilton Way, Mansfield	E3e	Oak Tree Business Park, Oak Tree Lane, Mansfield	E3f	Botany Commercial Park, Botany Avenue, Mansfield	E3g	Broadway Industrial Estate, The Broadway, Mansfield	E3h	Brunts Business Centre, Brunts Way, Mansfield	E3i	Commercial Gate, Mansfield	E3j	Crown Farm Industrial Estate, Crown Farm Way, Mansfield	E3k	Mansfield Woodhouse Gateway, Off Grove Way, Mansfield Woodhouse	E3l	Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield	E3m	Bellamy Road Industrial Estate, Bellamy Road, Mansfield	E3n	Intake Business Centre, Kirkland Avenue, Mansfield	E3o	Hermitage Lane Industrial Estate, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield	E3p	Maunside, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield	E3q	Warsop Enterprise Centre, Burns Lane, Market Warsop	<p>allocated employment areas (as set out in Policy E2), development proposals will be supported provided that the proposal falls within Use Class B1, B2 or B8:</p> <table><tr><th>Site ref</th><th>Key/general employment areas</th></tr><tr><td>E3a</td><td>Old Mill Lane Industrial Estate, Old Mill Lane, Mansfield Woodhouse</td></tr><tr><td>E3b</td><td>Sherwood Oaks Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3c</td><td>Millennium Business Park, Chesterfield Road North, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3d</td><td>Oakfield Business Park, Hamilton Way, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3e</td><td>Oak Tree Business Park, Oak Tree Lane, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3f</td><td>Botany Commercial Park, Botany Avenue, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3g</td><td>Broadway Industrial Estate, The Broadway, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3h</td><td>Brunts Business Centre, Brunts Way, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3i</td><td>Commercial Gate, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3j</td><td>Crown Farm Industrial Estate, Crown Farm Way, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3k</td><td>Mansfield Woodhouse Gateway, Off Grove Way, Mansfield Woodhouse</td></tr><tr><td>E3l</td><td>Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3m</td><td>Bellamy Road Industrial Estate, Bellamy Road, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3n</td><td>Intake Business Centre, Kirkland Avenue, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3on</td><td>Hermitage Lane Industrial Estate, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3po</td><td>Maunside, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield</td></tr></table>	Site ref	Key/general employment areas	E3a	Old Mill Lane Industrial Estate, Old Mill Lane, Mansfield Woodhouse	E3b	Sherwood Oaks Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield	E3c	Millennium Business Park, Chesterfield Road North, Mansfield	E3d	Oakfield Business Park, Hamilton Way, Mansfield	E3e	Oak Tree Business Park, Oak Tree Lane, Mansfield	E3f	Botany Commercial Park, Botany Avenue, Mansfield	E3g	Broadway Industrial Estate, The Broadway, Mansfield	E3h	Brunts Business Centre, Brunts Way, Mansfield	E3i	Commercial Gate, Mansfield	E3j	Crown Farm Industrial Estate, Crown Farm Way, Mansfield	E3k	Mansfield Woodhouse Gateway, Off Grove Way, Mansfield Woodhouse	E3l	Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield	E3m	Bellamy Road Industrial Estate, Bellamy Road, Mansfield	E3n	Intake Business Centre, Kirkland Avenue, Mansfield	E3on	Hermitage Lane Industrial Estate, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield	E3po	Maunside, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield	amendment to Intake Business Centre has been identified following the hearing sessions that it should not be safeguarded as a key or general employment site as set out in the Employment Land Review 2017 (E2a and E2b).	SA. Screened out
Site ref	Key/general employment areas																																																																										
E3a	Old Mill Lane Industrial Estate, Old Mill Lane, Mansfield Woodhouse																																																																										
E3b	Sherwood Oaks Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield																																																																										
E3c	Millennium Business Park, Chesterfield Road North, Mansfield																																																																										
E3d	Oakfield Business Park, Hamilton Way, Mansfield																																																																										
E3e	Oak Tree Business Park, Oak Tree Lane, Mansfield																																																																										
E3f	Botany Commercial Park, Botany Avenue, Mansfield																																																																										
E3g	Broadway Industrial Estate, The Broadway, Mansfield																																																																										
E3h	Brunts Business Centre, Brunts Way, Mansfield																																																																										
E3i	Commercial Gate, Mansfield																																																																										
E3j	Crown Farm Industrial Estate, Crown Farm Way, Mansfield																																																																										
E3k	Mansfield Woodhouse Gateway, Off Grove Way, Mansfield Woodhouse																																																																										
E3l	Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield																																																																										
E3m	Bellamy Road Industrial Estate, Bellamy Road, Mansfield																																																																										
E3n	Intake Business Centre, Kirkland Avenue, Mansfield																																																																										
E3o	Hermitage Lane Industrial Estate, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield																																																																										
E3p	Maunside, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield																																																																										
E3q	Warsop Enterprise Centre, Burns Lane, Market Warsop																																																																										
Site ref	Key/general employment areas																																																																										
E3a	Old Mill Lane Industrial Estate, Old Mill Lane, Mansfield Woodhouse																																																																										
E3b	Sherwood Oaks Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield																																																																										
E3c	Millennium Business Park, Chesterfield Road North, Mansfield																																																																										
E3d	Oakfield Business Park, Hamilton Way, Mansfield																																																																										
E3e	Oak Tree Business Park, Oak Tree Lane, Mansfield																																																																										
E3f	Botany Commercial Park, Botany Avenue, Mansfield																																																																										
E3g	Broadway Industrial Estate, The Broadway, Mansfield																																																																										
E3h	Brunts Business Centre, Brunts Way, Mansfield																																																																										
E3i	Commercial Gate, Mansfield																																																																										
E3j	Crown Farm Industrial Estate, Crown Farm Way, Mansfield																																																																										
E3k	Mansfield Woodhouse Gateway, Off Grove Way, Mansfield Woodhouse																																																																										
E3l	Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road West, Mansfield																																																																										
E3m	Bellamy Road Industrial Estate, Bellamy Road, Mansfield																																																																										
E3n	Intake Business Centre, Kirkland Avenue, Mansfield																																																																										
E3on	Hermitage Lane Industrial Estate, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield																																																																										
E3po	Maunside, Hermitage Lane, Mansfield																																																																										

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																														
		<table><tr><td>E3r</td><td>The Hub, Sherwood Street, Market Warsop</td></tr><tr><td>E3s</td><td>Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3t</td><td>Ratcher Hill</td></tr><tr><td>E3u</td><td>Bleak Hills</td></tr><tr><td>E3v</td><td>Quarry Lane, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3w</td><td>Victoria Street</td></tr><tr><td>E3x</td><td>Pelham Street</td></tr></table> <p>2. Within existing key and general employment areas, alternative uses (outside the B1, B2 or B8 use classes) will be supported provided that they are:</p> <p>a. complementary to B1, B2 or B8 Use Classes; or</p> <p>b. small scale; or</p> <p>c. they would accommodate a significant number of jobs and be compatible with the character and function of the area; and</p> <p>d. in the case of 2 (b) and (c) the site has been vacant for at least 12 months and has been satisfactorily demonstrated that:</p> <p>i. it has been subject to genuine</p>	E3r	The Hub, Sherwood Street, Market Warsop	E3s	Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road, Mansfield	E3t	Ratcher Hill	E3u	Bleak Hills	E3v	Quarry Lane, Mansfield	E3w	Victoria Street	E3x	Pelham Street	<table><tr><td>E3qp</td><td>Warsop Enterprise Centre, Burns Lane, Market Warsop</td></tr><tr><td>E3rq</td><td>The Hub, Sherwood Street, Market Warsop</td></tr><tr><td>E3sr</td><td>Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3ts</td><td>Ratcher Hill</td></tr><tr><td>E3ut</td><td>Bleak Hills</td></tr><tr><td>E3vu</td><td>Quarry Lane, Mansfield</td></tr><tr><td>E3w</td><td>Victoria Street</td></tr><tr><td>E3xv</td><td>Pelham Street</td></tr></table> <p>2. Within existing key and general employment areas, alternative uses (outside the B1, B2 or B8 use classes) will be supported provided that they are:</p> <p>a. <u>are</u> complementary to B1, B2 or B8 Use Classes; or</p> <p>b. <u>are</u> small scale; or</p> <p>c. they would accommodate a significant number of jobs and be compatible with the character and function of the area; <u>and it must be demonstrated that the site has been vacant for at least 12 months and ;</u></p> <p>d. in the case of 2 (b) and (c) the site has been vacant for at least 12</p>	E3qp	Warsop Enterprise Centre, Burns Lane, Market Warsop	E3rq	The Hub, Sherwood Street, Market Warsop	E3sr	Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road, Mansfield	E3ts	Ratcher Hill	E3ut	Bleak Hills	E3vu	Quarry Lane, Mansfield	E3w	Victoria Street	E3xv	Pelham Street		
E3r	The Hub, Sherwood Street, Market Warsop																																		
E3s	Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road, Mansfield																																		
E3t	Ratcher Hill																																		
E3u	Bleak Hills																																		
E3v	Quarry Lane, Mansfield																																		
E3w	Victoria Street																																		
E3x	Pelham Street																																		
E3qp	Warsop Enterprise Centre, Burns Lane, Market Warsop																																		
E3rq	The Hub, Sherwood Street, Market Warsop																																		
E3sr	Ransom Wood Business Park, Southwell Road, Mansfield																																		
E3ts	Ratcher Hill																																		
E3ut	Bleak Hills																																		
E3vu	Quarry Lane, Mansfield																																		
E3w	Victoria Street																																		
E3xv	Pelham Street																																		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>marketing for commercial (B class) uses for at least that period of time, at reasonable market values, and which has proved unsuccessful; or</p> <p>ii. where the existing use is economically unviable; or</p> <p>iii. the site is no longer capable of meeting the needs of modern businesses; or</p> <p>iv. continuation in employment use would be inappropriate in terms of adjoining uses or the amenity of the wider area; and</p> <p>v. it would not prejudice the wider redevelopment or regeneration of the area.</p> <p>3. On sites allocated for employment development under Policies E2, SUE1, SUE2 and SUE3, non-B class use developments will only be allowed provided the land has been marketed for at least 5 years for B class uses and following which it has been satisfactorily demonstrated that</p>	<p>months and has been satisfactorily demonstrated that:</p> <p>i. it has been subject to genuine marketing for commercial (B class) uses for at least that period of time 12 months for at least that period of time, at reasonable market values, and which has proved unsuccessful; or</p> <p>ii. where the existing use is economically unviable; or</p> <p>iii. the site is no longer capable of meeting the needs of modern businesses; or</p> <p>iv. continuation in employment use would be inappropriate in terms of adjoining uses or the amenity of the wider area; and</p> <p>v. it would not prejudice the wider redevelopment or regeneration of the area.</p> <p>3. On sites allocated for employment development under Policies E2,</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		there is no realistic prospect of the site being developed for those uses within the remainder of the plan period.	SUE1, SUE2 and SUE3, non-B class use developments will only be allowed provided the land has been marketed for at least 5 years for B class uses and following which it has been satisfactorily demonstrated that there is no realistic prospect of the site being developed for those uses within the remainder of the plan period.		
MM46	Chapter Six Policy E4	<p>Other industrial and business development</p> <p>1. Development proposals within Use Classes B1(b), B1(c), B2 and B8 that are outside areas identified within Policies E2 and E3 will be supported where:</p> <p>a. the site lies within or on the edge of the Mansfield or Market Warsop urban areas or settlement boundaries; or</p> <p>b. the proposal is for the expansion of an existing business; or</p> <p>c. it would provide high quality employment floor space for an</p>	<p>Other industrial and business development</p> <p>1. Development proposals within Use Classes B1(b), B1(c), B2 and B8 that are outside areas identified within Policies E2 and E3 will be supported where:</p> <p>a. the site lies within or on the edge of the Mansfield or Market Warsop urban areas or settlement boundaries; or</p> <p>b. the proposal is for the expansion of an existing business; or</p> <p>c. it would provide high quality employment floor space for an</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 5)	<p>Widens the application of the policy to industrial and business development in all parts of the district rather than just the urban areas and settlement boundaries. This should help to ensure that development is well located regardless of location.</p> <p>This is beneficial, but not considered</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>identified end user; or</p> <p>d. it is for the redevelopment of established industrial or business land or premises; and</p> <p>e. it is appropriately related to the strategic road network and accessible for HGV's and is capable of being provided without severe highway impacts;</p> <p>f. it is accessible to public transport services and connected by convenient walking and cycling routes to residential areas;</p> <p>g. it is in scale with the built and natural features of the local area; and</p> <p>h. it will have no significant adverse effects on the amenity of adjoining uses.</p> <p>2. Small scale employment development or farm diversification in rural areas will be supported subject to meeting the requirements</p>	<p>identified end user; or</p> <p>d. it is for the redevelopment of established industrial or business land or premises; and.</p> <p><u>2. In all cases development proposals will be expected to:</u></p> <p>e- a. it is <u>be</u> appropriately related to the strategic road network and accessible for HGV's and is capable of being provided <u>developed</u> without severe highway impacts;</p> <p>f- b. it is <u>be</u> accessible to public transport services and connected by convenient walking and cycling routes to residential areas;</p> <p>g- c. it is <u>be</u> in scale with the built and natural features of the local area; and</p> <p>h- d. it will have no significant adverse effects on the amenity of adjoining uses.</p> <p><u>23.</u> Small scale employment</p>		<p>significant.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening												
		of Policy S5	development or farm diversification in rural areas will be supported subject to meeting the requirements of Policy S5 <u>3</u> .														
MM47	Chapter 7 Policy RT1 Main town centre uses	<p>Main town centre uses</p> <p>1. Main town centre uses (such as retail, office, entertainment and leisure) will be supported within the town centres set out below and shown on the Policies Map provided that they:</p> <p>a. are of a scale and character which reflects the role, function and distinctive qualities of the town centre; and</p> <p>b. would not harm the vitality and viability of a town centre or result in a reduction in A1 uses below the thresholds set out in Policies RT3 and RT8.</p> <p>2. The hierarchy of town centres is:</p> <table><tr><td>Types of town centre</td><td>Policy ref</td><td>Location/name of town centre</td></tr><tr><td>Town</td><td>RT1a</td><td>Mansfield</td></tr></table>	Types of town centre	Policy ref	Location/name of town centre	Town	RT1a	Mansfield	<p>Main town centre uses</p> <p>1. Main town centre uses (such as retail, office, entertainment and leisure) will be supported within the town centres set out below and shown on the Policies Map provided that they:</p> <p>a. are of a scale and character which reflects the role, function and distinctive qualities of the town centre; and</p> <p>b. would not harm the vitality and viability of a town centre or result in a reduction in A1 uses below the thresholds set out in Policies RT3 and RT8.</p> <p>2. The hierarchy of town centres is:</p> <table><tr><td>Types of town centre</td><td>Policy ref</td><td>Location/name of town centre</td></tr><tr><td>Town centre</td><td>RT1a</td><td>Mansfield</td></tr></table>	Types of town centre	Policy ref	Location/name of town centre	Town centre	RT1a	Mansfield	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	<p>Introduces the need to test whether local centres at the new SUEs are the most appropriate locations for retail in light of the sequential and impact tests.</p> <p>This could have mixed effects that should be explored.</p> <p>Screened in</p>
Types of town centre	Policy ref	Location/name of town centre															
Town	RT1a	Mansfield															
Types of town centre	Policy ref	Location/name of town centre															
Town centre	RT1a	Mansfield															

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																								
		<table><tr><td>centre</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>District centre</td><td>RT1b</td><td>Mansfield Woodhouse Market Warsop</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>RT1c</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Local centre</td><td>RT1d RT1e RT1f RT1g RT1h RT1i</td><td>Clipstone Road West Fulmar Close Ladybrook Lane Newgate Lane / Redcliffe Road Nottingham Road Ratcliffe Gate</td></tr></table> <p>3. New local centres will be supported as part of the comprehensive development of the Berry Hill commitment (Policy SUE3), and land allocated at Pleasley Hill Farm (Policy SUE1).</p> <p>4. Development proposals for main town centre uses outside of these town centres, including extensions to existing facilities, will be supported if they will meet the day to day convenience needs of the immediate area, are an office use proposed within a key / general or allocated employment site*, or, following a sequential test, it can be satisfactorily demonstrated that:</p> <p>a. the development could not be accommodated on a suitable and available site within a nearby centre,</p>	centre			District centre	RT1b	Mansfield Woodhouse Market Warsop		RT1c		Local centre	RT1d RT1e RT1f RT1g RT1h RT1i	Clipstone Road West Fulmar Close Ladybrook Lane Newgate Lane / Redcliffe Road Nottingham Road Ratcliffe Gate	<table><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>District centre</td><td>RT1b</td><td>Mansfield Woodhouse Market Warsop</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>RT1c</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>Local centre</td><td>RT1d RT1e RT1f RT1g RT1h RT1i <u>SUE1</u> <u>SUE3</u></td><td>Clipstone Road West Fulmar Close Ladybrook Lane Newgate Lane / Redcliffe Road Nottingham Road Ratcliffe Gate <u>Pleasley Hill</u> <u>Berry Hill</u></td></tr></table> <p>3. New local centres will be supported as part of the comprehensive development of the Berry Hill commitment (Policy SUE3), and land allocated at Pleasley Hill Farm (Policy SUE1). <u>Sequential and impact tests will be required at the planning application stage.</u></p> <p>4. Development proposals for main town centre uses outside of these town centres, including extensions to existing facilities, will be supported if they will meet the day to day convenience needs of the immediate area, are an office use proposed within a key / general or allocated employment site*, or, following a sequential test, it can be satisfactorily demonstrated that:</p>				District centre	RT1b	Mansfield Woodhouse Market Warsop		RT1c		Local centre	RT1d RT1e RT1f RT1g RT1h RT1i <u>SUE1</u> <u>SUE3</u>	Clipstone Road West Fulmar Close Ladybrook Lane Newgate Lane / Redcliffe Road Nottingham Road Ratcliffe Gate <u>Pleasley Hill</u> <u>Berry Hill</u>		
centre																													
District centre	RT1b	Mansfield Woodhouse Market Warsop																											
	RT1c																												
Local centre	RT1d RT1e RT1f RT1g RT1h RT1i	Clipstone Road West Fulmar Close Ladybrook Lane Newgate Lane / Redcliffe Road Nottingham Road Ratcliffe Gate																											
District centre	RT1b	Mansfield Woodhouse Market Warsop																											
	RT1c																												
Local centre	RT1d RT1e RT1f RT1g RT1h RT1i <u>SUE1</u> <u>SUE3</u>	Clipstone Road West Fulmar Close Ladybrook Lane Newgate Lane / Redcliffe Road Nottingham Road Ratcliffe Gate <u>Pleasley Hill</u> <u>Berry Hill</u>																											

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>or then on an edge of centre site, having shown appropriate flexibility in the format and scale of development proposed; and</p> <p>b. the development is within an accessible and well connected location.</p> <p>5. Retail (A1) developments over 500 sqm (net**) which are proposed in edge of centre and out of centre locations which are not allocated in the Local Plan for such purposes, will be required to satisfactorily demonstrate, through an impact assessment, that there will be no significant adverse impacts upon relevant town centres (including within adjoining local authorities).</p> <p>* designated by Policies E2 or E3. ** net retail sales floorspace - excludes areas not accessible to the public, toilets and cafe areas.</p>	<p>a. the development could not be accommodated on a suitable and available site within a nearby centre, or then on an edge of centre site, having shown appropriate flexibility in the format and scale of development proposed; and</p> <p>b. the development is within an accessible and well connected location.</p> <p>5. Retail (A1) <u>and leisure</u> developments over 500 sqm (net**) which are proposed in edge of centre and out of centre locations which are not allocated in the Local Plan for such purposes, will be required to satisfactorily demonstrate, through an impact assessment, that there will be no significant adverse impacts upon relevant town centres (including within adjoining local authorities).</p> <p>* designated by Policies E2 or E3. ** net retail sales floorspace - excludes areas not accessible to the public, toilets and cafe areas.</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM48	Chapter 7 Paragraph 7.7	The policy allows for the development of floorspace that meets the day to day convenience ⁽²⁾ needs of the immediate area. This is expected to be small scale development such as a new corner shop. 'Immediate area' would include residential areas and business park / industrial estate locations.	The policy allows for the development of floorspace that meets the day to day convenience ⁽²⁾ needs of the immediate area. This is expected to be small scale development, <u>not exceeding 280 sqm,</u> such as a new corner shop. 'Immediate area' would include residential areas and business park / industrial estate locations.	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Clarification on what constitutes small scale in supporting text. Whilst beneficial, this is unlikely to change SA findings. Screened out
MM49	Chapter 7 New paragraph between 7.8 and 7.9		<u>St Peter's Retail Park and Portland Retail Park are preferred locations for the development of new bulky goods retail floorspace. They complement the retail offer at nearby Mansfield town centre and their close proximity makes linked trips possible. Development proposals in these locations will be required to comply with this policy.</u>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Supporting text. Screened out
MM50	Chapter 7 Policy RT3 Mansfield town centre primary shopping area	Mansfield town centre primary shopping area 1. Development proposals for town centre uses, which help to diversify the primary shopping area and increase its attractiveness as a place	Mansfield town centre primary shopping area 1. Development proposals for town centre uses, which help to diversify the primary shopping area and increase its attractiveness as a place	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Clarification on terminology. No implications for the SA findings. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>to visit, socialise, live and work, will be supported particularly where the development proposed is a Use Class A1 retail use. The primary shopping area, as defined on the Policies Map, is made up of primary and secondary frontages:</p> <p><u>Primary frontages:</u></p> <p>2. To help ensure the vitality and viability of the wider town centre, development proposals for Class A uses at ground floor level within primary frontages should:</p> <p>a. not result in more than 25% of ground floor units in any defined primary frontage of the centre being in non-A1 use;</p> <p>b. not result in the loss of units over 500 sqm sales area from A1 use, unless clear advantages can be satisfactorily demonstrated;</p> <p>c. maintain an active frontage(s) to the unit, such as a display of visual interest, or views into the unit;</p>	<p>to visit, socialise, live and work, will be supported particularly where the development proposed is a Use Class A1 retail use. The primary shopping area, as defined on the Policies Map, is made up of primary and secondary frontages:</p> <p><u>Primary frontages:</u></p> <p>2. To help ensure the vitality and viability of the wider town centre, development proposals for Class A uses at ground floor level within primary frontages should:</p> <p>a. not result in more than 25% of ground floor units in any defined primary frontage of the centre being in non-A1 use;</p> <p>b. not result in the loss of units over 500 sqm sales area (gross*) from A1 use, unless clear advantages can be satisfactorily demonstrated;</p> <p>c. maintain an active frontage(s) to the unit, such as a display of visual interest, or views into the unit;</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>d. not create a continuous frontage of three or more units in non-A1 uses; and</p> <p>e. not include drinking establishments or hot-food takeaways (Classes A4 or A5), unless it can be satisfactorily demonstrated that there would be a positive impact upon both the town centre's daytime and evening economies.</p> <p><u>Secondary frontages:</u></p> <p>3. To ensure the vitality and viability of the wider town centre, development proposals for Class A uses at ground floor level within secondary frontages should:</p> <p>a. not result in more than 50% of ground floor units in any defined secondary frontage of the centre being in non-A1 use;</p> <p>b. not result in the loss of units over 500 sqm sales area from A1 use, unless clear advantages can be satisfactorily demonstrated;</p>	<p>d. not create a continuous frontage of three or more units in non-A1 uses; and</p> <p>e. not include drinking establishments or hot-food takeaways (Classes A4 or A5), unless it can be satisfactorily demonstrated that there would be a positive impact upon both the town centre's daytime and evening economies.</p> <p><u>Secondary frontages:</u></p> <p>3. To ensure the vitality and viability of the wider town centre, development proposals for Class A uses at ground floor level within secondary frontages should:</p> <p>a. not result in more than 50% of ground floor units in any defined secondary frontage of the centre being in non-A1 use;</p> <p>b. not result in the loss of units over 500 sqm sales area (gross*) from A1 use, unless clear advantages can be satisfactorily demonstrated;</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>c. maintain an active frontage(s) to the unit, such as a display of visual interest, or views into the unit; and</p> <p>d. not create a continuous frontage of four or more units in non-A1 uses.</p> <p>4. Development proposals within secondary frontages for other town centre uses that positively contribute to the broadening of the town centre's daytime and evening economies, particularly uses which are family orientated, will be supported. Where units have both primary and secondary frontages, the impact upon both frontages will be considered.</p>	<p>c. maintain an active frontage(s) to the unit, such as a display of visual interest, or views into the unit; and</p> <p>d. not create a continuous frontage of four or more units in non-A1 uses.</p> <p>4. Development proposals within secondary frontages for other town centre uses that positively contribute to the broadening of the town centre's daytime and evening economies, particularly uses which are family orientated, will be supported. Where units have both primary and secondary frontages, the impact upon both frontages will be considered.</p> <p><u>*Gross External Area (GEA) – ground floor only</u></p>		
MM51	Chapter 7 Policy RT4 Mansfield town centre improvements	<p>Mansfield town centre improvements</p> <p>1. Development proposals which help improve the vitality and environment of Mansfield town centre, will be supported, particularly where they involve:</p>	<p>Mansfield town centre improvements</p> <p>1. Development proposals which help improve the vitality and environment of Mansfield town centre, will be supported, particularly where they involve:</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	<p>Minor changes with no implications for the SA.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>a. appropriate development of the site identified by Policy RT6a;</p> <p>b. enhancement of townscape, civic and open spaces and heritage assets and their setting;</p> <p>c. improvement of the appearance of key gateways, by providing well designed landmark buildings which help create a positive image of the town and give it a sense of identity;</p> <p>d. improvements to Mansfield's market;</p> <p>e. enhancements to the Old Town Hall which support its reuse and ensure its conservation;</p> <p>f. improvements to energy efficiency and resilience to flooding and climate change, and adoption of low carbon technologies including electric vehicle charging points, where applicable;</p> <p>g. improvements to traffic</p>	<p>a. appropriate development of the site identified by Policy <u>policies</u> RT6a <u>and RT6b</u>;</p> <p>b. enhancement of townscape, civic and open spaces and heritage assets and their setting;</p> <p>c. improvement of the appearance of key gateways, by providing well designed landmark buildings which help create a positive image of the town and give it a sense of identity;</p> <p>d. improvements to Mansfield's market;</p> <p>e. enhancements to the Old Town Hall which support its reuse and ensure its conservation;</p> <p>f. improvements to energy efficiency and resilience to flooding and climate change, and adoption of low carbon technologies including electric vehicle charging points, where applicable;</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>arrangements, including the reduction of vehicle / pedestrian conflict and the barrier effect of the Mansfield town centre ring road;</p> <p>h. provision of cycle parking and facilities as set out in Policy RT5;</p> <p>i. creation of stronger walking and cycling links within, and to, the town centre, including opening up of the River Maun to create an attractive riverside walk;</p> <p>j. refurbishment of key premises, in particular Four Seasons Shopping Centre, Rosemary Centre and Beales Department Store;</p> <p>k. shopfront refurbishments (in accordance with Policy P8) and remodelling of floor plans, where appropriate, to create more attractive and usable retail units;</p> <p>l. conversion of upper floors of properties within the primary shopping area for office or residential use;</p>	<p>g. improvements to traffic arrangements, including the reduction of vehicle / pedestrian conflict and the barrier effect of the Mansfield town centre ring road;</p> <p>h. provision of cycle parking and facilities as set out in Policy RT5;</p> <p>i. creation of stronger walking and cycling links within, and to, the town centre, including opening up of the River Maun to create an attractive riverside walk;</p> <p>j. refurbishment of key premises, in particular Four Seasons Shopping Centre, Rosemary Centre and Beales Department Store;</p> <p>k. shopfront refurbishments (in accordance with Policy P8) and remodelling of floor plans, where appropriate, to create more attractive and usable retail units;</p> <p>l. conversion of upper floors of properties within the primary shopping area for office or residential</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>m. provision of new accessible car parking spaces, including replacement for any lost through redevelopment; or</p> <p>n. appropriate security and crime prevention measures.</p> <p>2. All major development proposals within Mansfield town centre should demonstrate how the proposal helps to achieve relevant aims of this policy.</p>	<p>use;</p> <p>m. provision of new accessible car parking spaces, including replacement for any lost through redevelopment; or and</p> <p>n. appropriate security and crime prevention measures.</p> <p>2. All major development proposals within Mansfield town centre should demonstrate how the proposal helps to achieve relevant the aims of this policy, <u>where relevant and proportionate to the scale and nature of the proposed development.</u></p>		
MM52	Chapter 7 Policy RT6 Retail and leisure allocations	<p>Retail and leisure allocations</p> <p>1. The following two sites, as shown on the Policies Map, are allocated for retail and leisure development:</p>	<p>Retail and leisure allocations</p> <p>1. The following two three sites, as shown on the Policies Map, are allocated for retail and leisure development:</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	<p>Clarification relating to another Modification.</p> <p>Screened out</p>
MM53	Chapter 7 Policy RT6a Former bus station,	<p>Former bus station, Stockwell Gate North (approx. 0.6 ha)</p> <p>This town centre site is allocated for</p>	<p>Former bus station, Stockwell Gate North (approx. 0.6 ha)</p> <p>This town centre site is allocated for</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Wording in relation to archaeological assessments clarified. Unlikely

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
	Stockwell Gate North (approx. 0.6 ha)	<p>a hotel led development scheme, to include around 3,500 sqm of retail and leisure floorspace. Development should take account of the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the need to create a high quality flagship development on this key gateway into the town centre, including landscaping to soften the urban form; and the delivery of a hotel, new retail floorspace and a range of other complementary uses which could include: offices, restaurants and cafes, community facilities, leisure, car parking and a taxi rank; and the provision of good quality, attractive public realm that connects the site into the rest of the town centre; and the form, massing, materials, location and height of new buildings should conserve and enhance to nearby listed buildings and their settings. an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person is required and 	<p>a hotel-led development scheme, to include around 3,500 sqm of retail and leisure floorspace. Development should take account of the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the need to create a high quality flagship development on this key gateway into the town centre, including landscaping to soften the urban form; and the delivery of a hotel, new retail floorspace and a range of other complementary uses which could include: offices, restaurants and cafes, community facilities, leisure, car parking and a taxi rank; and the provision of good quality, attractive public realm that connects the site into the rest of the town centre; and the form, massing, materials, location and height of new buildings should conserve and enhance to nearby listed buildings and their settings-; an appropriate archaeological assessment by a suitably qualified person is required and 		<p>to have a significant effect as the principle remains the same.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>any recommended actions followed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the design of the development should take account of and complement nearby local green space. 	<p>any recommended actions followed. <u>archaeology - any development proposals would need to be supported by detailed desk based archaeology assessment and the results of a staged pre-determination programme of archaeological investigation on the basis of an approved Written Scheme of Investigation; and</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the design of the development should take account of and complement nearby local green space. 		
MM54 <i>Policies Map change PM15</i>	Chapter 7 Policy RT6b <u>c</u> Frontage to Ransom Wood Business Park (approx. 1.4 ha)	<p>Development Site RT6b</p> <p>Frontage to Ransom Wood Business Park (approx. 1.4 ha)</p> <p>This site is allocated for around 1,750 sqm of retail and leisure floorspace. Development should take account of the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> satisfactorily demonstrate that the development primarily meets the needs of the business park 	<p>Development Site RT6b<u>c</u></p> <p>Frontage to Ransom Wood Business Park (approx. 1.4 ha)</p> <p>This site is allocated for around 1,750 sqm of retail and leisure floorspace. Development should take account of the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> satisfactorily demonstrate that the development primarily meets the needs of the business park; 	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Formatting changes. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the need to protect and enhance existing multi-user access (walking and cycling) along Mansfield Way the need to enhance and create complementary habitat buffers adjacent to nearby local wildlife sites an application-specific assessment will be required to identify and address impacts on nightjar and woodlark and their habitats. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> the need to protect and enhance existing multi-user access (walking and cycling) along Mansfield Way; the need to enhance and create complementary habitat buffers adjacent to nearby local wildlife sites; and an application-specific assessment will be required to identify and address impacts on nightjar and woodlark and their habitats. 		
MM55 <i>Policies Map change PM15</i>	Chapter 7 New <u>Policy RT6b Belvedere Street (approx. 0.5 ha)</u>		<p><u>Belvedere Street (approx. 0.5 ha)</u></p> <p><u>This site is allocated for around 11,500 sqm of main town centre uses, compromising floorspace in Use Classes A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, B1A, D1, D2 or C1 (or any mix of those uses) and related servicing and car parking facilities. Development should take account of the following issues:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>the need to create a high quality flagship development on this key gateway into the town centre, including</u> 	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Additional site and policy. Screened in

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																										
			<p><u>landscaping to soften the urban form;</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><u>the provision of good quality, attractive public realm and direct pedestrian linkages that connect the site into Mansfield bus station, Stockwell Gate, and the rest of the town centre; and</u> <p><u>the form, massing, materials, location and height of new buildings should conserve or enhance the nearby listed buildings and their settings.</u></p>																												
MM56 <i>Policies Map change PM16</i>	Chapter 7 Policy RT7 Retail and leisure commitments	<p>Retail and leisure commitments</p> <p>1. The following sites*, as shown on the Policies Map, have planning permission and are allocated for retail and / or leisure use:</p> <p>a. Mansfield urban area</p> <table><tr><th rowspan="2">Ref</th><th rowspan="2">Site name</th><th colspan="3">Amount and type of floorspace</th></tr><tr><th>Convenience (A1)</th><th>Comparison (A1)</th><th>Leisure (A3, A4, A5)</th></tr><tr><td>RT7a</td><td>Former Peggs DIY Store, Leeming Lane South</td><td>1003 sqm</td><td>251 sqm</td><td>-</td></tr></table>	Ref	Site name	Amount and type of floorspace			Convenience (A1)	Comparison (A1)	Leisure (A3, A4, A5)	RT7a	Former Peggs DIY Store, Leeming Lane South	1003 sqm	251 sqm	-	<p>Retail and leisure commitments</p> <p>1. The following sites*, as shown on the Policies Map, have planning permission and are allocated for retail and / or leisure use:</p> <p>a. Mansfield urban area</p> <table><tr><th rowspan="2">Ref</th><th rowspan="2">Site name</th><th colspan="3">Amount and type of floorspace</th></tr><tr><th>Convenience (A1)</th><th>Comparison (A1)</th><th>Leisure (A3, A4, A5)</th></tr><tr><td>RT7a</td><td>Former Peggs DIY Store, Leeming Lane South</td><td>1003 sqm</td><td>251 sqm</td><td>-</td></tr></table>	Ref	Site name	Amount and type of floorspace			Convenience (A1)	Comparison (A1)	Leisure (A3, A4, A5)	RT7a	Former Peggs DIY Store, Leeming Lane South	1003 sqm	251 sqm	-	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	To reflect updates to completions and commitments. Screened out
Ref	Site name	Amount and type of floorspace																													
		Convenience (A1)	Comparison (A1)	Leisure (A3, A4, A5)																											
RT7a	Former Peggs DIY Store, Leeming Lane South	1003 sqm	251 sqm	-																											
Ref	Site name	Amount and type of floorspace																													
		Convenience (A1)	Comparison (A1)	Leisure (A3, A4, A5)																											
RT7a	Former Peggs DIY Store, Leeming Lane South	1003 sqm	251 sqm	-																											

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)					Main Modification					Reason for Modification	SA Screening																										
		SUE3	Berry Hill	1,000 sqm	-	-	SUE3	Berry Hill	1,000 sqm <u>net</u>	-	-																												
		RT7b	Belvedere Street, Stockwell Gate South	-	1,588 sqm	-	RT7b	Belvedere Street, Stockwell Gate South	-	1,588 sqm	-																												
		RT7c	Adj. Unit 3, St. Peters Retail Park	-	101 sqm	-	RT7c	Adj. Unit 3, St. Peters Retail Park	-	101 sqm	-																												
		RT7d	Vape HQ Woodhouse Road	-	182 sqm	-	RT7d	Vape HQ Woodhouse Road	-	182 sqm	-																												
		RT7e	Old Town Hall	-	127 sqm	-	RT7e	Old Town Hall	-	127 sqm	-																												
		RT2f	116 - 120 Chesterfield Road North	160 sqm	-	-	RT2f	116 - 120 Chesterfield Road North	160 sqm	-	155 sqm <u>net</u>																												
		RT7g	Former Kings Mill Garage, Sutton Road	-	-	167 sqm	RT7g	Former Kings Mill Garage, Sutton Road	-	-	167 sqm																												
		b. Warsop Parish					b. Warsop Parish																																
		<table><tr><th rowspan="2">Ref</th><th rowspan="2">Site name</th><th colspan="3">Amount and type of floorspace</th></tr><tr><th>Convenience (A1)</th><th>Comparison (A1)</th><th>Leisure (A3, A4, A5)</th></tr><tr><td>RT7h</td><td>Former Strand Cinema, Church Street</td><td>715 sqm</td><td>80 sqm</td><td>-</td></tr></table>					Ref	Site name	Amount and type of floorspace					Convenience (A1)	Comparison (A1)	Leisure (A3, A4, A5)	RT7h	Former Strand Cinema, Church Street	715 sqm	80 sqm	-	<table><tr><th rowspan="2">Ref</th><th rowspan="2">Site name</th><th colspan="3">Amount and type of floorspace</th></tr><tr><th>Convenience (A1)</th><th>Comparison (A1)</th><th>Leisure (A3, A4, A5)</th></tr><tr><td>RT7h</td><td>Former Strand Cinema, Church Street</td><td>715 sqm</td><td>80 sqm</td><td>-</td></tr></table>					Ref	Site name	Amount and type of floorspace			Convenience (A1)	Comparison (A1)	Leisure (A3, A4, A5)	RT7h	Former Strand Cinema, Church Street	715 sqm	80 sqm	-
		Ref	Site name	Amount and type of floorspace																																			
Convenience (A1)	Comparison (A1)			Leisure (A3, A4, A5)																																			
RT7h	Former Strand Cinema, Church Street	715 sqm	80 sqm	-																																			
Ref	Site name	Amount and type of floorspace																																					
		Convenience (A1)	Comparison (A1)	Leisure (A3, A4, A5)																																			
RT7h	Former Strand Cinema, Church Street	715 sqm	80 sqm	-																																			
* (Commitments as of 1 April 2018).																																							
* (Commitments as of 1 April 2018)																																							

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM57	Chapter 7 Table 7.11 Sources of retail and leisure supply (as at 1 April 2018)		See Appendix 1. <i>Updated table added at Appendix 1.</i>	To correct error	Factual change only. Screened out
MM58	Chapter 7 Policy RT8 District and Local Centres	<p>District and local centres</p> <p>1. Development proposals within district and local centres, as shown on the Policies Map, which help to sustain and enhance the range of retail and community provision, will be supported where:</p> <p>a. retail (use class A1) remains the predominant use within the centre; and</p> <p>b. they contribute to the quality of the physical environment and vitality of the centres through one or more of the following measures:</p> <p>i. public realm improvements;</p> <p>ii. reinstatement and enhancement of</p>	<p>District and local centres</p> <p>1. Development proposals within district and local centres, as shown on the Policies Map, which help to sustain and enhance the range of retail and community provision, will be supported where:</p> <p>a. retail (use class A1) remains the predominant use* within the centre; and</p> <p>b. they contribute to the quality of the physical environment and vitality of the centres through one or more of the following measures:</p> <p>i. public realm improvements;</p> <p>ii. reinstatement and enhancement of</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	<p>Clarity provided on what constitutes a predominant use. The 40% target should ensure that retail (use class A) remains a vital part of centres.</p> <p>Screened in</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>historic architectural detail;</p> <p>iii. reuse of vacant units;</p> <p>iv. shop front refurbishments;</p> <p>v. conversions that enable the use of upper floors of premises;</p> <p>vi. improving the pedestrian environment / reducing the impact of vehicular traffic;</p> <p>vii. creation of a key focal point;</p> <p>viii. reduction of visual clutter through the rationalisation of street furniture, lighting columns, traffic signage, road markings and pedestrian guard rails; and</p> <p>ix. improvements to cycle parking provision.</p>	<p>historic architectural detail;</p> <p>iii. reuse of vacant units;</p> <p>iv. shop front refurbishments;</p> <p>v. conversions that enable the use of upper floors of premises;</p> <p>vi. improving the pedestrian environment / reducing the impact of vehicular traffic;</p> <p>vii. creation of a key focal point;</p> <p>viii. reduction of visual clutter through the rationalisation of street furniture, lighting columns, traffic signage, road markings and pedestrian guard rails; and</p> <p>ix. improvements to cycle parking provision.</p> <p><u>*Predominant use will be measured against a 40% target (of units within the relevant district or local centre).</u></p>		
MM59	Chapter 7	Neighbourhood parades	Neighbourhood parades	Discussion at	Wording change

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
	Policy RT9 Neighbourhood parades	<p>1. Neighbourhood parades, as shown on the Policies Map, will be protected as areas of local convenience retailing, with a presumption against their loss. Proposals will be supported for the change of use of units and suitable extensions if they enhance the vitality and viability of the parade.</p> <p>2. The development of new neighbourhood parades of an appropriate design and type will be supported where they meet the immediate local needs of new residential development but do not undermine existing town centres.</p>	<p>1. Neighbourhood parades, as shown on the Policies Map, will be protected as areas of local convenience retailing, with a presumption against their loss. Proposals will be supported for the change of use of units and suitable extensions if they enhance the vitality and viability of the parade. <u>Proposals for the change of use and extensions to existing units will be supported where they will enhance the vitality and viability of the parade.</u></p> <p>2. The development of new neighbourhood parades of an appropriate design and type will be supported where they meet the immediate local needs of new residential development but do not undermine existing town centres.</p>	hearing session (Main Matter 7)	<p>does not amend the policy principle so there are no SA implications.</p> <p>Screened out</p>
MM60	Chapter 7 Paragraph 7.63	In addition to the larger retail areas in the district, there are a number of neighbourhood parades (see Table 7.14) which form a fourth tier of locations that are important for meeting the day-to-day needs of the	In addition to the larger retail areas in the district, there are a number of neighbourhood parades (see Table 7.14) which form a fourth tier of locations that are important for meeting the day-to-day needs of the	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Minor changes to supporting text wording; so no implications for SA findings.

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		communities they serve. These parades are particularly valuable for those members of our communities without access to a car. References in the NPPF to 'town centres' or 'centres' apply to town centres, district centres and local centres, but exclude small parades of shops of purely neighbourhood significance. As such, sequential and impact testing is not required for neighbourhood parades.	communities they serve. These parades are particularly valuable for those members of our communities without access to a car. References in the NPPF to 'town centres' or 'centres' apply to town centres, district centres and local centres, but exclude small parades of shops of purely neighbourhood significance. As such, sequential and impact testing is not required for neighbourhood parades.		Screened out
MM61	Chapter 7 Paragraph 7.66	Extensions to neighbourhood parades should not exceed 250 sqm over the plan period, change the status of the parade, or undermine other town centres within the district's retail hierarchy.	Extensions to neighbourhood parades should not exceed 250 280 sqm net over the plan period, change the status of the parade, or undermine other town centres within the district's retail hierarchy.	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Changes to supporting text wording to reflect policy amendment; no implications for SA findings. Screened out
MM62	Chapter 7 Paragraph 7.67	There will be a new neighbourhood parade developed as part of the Jubilee Way strategic urban extension (see Policy SUE2). This will include some convenience retail provision and food and drink leisure provision to serve new and existing	There will be a new neighbourhood parade developed as part of the Jubilee Way strategic urban extension (see Policy SUE2). This will include some convenience retail provision and food and drink leisure provision to serve new and existing	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Minor changes to supporting text wording; so no implications for SA findings. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		residents, as well as employees of Crown Farm Industrial Estate. Any additional neighbourhood parades proposed over the plan period will need to comply with Policy RT1.	residents, as well as employees of Crown Farm Industrial Estate. Any additional neighbourhood parades proposed over the plan period will need to comply with Policy RT1.		
MM63	Chapter 7 New paragraph after 7.67		<u>Neighbourhood parades are not town centres and therefore proposals for new parades of shops of purely neighbourhood significance do not require sequential testing and are usually not large enough to require impact testing. However if a parade is proposed of such a scale that it would be likely to draw trade from a town centre it would be considered as a local centre and determined against Policy RT1.</u>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Minor changes / re-arrangement of supporting text wording; so no implications for SA findings. Screened out
MM64 <i>Policies Map change PM17</i>	Chapter 7 Policy RT10 Retail Parks	Retail parks 1. Development proposals will be supported for development of new floorspace within or on the edge of the retail parks (as shown on the Policies Map) without the need for a sequential or impact assessment,	Retail parks 1. Development proposals will be supported for development of new floorspace within or on the edge of the retail parks (as shown on the Policies Map) without the need for a sequential or impact assessment,	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Policy deletion. SA findings relating to this policy will change. It should be explored if these would be

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>provided that:</p> <p>a. the total cumulative increase in floorspace at each park does not exceed 1,000 sqm (since adoption of the plan);</p> <p>b. safe vehicular access and egress can be made and sufficient car parking is provided;</p> <p>c. the design and layout reflects the rest of the retail park and makes provision for pedestrians and cyclists; and</p> <p>d. the floorspace is used for the sale of bulky goods only.</p>	<p>provided that:</p> <p>a. the total cumulative increase in floorspace at each park does not exceed 1,000 sqm (since adoption of the plan);</p> <p>b. safe vehicular access and egress can be made and sufficient car parking is provided;</p> <p>c. the design and layout reflects the rest of the retail park and makes provision for pedestrians and cyclists; and</p> <p>d. the floorspace is used for the sale of bulky goods only.</p>		<p>significant.</p> <p>Screened in</p>
MM65	<p>Chapter 7 Supporting text to Policy RT10 Retail Parks</p> <p>Paragraphs 7.68 – 7.74 and table 7.16</p>	<p>7.68 Portland Retail Park and St Peter's Retail Park both provide large retail warehouse units suitable for the sale of bulky goods.</p> <p>Portland Retail Park (RT10a)</p> <p>7.69 Portland Retail Park is located across the ring road from Mansfield town centre and can be accessed by</p>	<p>7.68 Portland Retail Park and St Peter's Retail Park both provide large retail warehouse units suitable for the sale of bulky goods.</p> <p>Portland Retail Park (RT10a)</p> <p>7.69 Portland Retail Park is located across the ring road from Mansfield town centre and can be accessed by</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	<p>Supporting text to policy changes. Will be addressed through MM64.</p> <p>Screened out.</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>foot via a bridge. Most of the current provision here is bulky goods; there is also a gym on site and two restaurants.</p> <p>St Peters Retail Park (RT10b)</p> <p>7.70 St Peter's Retail Park is located on the edge of the town centre boundary. The current offer of shops is more reflective of its close relationship to the town centre and comprises numerous clothing outlets, discount stores and a large pharmacy.</p> <p>7.71 The close proximity of the town centre makes these locations ideal for additional retail warehouse development as there is potential for linked trips to be made. Policy RT10 seeks to direct appropriate new development to these locations by removing the requirement for sequential and impact tests as set out in Policy RT1. Any development that comes forward here would help to meet the district's longer term retail floorspace requirements. Policy</p>	<p>foot via a bridge. Most of the current provision here is bulky goods; there is also a gym on site and two restaurants.</p> <p>St Peters Retail Park (RT10b)</p> <p>7.70 St Peter's Retail Park is located on the edge of the town centre boundary. The current offer of shops is more reflective of its close relationship to the town centre and comprises numerous clothing outlets, discount stores and a large pharmacy.</p> <p>7.71 The close proximity of the town centre makes these locations ideal for additional retail warehouse development as there is potential for linked trips to be made. Policy RT10 seeks to direct appropriate new development to these locations by removing the requirement for sequential and impact tests as set out in Policy RT1. Any development that comes forward here would help to meet the district's longer term retail floorspace requirements. Policy</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>RT10 encourages development close to the town centre, rather than in less accessible out-of-centre locations. Retail park proposals in out-of-centre locations would need to meet the requirements of Policy RT1.</p> <p>7.72 Development of new floorspace may take the form of new retail warehouses, mezzanine floors or other extensions to existing units. Any development over the cumulative 1,000 sqm allowance will be subject to Policy RT2.</p> <p>7.73 It is generally accepted that retail warehouses are large stores with extensive retail floorspace, storage areas and surface car parks, to ease the transfer of bulky good purchases.</p> <p>7.74 Bulky goods are those items which cannot easily be purchased from a town centre location due to their large physical nature (for example DIY goods, furniture, electrical goods, carpets etc). They include items that require large areas</p>	<p>RT10 encourages development close to the town centre, rather than in less accessible out-of-centre locations. Retail park proposals in out-of-centre locations would need to meet the requirements of Policy RT1.</p> <p>7.72 Development of new floorspace may take the form of new retail warehouses, mezzanine floors or other extensions to existing units. Any development over the cumulative 1,000 sqm allowance will be subject to Policy RT2.</p> <p>7.73 It is generally accepted that retail warehouses are large stores with extensive retail floorspace, storage areas and surface car parks, to ease the transfer of bulky good purchases.</p> <p>7.74 Bulky goods are those items which cannot easily be purchased from a town centre location due to their large physical nature (for example DIY goods, furniture, electrical goods, carpets etc). They include items that require large areas</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																		
		<div>for storage or display.</div> <div>Table 7.16 Policy RT10 – Supporting information</div> <table><tr><td colspan="2">Policy RT10: Retail parks</td></tr><tr><td>Does it meet national planning policy?</td><td>Yes - NPPF Paragraph 23 (bullet point 8)</td></tr><tr><td>What evidence has informed this policy?</td><td>Mansfield Retail Viability Study, 2016.</td></tr><tr><td>How will it be delivered?</td><td>Through private investment into the retail parks and the development management process.</td></tr></table>	Policy RT10: Retail parks		Does it meet national planning policy?	Yes - NPPF Paragraph 23 (bullet point 8)	What evidence has informed this policy?	Mansfield Retail Viability Study, 2016.	How will it be delivered?	Through private investment into the retail parks and the development management process.	<div>for storage or display.</div> <div>Table 7.16 Policy RT10 – Supporting information</div> <table><tr><td colspan="2">Policy RT10: Retail parks</td></tr><tr><td>Does it meet national planning policy?</td><td>Yes - NPPF Paragraph 23 (bullet point 8)</td></tr><tr><td>What evidence has informed this policy?</td><td>Mansfield Retail Viability Study, 2016.</td></tr><tr><td>How will it be delivered?</td><td>Through private investment into the retail parks and the development management process.</td></tr><tr><td>Which local plan objectives will it meet?</td><td>6</td></tr></table>	Policy RT10: Retail parks		Does it meet national planning policy?	Yes - NPPF Paragraph 23 (bullet point 8)	What evidence has informed this policy?	Mansfield Retail Viability Study, 2016.	How will it be delivered?	Through private investment into the retail parks and the development management process.	Which local plan objectives will it meet?	6		
Policy RT10: Retail parks																							
Does it meet national planning policy?	Yes - NPPF Paragraph 23 (bullet point 8)																						
What evidence has informed this policy?	Mansfield Retail Viability Study, 2016.																						
How will it be delivered?	Through private investment into the retail parks and the development management process.																						
Policy RT10: Retail parks																							
Does it meet national planning policy?	Yes - NPPF Paragraph 23 (bullet point 8)																						
What evidence has informed this policy?	Mansfield Retail Viability Study, 2016.																						
How will it be delivered?	Through private investment into the retail parks and the development management process.																						
Which local plan objectives will it meet?	6																						

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)		Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		Which local plan objectives will it meet?	6			
MM66 <i>Policies Map change PM18</i>	Chapter 7 Policy RT4410 Hot food takeaways	<p>Hot food takeaways</p> <p>1. Proposals for hot food takeaways (Use Class A5) will be supported provided that they are not:</p> <p>a. within 400m of an access point to any secondary school or college; or</p> <p>b. likely to cause significant harm to residential amenity in terms of: noise, vibration, odour, traffic disturbance, litter or hours of operation.</p>		<p>Hot food takeaways <u>and exclusion zones</u></p> <p>1. Proposals for hot food takeaways (Use Class A5) will be supported provided that they are not:</p> <p>a. within <u>a hot food takeaway exclusion zone as shown on the policies map (within a 400m radius from the main</u> access point to any secondary school or college); or.</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	The principle of applying a 400m exclusion zone is already established in the policy. The modifications change how this is expressed by establishing exclusion zones of 400m radius. There is a

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<p>b. <u>2. In all cases, hot food takeaways must not</u> likely to cause significant harm to residential amenity in terms of: noise, vibration, odour, traffic disturbance, litter or hours of operation.</p>		<p>difference between the policy here though. 400m radius assumes an 'as the crow flies' pattern of travel. However, this is rarely how people move from one place to another. In reality, a 400, radius is therefore likely to lead to a longer walking distance than 400m. This is slightly more positive as it ensures that takeaways are at least 400m away from schools.</p> <p>Conversely, this is slightly more restrictive for prospective businesses. On</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
					balance no significant effects likely. Screened out
MM67	Chapter 7 Policy RT4211 Visitor economy	<p>Visitor economy</p> <p>1. Development proposals for visitor facilities*, accommodation and sporting attractions, including proposals for temporary permission in support of the promotion of events, will be supported provided that they:</p> <p>a. benefit both local communities and visitors; and</p> <p>b. respect and enhance the natural and built environmental qualities of the area, and are appropriate in scale and nature.</p> <p>2. Development should be located within the existing Mansfield urban area boundary, Market Warsop urban area or village boundaries, or as part of strategic sites, unless it can be demonstrated that:</p>	<p>Visitor economy</p> <p>1. Development proposals for visitor facilities*, accommodation and sporting attractions, including proposals for temporary permission in support of the promotion of events, will be supported provided that they:</p> <p>a. benefit both local communities and visitors; and</p> <p>b. respect and enhance the natural and built environmental qualities of the area, and are appropriate in scale and nature.</p> <p>2. Development should be located within the existing Mansfield urban area boundary, Market Warsop urban area or village boundaries, or as part of strategic sites, unless it can be demonstrated that:</p>	To correct error.	<p>Formatting change.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>a. such locations are unsuitable for the nature of the proposal; and</p> <p>b. there is an overriding benefit to the local economy and/or community and/or environment for locating away from the urban areas; and</p> <p>c. the criteria within Policy S5 can be met; or</p> <p>d. it relates to a suitably located existing visitor facility which is appropriate for redevelopment or expansion.</p> <p>3. Development of town centre uses shall also be in accordance with Policy RT2.</p> <p>*such as cultural and leisure facilities</p>	<p>a. such locations are unsuitable for the nature of the proposal; and</p> <p>b. there is an overriding benefit to the local economy and/or community and/or environment for locating away from the urban areas; and</p> <p>c. the criteria within Policy S5 can be met; or</p> <p>d. it relates to a suitably located existing visitor facility which is appropriate for redevelopment or expansion.</p> <p>3. Development of town centre uses shall also be in accordance with Policy RT2-1</p> <p>*such as cultural and leisure facilities facilities</p>		
MM68	Chapter 8 Paragraph 8.4	A housing supply of 6,500 homes plus a buffer of between 13% can be delivered during the plan period without these the two new strategic sites; in addition the committed site under construction at Berry Hill	A housing supply of 6,500 homes plus a buffer of between around 13% can be delivered during the plan period without these the two new strategic sites; in addition the committed site under construction at	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 5)	Supporting text amendments. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		(Policy SUE3) is expected to deliver over 400 homes after the end of the plan period in 2033. Evidence suggests that these two strategic sites are not currently viable when taking account of the policy and infrastructure requirements required in order to deliver them. Given the benefits that both of the sites offer in the provision of employment land and meeting the council's aspirations of delivering long term sustainable growth by creating well designed neighbourhoods, these two strategic sites are allocated within the Local Plan. The council will continue to work with the site promoters and strategic partners including Homes England and the D2N2 LEP to deliver these sites with the supporting infrastructure and facilities that are required.	Berry Hill (Policy SUE3) is expected to deliver over 400 <u>300</u> homes after the end of the plan period in 2033. Evidence suggests that these two strategic sites are not currently viable when taking account of the policy and infrastructure requirements required in order to deliver them. Given the benefits that both of the sites offer in the provision of employment land and meeting the council's aspirations of delivering long term sustainable growth by creating well designed neighbourhoods, these two strategic sites are allocated within the Local Plan. The council will continue to work with the site promoters and strategic partners including Homes England and the D2N2 LEP to deliver these sites with the supporting infrastructure and facilities that are required. <u>In addition the committed site at Berry Hill (SUE3) is expected to deliver over 300 homes after the end of the plan period in 2033.</u>		
MM69	Chapter 8 Policy SUE1	Pleasley Hill Farm	Pleasley Hill Farm	Discussion at hearing	Minor wording changes.

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
	Pleasley Hill Farm	<p>1. Land at Pleasley Hill is allocated as a strategic sustainable urban extension delivering:</p> <p>a. 925 new homes (including retirement accommodation);</p> <p>b. a care home;</p> <p>c. a new local centre including:</p> <p>i. up to 1600sqm of A1 retail</p> <p>ii. up to 3,000sqm of A3/A4</p> <p>d. a hotel; and</p> <p>e. a minimum 1.7ha (developable area) of mixed employment uses.</p> <p>f. There is also land available for a petrol filling station, nursery and gym</p> <p>2. A field evaluation, incorporating a systematic walking survey, geophysical survey and intrusive trial trenching will be required to be carried out prior to determining a planning application.</p>	<p>1. Land at Pleasley Hill is allocated as a strategic sustainable urban extension delivering:</p> <p>a. <u>approximately</u> 925 new homes (including retirement accommodation);</p> <p>b. a care home;</p> <p>c. a new local centre including <u>retail (Class A), leisure (Class D2) and community uses (Class D1) appropriate to a local centre;</u></p> <p>i. up to 1600sqm of A1 retail</p> <p>ii. up to 3,000sqm of A3/A4</p> <p>d. a hotel; and</p> <p>e. a minimum 1.7ha (developable area) of mixed employment uses.</p> <p>f. There is also land available for a petrol filling station, nursery and gym.</p> <p><u>2. New retail or leisure floorspace proposed at Pleasley Hill will be</u></p>	session (Main Matter 5)	<p>The specific breakdown of uses on the site has been removed, which provides greater flexibility in the delivery of a mixed use site.</p> <p>Whilst this approach doesn't guarantee an appropriate split between A class uses, it also makes reference to leisure and community uses (which was absent). Therefore, the policy is more proactive in this respect.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>3. The following junctions have been identified within the Mansfield Transport Study 2018 and will require improvements at Chesterfield Road/Debdale Lane, Kings Mill Road/Beck Lane/Skegby Lane/Mansfield Road and A6191 Chesterfield Road/A617 MARR Pleasley.</p> <p>4. This new neighbourhood will be developed comprehensively in accordance with an agreed masterplan, including delivery and phasing arrangements and informed by key design principles, independent design review and community/stakeholder consultation. This masterplan will be incorporated into a Supplementary Planning Document and/or an outline planning application and supporting section 106 agreement.</p> <p>5. The development will create a sustainable and high quality living environment, including the provision of:</p>	<p><u>expected to meet the requirements of Policy RT1.</u></p> <p>23. A field evaluation, incorporating a systematic walking survey, geophysical survey and intrusive trial trenching will be required to be carried out prior to determining a planning application.</p> <p>34. The following junctions have been identified within the Mansfield Transport Study 2018 and will require improvements at Chesterfield Road / Debdale Lane, Kings Mill Road / Beck Lane / Skegby Lane / Mansfield Road and A6191 Chesterfield Road / A617 MARR Pleasley.</p> <p>45. This new neighbourhood will be developed comprehensively in accordance with an agreed masterplan, including delivery and phasing arrangements and informed by key design principles, independent design review and community/stakeholder consultation. This masterplan will be incorporated</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>a. an appropriate buffer to the adjoining employment development and Pleasley village to the north;</p> <p>b. a network of green infrastructure linking to nearby local wildlife sites (Cotton Plantation and Pleasley Hill Pastures), and the existing public rights of way;</p> <p>c. adequate SuDS along the existing flooding routes, including enhancing ecological connections with the adjoining natural spring and local wildlife site;</p> <p>d. on-site open space and play facilities in locations which are accessible from surrounding areas; and</p> <p>e. the protection of areas of archaeological significance informed by a Geophysical Survey.</p>	<p>into a Supplementary Planning Document and/or an outline planning application and supporting section 106 agreement.</p> <p>56. The development will create a sustainable and high quality living environment, including the provision of:</p> <p>a. an appropriate buffer to the adjoining employment development and Pleasley village to the north;</p> <p>b. a network of green infrastructure linking to nearby local wildlife sites (Cotton Plantation and Pleasley Hill Pastures), and the existing public rights of way;</p> <p>c. adequate SuDS along the existing flooding routes, including enhancing ecological connections with the adjoining natural spring and local wildlife site;</p> <p>d. on-site open space and play facilities in locations which are accessible from surrounding areas;</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			and e. the protection of areas of archaeological significance informed by a Geophysical Survey.		
MM70 <i>Policies Map change PM19</i>	Chapter 8 Policy SUE2 Land off Jubilee Way	Land off Jubilee Way 1. Land off Jubilee Way is allocated as a strategic sustainable urban extension delivering: a. 800 new homes; b. a new neighbourhood parade; c. provision of a new primary school on site; and d. a 1.6ha (developable area) extension to Crown Farm Way Industrial Estate. 2. This new neighbourhood will be developed comprehensively in accordance with an agreed masterplan, including delivery and phasing arrangements and informed by key design principles, an independent design review and	Land off Jubilee Way 1. Land off Jubilee Way is allocated as a strategic sustainable urban extension delivering: a. <u>approximately</u> 800 new homes; b. a new neighbourhood parade; c. provision of a new primary school on site; and d. a <u>minimum of</u> 1.6ha (developable area) extension to Crown Farm Way Industrial Estate. 2. This new neighbourhood will be developed comprehensively in accordance with an agreed masterplan, including delivery and phasing arrangements and informed by key design principles, an independent design review and	To provide consistency with other housing allocations, and to respond to a statutory consultee comment / further correspondence.	A nitrogen deposition study is required as part of the policy. This was previously mentioned within supporting text but not as a firm requirement. This could have benefits with regards to biodiversity nearby. Screened in

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>community/stakeholder consultation. This masterplan will be incorporated into a Supplementary Planning Document and / or an outline planning application and supporting section 106 agreement.</p> <p>3. An application specific assessment will be required to identify and address impacts on nightjar and woodlark and their habitats.</p> <p>4. A Written Scheme of Investigation is required to be submitted as part of any future planning obligation.</p> <p>5. The masterplan will create a sustainable and high quality living environment, including the provision of:</p> <p>a. cycle and walking links to the adjoining housing development and to the wider area including Timberland Trail, Oak Tree LNR, the restored Mansfield colliery and Vicar Water (strategic GI area #10) and the wider Sherwood Forest</p>	<p>community/stakeholder consultation. This masterplan will be incorporated into a Supplementary Planning Document and / or an outline planning application and supporting section 106 agreement.</p> <p>3. An application specific assessment will be required to identify and address impacts on nightjar and woodlark and their habitats.</p> <p><u>4. A Nitrogen Deposition Study will be required to assess the impact on sites of biodiversity importance nearby.</u></p> <p><u>5. 4. A Written Scheme of Investigation is required to be submitted as part of any future planning obligation Any development proposal would need to be supported by a detailed desk based archaeology assessment and the results of a staged pre-determination programme of archaeological investigation on the basis of an approved Written</u></p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>(strategic GI area #6);</p> <p>b. a network of green infrastructure including new habitats adjacent to the SSSIs/LWSs, mitigation of any loss of value on the LWS, the appropriate protection of adjacent heathland and SSSIs with appropriate account taken of the ppSPA;</p> <p>c. adequate SuDS and improving the flows and water quality of Vicar Water;</p> <p>d. contributions to junction improvements including at A6117 Oak Tree Lane/Eakring Road, A6191 Southwell Road/Oak Tree Lane/Adamsway and A6117 Old Mill Lane/B6030 Clipstone Road West;</p> <p>e. the identification and appropriate protection of areas of potential archaeological importance; and</p> <p>f. enhancement and integration of the former mineral railway line along Jubilee Way North, as a wooded</p>	<p><u>Scheme of Investigation.</u></p> <p><u>6. 5.</u> The masterplan will create a sustainable and high quality living environment, including the provision of:</p> <p>a. cycle and walking links to the adjoining housing development and to the wider area including Timberland Trail, Oak Tree LNR, the restored Mansfield colliery and Vicar Water (strategic GI area #10) and the wider Sherwood Forest (strategic GI area #6);</p> <p>b. a network of green infrastructure including new habitats adjacent to the SSSIs/LWSs, mitigation of any loss of value on the LWS, the appropriate protection of adjacent heathland and SSSIs with appropriate account taken of the ppSPA;</p> <p><u>c. measures to prevent any loss of value of the LWS and ensure the protection of Sn 41 habitats and species;</u></p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		landscaped buffer, where feasible.	<p><u>d. the appropriate protection of adjacent heathland and SSSIs;</u></p> <p><u>e. appropriate account taken of the ppSPA in line with the recommendations of the application specific assessment including measures to protect nightjar and woodlark and their habitats;</u></p> <p>e. f adequate SuDS and improving the flows and water quality of Vicar Water;</p> <p>eg. contributions to junction improvements including at A6117 Oak Tree Lane / Eakring Road, A6191 Southwell Road / Oak Tree Lane / Adamsway and A6117 Old Mill Lane / B6030 Clipstone Road West;</p> <p><u>eh.</u> the identification and appropriate protection of areas of potential archaeological importance; and</p> <p><u>fi.</u> enhancement and integration of</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			the former mineral railway line along Jubilee Way North, as a wooded landscaped buffer, where feasible.		
MM71	Chapter 8 Paragraph 8.14	<p>The site is close to three SSSIs (Oaktree Heath, Sherwood Forest Golf Course and Strawberry Hills) and the area identified as being part of a Sherwood potential prospective special protection area (ppSPA); there are also a number of local wildlife sites in the area along with areas of heathland. It is therefore important that appropriate provision is made for the protection and enhancement of biodiversity. The Habitats regulation Assessment carried out for the Local Plan has identified a number of potential mitigation measures including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing access along Eakring Road; • Diversion of bridleways; • The inclusion of landscape buffers, habitat connectivity; • Provision of alternative areas for dog walking; and • Potential provision of compensatory habitat. 	<p>The site is close to three SSSIs (Oaktree Heath, Sherwood Forest Golf Course and Strawberry Hills) and the area identified as being part of a Sherwood potential prospective special protection area (ppSPA); there are also a number of local wildlife sites in the area along with areas of heathland. It is therefore important that appropriate provision is made for the protection and enhancement of biodiversity. <u>The application specific assessment required by Policy SUE 2(3) will confirm the full package of measures to ensure that there would be no adverse impact on the ppSPA.</u> The Habitats regulation Assessment carried out for the Local Plan has identified a. <u>A</u> number of potential mitigation measures <u>have been identified</u> including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing access along Eakring Road; • Diversion of bridleways; 	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 5)	<p>Supporting text brings examples of mitigation suggested in the HRA to the fore. Whilst beneficial this is not a policy requirement as such and so the implications for the SA are minor.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		An assessment of the impact of nitrogen may also be required. This area also requires careful management of flood risk and surface water runoff. Runoff should be directed towards Vicar Water.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The inclusion of landscape buffers, habitat connectivity; • <u>Measures to reduce predation of protected wildlife by domestic animals;</u> • <u>The provision of Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspaces (SANGs) and an access management plan to ensure that sensitive areas are not inappropriately accessed;</u> • <u>Provision for the long term management of habitats;</u> • <u>The recording and monitoring of key species before and during construction to ensure that mitigation and ecological compensation is working and to inform development of further measures if required;</u> • Provision of alternative areas for dog walking; and • Potential provision of compensatory habitat. <p>An assessment of the impact of</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening																								
			nitrogen may will also be required. This area also requires careful management of flood risk and surface water runoff. Runoff should be directed towards Vicar Water.																										
MM72	Chapter 8 Policy SUE3 Land at Berry Hill - Committed strategic urban extension	<p>Land at Berry Hill - Committed strategic urban extension</p> <p>1. The Berry Hill strategic urban extension has planning permission and is allocated for the following:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <th>Policy ref</th><th>Site</th><th>Planning application ref</th><th>No. of homes</th><th>Amount of employment land (ha)</th><th>Amount of retail / leisure floorspace (sqm)</th></tr> <tr> <td>SUE3</td><td>Berry Hill</td><td>2010/0089/ST</td><td>1,700</td><td>18.8 (developable area)</td><td>1,000</td></tr> </table>	Policy ref	Site	Planning application ref	No. of homes	Amount of employment land (ha)	Amount of retail / leisure floorspace (sqm)	SUE3	Berry Hill	2010/0089/ST	1,700	18.8 (developable area)	1,000	<p>Land at Berry Hill - Committed strategic urban extension</p> <p>1. The Berry Hill strategic urban extension has planning permission and is allocated for the following:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <th>Policy ref</th><th>Site</th><th>Planning application ref</th><th>No. of homes</th><th>Amount of employment land (ha)</th><th>Amount of retail / leisure floorspace (sqm)</th></tr> <tr> <td>SUE3</td><td>Berry Hill</td><td>2010/0089/ST</td><td>1,700</td><td>18.8 (developable area)</td><td>1,000</td></tr> </table> <p><u>1. Land at Berry Hill is allocated as a strategic sustainable urban extension delivering:</u></p> <p><u>a. approximately 1,700 new homes (including 10% on site affordable housing);</u></p> <p><u>b. a new local centre including</u></p>	Policy ref	Site	Planning application ref	No. of homes	Amount of employment land (ha)	Amount of retail / leisure floorspace (sqm)	SUE3	Berry Hill	2010/0089/ST	1,700	18.8 (developable area)	1,000	<p>Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 5)</p>	<p>Provides clarity on what would be expected on site should planning permission lapse.</p> <p>This is positive as it ensures a longer term security for the site.</p> <p>However, the principle of development here has already been established. Therefore significant effects are unlikely.</p> <p>Screened out</p>
Policy ref	Site	Planning application ref	No. of homes	Amount of employment land (ha)	Amount of retail / leisure floorspace (sqm)																								
SUE3	Berry Hill	2010/0089/ST	1,700	18.8 (developable area)	1,000																								
Policy ref	Site	Planning application ref	No. of homes	Amount of employment land (ha)	Amount of retail / leisure floorspace (sqm)																								
SUE3	Berry Hill	2010/0089/ST	1,700	18.8 (developable area)	1,000																								

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<u>class A retail and leisure uses and appropriate class D1 uses *;</u> <u>c. commercial uses;</u> <u>d. a minimum of 18.8 ha (developable area) of mixed employment uses;</u> <u>e. 3.1 hectares commercial / leisure use***;</u> <u>f. health centre;</u> <u>g. a new primary school;</u> <u>h. a community park, outdoor play space and equipped children's play provision; and</u> <u>i. a road link for the potential cemetery.</u> <u>2. New retail or leisure floorspace proposed at Berry Hill above the 1,000 sqm already permitted will be expected to meet the requirements of Policy RT1.</u> <u>3. This new neighbourhood will be</u>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<p><u>developed comprehensively in accordance with existing planning permissions*** and the agreed masterplan***.</u></p> <p><u>* The proposed retail shall comprise of no more than 1,000sqm gross floorspace and shall be split between 5 units, none of which shall exceed 500sqm. Any proposal to vary this amount of floorspace will be determined against the criteria within Policy RT1</u></p> <p><u>** Any proposals for main town centre uses, as defined by the NPPF, will be determined against the criteria within Policy RT1</u></p> <p><u>***2010/0089/ST</u></p>		
MM73	Chapter 9 Policy IN2 Green infrastructure	<p>Green infrastructure</p> <p>1. Development proposals within or adjoining areas of strategic green infrastructure (as shown on the Policies Map) will be supported, provided it can be satisfactorily demonstrated that:</p> <p>a. the functions and key assets of the</p>	<p>Green infrastructure</p> <p>1. Development proposals within or adjoining areas of strategic green infrastructure (as shown on the Policies Map) will be supported, provided it can be satisfactorily demonstrated that:</p> <p>a. the functions and key assets of the</p>	In response to comment.	<p>Minor changes to formatting. No implications for SA findings.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>green infrastructure network are protected and reasonable opportunities for enhancement of these are secured to deliver a diverse range of benefits for people and wildlife; and</p> <p>b. good quality connections are maintained to, and within, the green infrastructure network for people and wildlife and, where practical, improve accessibility to ensure new links are created and / or gaps restored; and</p> <p>c. significant adverse impacts on sensitive landscape, ecological and heritage assets and their setting are avoided where possible or at least minimised, including through the use of buffer strips; and</p> <p>d. opportunities are secured, where feasible, to improve resilience to the impacts of climate change; and</p> <p>e. the quality of the green infrastructure network is improved,</p>	<p>green infrastructure network are protected and reasonable opportunities for enhancement of these are secured to deliver a diverse range of benefits for people and wildlife; and</p> <p>b. good quality connections are maintained to, and within, the green infrastructure network for people and wildlife and, where practical, improve accessibility to ensure new links are created and / or gaps restored; and</p> <p>c. significant adverse impacts on sensitive landscape, ecological and heritage assets and their setting are avoided where possible or at least minimised, including through the use of buffer strips; and</p> <p>d. opportunities are secured, where feasible, to improve resilience to the impacts of climate change; and</p> <p>e. the quality of the green infrastructure network is improved,</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>such that it supports improved ecosystem networks and services, and healthy neighbourhoods; and</p> <p>f. future management of any features created is financially secured through an agreed management plan.</p> <p>g. the proposal accords with Policy S5.</p> <p>2. On and off site contributions for new, and where appropriate enhancements to existing, provision will be secured through developer contributions or conditions.</p> <p>3. Development outside, and not adjoining, the strategic green infrastructure network should, where appropriate, create local green infrastructure or provide links to the strategic network.</p>	<p>such that it supports improved ecosystem networks and services, and healthy neighbourhoods; and</p> <p>f. future management of any features created is financially secured through an agreed management plan.</p> <p>g. the proposal accords with Policy S5.</p> <p>2. On and off-site contributions for new, and where appropriate enhancements to existing, provision will be secured through developer contributions or conditions.</p> <p>3. Development outside, and not adjoining, the strategic green infrastructure network should, where appropriate, create local green infrastructure or provide links to the strategic network.</p>		
MM74	Chapter 9 New paragraph after 9.17		<u>If located outside of settlement boundaries, proposals within or adjacent to the identified Green Infrastructure areas will also need to accord with Policy S5 (Development in the Open</u>	In response to comment.	Former policy clause within IN2 now within supporting text. No implications for

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<u>Countryside).</u>		SA. Screened out
MM75 <i>Policies Map change PM20</i>	Chapter 9 Policy IN5 Allotments			Add allotment at Broomhill Lane	Minor amendment Screened out
MM76	Chapter 9 Paragraph 9.31	Where development is proposed on an existing allotment site, it must be determined that the allotment is surplus to requirement. Determining whether or not it is surplus should be based on existing and future demand. Demand is informed by documented current use (i.e. number of plots in use) and those on waiting lists for the allotment.	Where development is proposed on an existing allotment site, it must be determined that the allotment is surplus to requirement. Determining whether or not it is surplus should be based on existing and future demand. Demand is informed by documented current use (i.e. number of plots in use) and those on waiting lists for the allotment <u>an appropriate demonstration of existing demand including, for example, information on those on waiting lists, availability and rate of uptake for allotment plots.</u>	To add further clarity. Waiting lists are useful for giving an initial picture of demand but there may be other additional means of demonstrating this.	Clarification within supporting text. No implications for SA findings. Screened out
MM77	Chapter 9 Paragraph 9.32	It must be demonstrated that any vacant plots have been actively advertised to the wider community by a variety of means for at least a full season.	<u>To address any latent demand,</u> it must be demonstrated that any vacant plots have been actively advertised to the wider community by a variety of means for at least a full	To add further clarity.	Clarification on what is expected with regards to advertisement. Thrust of policy

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			season <u>(i.e. not just a one-off attempt)</u> . This may include, for example: <u>on-site and / or off-site posters, advertisements in local publications, promotional open days, etc.</u> The message should be <u>clear and simple about who to contact and how to obtain a plot.</u>		and supporting text remains the same though. Screened out
MM78 <i>Policies Map change PM21</i>	Chapter 9 Policy IN6 Designated local green space			Received an updated GIS layer from local records centre. Amend boundary of Forest Park to align with changes to local wildlife site boundary.	NA Screened out
MM79	Chapter 9 New paragraph between 9.35 and 9.36		<u>The term ‘very special circumstances’ in relation to designated Local Green Spaces is required by the NPPF. Substantial weight will be given to any harm to Local Green Space. To show there are ‘very special circumstances’ the applicant will need to demonstrate that the</u>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 8)	Clarification in supporting text. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<u>potential harm to the Local Green Space in question, including the reasons for its designation and any other harm resulting from the proposal, is clearly outweighed by other considerations.</u>		
MM80	Chapter 9 Policy IN7 Local shops, community and cultural facilities	Local shops, community and cultural facilities <u>Protection of existing local facilities</u> 1. Development proposals which involve the loss of local facilities will only be supported where it is satisfactorily demonstrated that: a. appropriate replacement facilities will be provided in a suitable alternative location*; or b. the facility is no longer viable, and this can be justified through adequate marketing of the premises for its current or former use for at least six months **; or c. the facility will be reinstated and enhanced as part of any redevelopment of the building or site.	Local shops, community and cultural facilities <u>Protection of existing local facilities</u> 1. Development proposals which involve the loss of local facilities will only be supported where it is satisfactorily demonstrated that: a. appropriate replacement facilities will be provided in a suitable alternative location*; or b. the facility is no longer viable, and this can be justified through adequate marketing of the premises for its current or former use for at least six months **; or c. the facility will be reinstated and enhanced as part of any redevelopment of the building or site.	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 7)	Mostly formatting changes with no implications. Increase of threshold from 250 to 280sqm net will not affect SA findings. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p><u>New or extended local facilities</u></p> <p>2. Proposals will be supported for small scale local shops that meet the day to day convenience needs of the immediate area***, or for other community and cultural facilities, provided:</p> <p>a. they are within settlement boundaries****;</p> <p>b. the proposed facilities are of a type and scale appropriate to the character of the area and settlement size;</p> <p>c. the proposal would not result in significantly adverse impact on public amenity; and</p> <p>d. where appropriate, the new building is capable of accommodating multiple uses without the necessity of structural conversion.</p> <p>*400m radius around the proposal - based on an appropriate five minute</p>	<p><u>New or extended local facilities</u></p> <p>2. Proposals will be supported for small scale local shops that meet the day to day convenience needs of the immediate area***, or for other community and cultural facilities, provided:</p> <p>a. they are within settlement boundaries****;</p> <p>b. the proposed facilities are of a type and scale appropriate to the character of the area and settlement size;</p> <p>c. the proposal would not result in significantly adverse impact on public amenity; and</p> <p>d. where appropriate, the new building is capable of accommodating multiple uses without the necessity of structural conversion.</p> <p>*400m radius around the proposal - based on an appropriate five minute</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>walking time. Some community facilities will serve a wider area not usually accessed by walking. In these cases, evidence of the availability of alternative existing or proposed replacement facilities which are accessible by public transport and are within a reasonable travel time will be considered when making a decision.</p> <p>** Marketing should be through an appropriate agent as well as through the council's regeneration service's property search service - for at least six months. Evidence of the results of the sustained marketing strategy will need to be submitted with any planning application and may be scrutinised by an independent assessor if deemed necessary.</p> <p>*** Proposals should not exceed 250sqm (net) or will need to be in accordance with Policy RT1.</p> <p>**** Proposals for new community and leisure facilities may be acceptable in countryside locations if they meet part (g) of Policy S5.</p>	<p>walking time. Some community facilities will serve a wider area not usually accessed by walking. In these cases, evidence of the availability of alternative existing or proposed replacement facilities which are accessible by public transport and are within a reasonable travel time will be considered when making a decision.</p> <p>** Marketing should be through an appropriate agent as well as through the council's regeneration service's property search service - for at least six months. Evidence of the results of the sustained marketing strategy will need to be submitted with any planning application and may be scrutinised by an independent assessor if deemed necessary.</p> <p>*** Proposals should not exceed 250280sqm (net) or will need to be in accordance with Policy RT1.</p> <p>**** Proposals for new community and leisure facilities may be acceptable in countryside locations if they meet part (g) of Policy S5.</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM81 <i>Policies Map change PM22</i>	Chapter 9 Policy IN8 Protecting and improving the sustainable transport network	<p>Protecting and improving the sustainable transport network</p> <p>1. Development proposals which enhance the existing sustainable transport network will be supported where they:</p> <p>a. protect and improve access to and along multi-user trails network across the district especially the Maun Valley Trail, Mansfield Way, Timberland Trail, Meden Trail, Dukeries Trail, Clipstone to Warsop, the National Cycle Route 6 and the Mansfield Strategic Cycle Route;</p> <p>b. provide new sustainable transport measures such as pedestrian and cycle routes, public transport facilities, and provision for community transport and taxis;</p> <p>c. assist the potential re-opening of the Dukeries railway line including the former Market Warsop railway station;</p> <p>d. facilitate the shift towards the use</p>	<p>Protecting and improving the sustainable transport network</p> <p>1. Development proposals which enhance the existing sustainable transport network will be supported where they:</p> <p>a. protect and improve access to and along multi-user trails network across the district especially the Maun Valley Trail, Mansfield Way, Timberland Trail, Meden Trail, Dukeries Trail, Clipstone to Warsop, the National Cycle Route 6 and the Mansfield Strategic Cycle Route;</p> <p>b. provide new sustainable transport measures such as pedestrian and cycle routes, public transport facilities, and provision for community transport and taxis;</p> <p>c. assist the potential re-opening of the Dukeries railway line including the former Market Warsop railway station;</p> <p>d. facilitate the shift towards the use</p>	<p>Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 8)</p> <p>Policies Map to show full extent of Dukeries Line improvement area</p>	<p>Clarity on what the 'ring roads' are.</p> <p>No change to the principle of the policy.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>of ultra-low emissions vehicles; and</p> <p>e. facilitate the delivery of highway improvement schemes/sustainable transport solutions along the district's main arterial routes and public transport corridors, including:</p> <p>i. A60 corridor including Nottingham Road/ Woodhouse Road/ Leeming Lane/ Mansfield Road;</p> <p>ii. A38 Sutton Road;</p> <p>iii. A617 Chesterfield Road North / A6191 Chesterfield Road South;</p> <p>iv. A6191 Southwell Road West / Ratcliffe Gate;</p> <p>v. A6075 Debdale Lane / Abbott Road; or</p> <p>vi. within and around Mansfield town centre including its ring roads.</p> <p>2. Proposals for development which do not adequately safeguard the following routes (as shown on the</p>	<p>of ultra-low emissions vehicles; and</p> <p>e. facilitate the delivery of highway improvement schemes/sustainable transport solutions along the district's main arterial routes and public transport corridors, including:</p> <p>i. A60 corridor including Nottingham Road/ Woodhouse Road/ Leeming Lane/ Mansfield Road;</p> <p>ii. A38 Sutton Road;</p> <p>iii. A617 Chesterfield Road North / A6191 Chesterfield Road South;</p> <p>iv. A6191 Southwell Road West / Ratcliffe Gate;</p> <p>v. A6075 Debdale Lane / Abbott Road; or</p> <p>vi. within and around Mansfield town centre including its ring roads <u>(A60, A6009 Chesterfield South and Rosemary Street).</u></p> <p>2. Proposals for development which</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>Policies Map) identified within Local Transport Plan 3 schemes will not be approved:</p> <p>a. A6191 Ratcliffe Gate Improvement (bus priority);</p> <p>b. A60 Nottingham Road (bus priority);</p> <p>c. A60 Woodhouse Road Improvements (bus priority);</p> <p>d. A6075 Abbott Road (Carriageway widening and realignment); and</p> <p>e. Dukeries Line Improvement (Rail).</p>	<p>do not adequately safeguard the following routes (as shown on the Policies Map) identified within Local Transport Plan 3 schemes will not be approved:</p> <p>a. A6191 Ratcliffe Gate Improvement (bus priority);</p> <p>b. A60 Nottingham Road (bus priority);</p> <p>c. A60 Woodhouse Road Improvements (bus priority);</p> <p>d. A6075 Abbott Road (Carriageway widening and realignment); and</p> <p>e. Dukeries Line Improvement (Rail).</p>		
MM82	Chapter 9 Policy IN10 Car and cycle parking	<p>Car and cycle parking</p> <p>1. Development proposals will be supported where there is appropriate provision for vehicle and cycle parking, including meeting the needs of the disabled. Provision should be designed so that it is an integral part of the development, does not dominate the public realm and:</p>	<p>Car and cycle parking</p> <p>1. Development proposals will be supported where there is appropriate provision for vehicle and cycle parking, including meeting the needs of the disabled. Provision should be designed so that it is an integral part of the development, does not dominate the public realm and:</p>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 8)	<p>Wording changes do not change the broad implications of the policy or its intent.</p> <p>The change in relation to electric charging points is less specific about</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>a. meets the minimum standards and design requirements set out in further guidance to be set out by the council;</p> <p>b. includes appropriate electric car charging provision to meet current and future demand; and</p> <p>c. incorporates sustainable urban drainage paving systems where appropriate.</p>	<p>a. meets the minimum standards and design requirements set out in <u>The Nottinghamshire Highway Design Guide</u> further guidance to be set out by the council;</p> <p>b. includes appropriate electric car charging provision <u>appropriate to the scale and use of the proposed development</u> to meet current and future demand; and</p> <p>c. incorporates sustainable urban drainage paving systems where appropriate.</p>		<p>future needs, which could be less positive. However there remains flexibility for such factors to be considered.</p> <p>Screened out</p>
MM83	Chapter 10 Policy NE1 Protection and enhancement of landscape character	<p>Protection and enhancement of landscape character</p> <p>1. Development proposals will be supported where they are informed by and are sympathetic to the area's landscape character as defined in the Mansfield District Council Landscape Character Assessment 2010 and Addendum 2015, including relevant addendums and national character area profiles (Sherwood and Southern Magnesian</p>	<p>Protection and enhancement of landscape character</p> <p>1. Development proposals will be supported where they are informed by and are sympathetic to the area's landscape character as defined in the Mansfield District Council Landscape Character Assessment 2010 and Addendum 2015, including relevant addendums and national character area profiles (Sherwood and Southern Magnesian</p>	To insert wording omitted in error.	<p>Minor wording change to clarify.</p> <p>No implications for the SA.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>Limestone).</p> <p>2. Development in accordance with the above criteria will be supported where it can be satisfactorily demonstrated, where appropriate and feasible, that it:</p> <p>a. positively contributes towards meeting the defined landscape policy actions for the relevant landscape policy zone(s) (LPZ) and national character profile(s);</p> <p>b. is designed to conserve and enhance important landforms, historic assets and their settings and landscape features;</p> <p>c. identifies and mitigates any likely individual and cumulative impacts on the sensitivity and condition of the appropriate LPZ(s);</p> <p>d. identifies and mitigates visual impacts on character and amenity; and</p> <p>e. restores the landscape or removes</p>	<p>Limestone).</p> <p>2. Development <u>within a landscape policy zone</u>, in accordance with the above criteria will be supported where it can be satisfactorily demonstrated, where appropriate and feasible, that it:</p> <p>a. positively contributes towards meeting the defined landscape policy actions for the relevant landscape policy zone(s) (LPZ) and national character profile(s);</p> <p>b. is designed to conserve and enhance important landforms, historic assets and their settings and landscape features;</p> <p>c. identifies and mitigates any likely individual and cumulative impacts on the sensitivity and condition of the appropriate LPZ(s);</p> <p>d. identifies and mitigates visual impacts on character and amenity; and</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>any detracting features.</p> <p>3. Development proposed outside but adjoining a landscape policy zone will be required to satisfactorily demonstrate that it will:</p> <p>a. create no significant adverse visual impact on the character and appearance of the LPZ(s); and</p> <p>b. where feasible, contribute to the enhancement of landscape character.</p>	<p>e. restores the landscape or removes any detracting features.</p> <p>3. Development proposed outside but adjoining a landscape policy zone will be required to satisfactorily demonstrate that it will:</p> <p>a. create no significant adverse visual impact on the character and appearance of the LPZ(s); and</p> <p>b. where feasible, contribute to the enhancement of landscape character.</p>		
MM84	Chapter 10 Paragraph 40.6 10.7	The Mansfield District Council Landscape Character Addendum (2015) identifies a number of locally specific landscape policy zones (LPZs). Each zone has a strategic policy action based on an assessment of landscape sensitivity and landscape condition, identifying which areas are more locally sensitive than others to change. A map of LPZs is provided at Figure 10.1.	<u>In addressing criteria within NE1(2), the criteria listed in NE1(2) will be applied having regard to the scale and type of development proposed.</u> The Mansfield District Council Landscape Character Addendum (2015) identifies a number of locally specific landscape policy zones (LPZs) <u>to support policy implementation.</u> Each zone has a strategic policy action based on an assessment of landscape sensitivity and landscape condition, identifying which areas are more	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 3)	<p>Amendments to supporting text to reflect policy changes. No implications for SA findings.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			locally sensitive than others to change. <u>Additionally, LPZ descriptions, characteristic visual features, landscape analysis and detailed landscape actions help inform further site-based assessment work and planning decisions.</u> A map of LPZs is provided at Figure 10.1.		
MM85	Chapter 10 Paragraph 10.8 10.9	Development proposals should identify and address likely negative and beneficial impacts on landscape character, and visual impacts by means of detailed surveys, which may include a further, more fine grained landscape character assessment or landscape capacity studies.	Development proposals should identify and address likely negative and beneficial impacts on landscape character, and visual impacts by means of detailed surveys, which may include a further, more fine-grained landscape character assessment or landscape capacity studies. These should be informed by and address the actions in the evidence base. <u>There may also be circumstances in which there are more sensitive, localised areas within LPZs without the term 'conserve' included in the strategic policy action.</u>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 3)	Supporting text clarification. No implications for SA findings which address these issues as part of the policy appraisal. Screened out
MM86	Chapter 10 Policy NE2 Biodiversity	Biodiversity and geodiversity 1. Development proposals will be	Biodiversity and geodiversity 1. Development proposals will be	Discussion at hearing session (Main	Clarifies / strengthens the need to secure a

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
	and geodiversity	<p>supported where, commensurate with their scale, location and type, they:</p> <p>a. protect, enhance and contribute to the management of the ecological network of habitats and sites of European, national and local importance (statutory and non-statutory);</p> <p>b. avoid and/or minimise adverse individual and or cumulatively impacts, on biodiversity, geodiversity and ecosystem services;</p> <p>c. seek to deliver a net gain in biodiversity across local and landscape scales; and</p> <p>d. prioritise the de-fragmentation, restoration, retention and sensitive management of habitats and landscape features, to allow for the movement of wildlife.</p> <p><u>Designated European sites</u></p> <p>2. Development proposals will not be permitted where they would have an</p>	<p>supported where, commensurate with their scale, location and type, they:</p> <p>a. protect, enhance and contribute to the management of the ecological network of habitats and sites of European, national and local importance (statutory and non-statutory);</p> <p>b. avoid and/or minimise adverse individual and or cumulatively impacts, on biodiversity, geodiversity and ecosystem services;</p> <p>c. seek to deliver a net gain in biodiversity across local and landscape scales; and</p> <p>d. prioritise the de-fragmentation, restoration, retention and sensitive management of habitats and landscape features, to allow for the movement of wildlife.</p> <p><u>Designated European sites</u></p> <p>2. Development proposals will not be permitted where they would have an</p>	Matter 3)	<p>full range of suitable compensatory measures.</p> <p>Contributes to positive effects on biodiversity which could in combination with other policies be significant and ought to be explored.</p> <p>Screened in</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>adverse impact on the integrity of a site of European significance unless it has been demonstrated that there:</p> <p>a. are no alternatives; or</p> <p>b. are imperative reasons of overriding public interest; and</p> <p>c. that compensatory measures will be provided to ensure the overall coherence of the network of sites is protected.</p> <p><u>Designated national sites</u></p> <p>3. Development proposals will not be permitted where they would have an adverse impact on a designated national site. Exceptions will only be made where the benefits of the development clearly outweigh both the adverse impacts on the designated national site and the national network of such sites.</p> <p><u>Designated local sites</u></p> <p>4. Development proposals will not be permitted where they will have a</p>	<p>adverse impact on the integrity of a site of European significance unless it has been demonstrated that there:</p> <p>a. are no alternatives; or</p> <p>b. are imperative reasons of overriding public interest; and</p> <p>c. <u>All necessary</u> compensatory measures will be provided <u>required</u> to ensure the overall coherence of the network of European sites, as a whole, is protected.</p> <p><u>Designated national sites</u></p> <p>3. Development proposals will not be permitted where they would have an adverse impact on a designated national site. Exceptions will only be made where the benefits of the development clearly outweigh both the adverse impacts on the designated national site and the national network of such sites.</p> <p><u>Designated local sites</u></p> <p>4. Development proposals will not be</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>significant adverse impact on a designated local wildlife site, local nature reserve, or local geological site. Exceptions will only be made where the reasons for, and benefits of, the proposed development clearly outweigh the adverse impact on the loss or deterioration of the designated site.</p> <p><u>Sherwood Forest possible potential Special Protection Area (ppSPA)</u></p> <p>5. Where development is proposed within 400 metres of the non-designated Sherwood Forest ppSPA, a risk based approach, as set out in Natural England's Advice Note to Local Planning Authorities, will be adopted to all planning applications in relation to the possible potential special protection area for the Sherwood Forest region.</p> <p><u>Irreplaceable habitats</u></p> <p>6. Planning permission will be refused for development resulting in the loss, deterioration and/or fragmentation of irreplaceable</p>	<p>permitted where they will have a significant adverse impact on a designated local wildlife site, local nature reserve, or local geological site. Exceptions will only be made where the reasons for, and benefits of, the proposed development clearly outweigh the adverse impact on the loss or deterioration of the designated site.</p> <p><u>Sherwood Forest possible potential Special Protection Area (ppSPA)</u></p> <p>5. Where development is proposed within 400 metres of the non-designated Sherwood Forest ppSPA, a risk based approach, as set out in Natural England's Advice Note to Local Planning Authorities, will be adopted to all planning applications in relation to the possible potential special protection area for the Sherwood Forest region.</p> <p><u>Irreplaceable habitats</u></p> <p>6. Planning permission will be refused for development resulting in the loss, deterioration and/or</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>habitats, including ancient woodland and veteran trees, unless the need for, and benefits of, the development clearly outweigh the loss or harm.</p> <p><u>Avoidance, mitigation and compensation of adverse impacts on nature conservation</u></p> <p>7. In exceptional circumstances where adverse impacts on designated sites and irreplaceable habitats are demonstrated to be unavoidable and the benefits outweigh the harm, as set out in 2 to 6 above, development proposals will only be permitted where:</p> <p>a. impacts are appropriately mitigated, with compensation measures towards loss used as a last resort where mitigation is not possible; and</p> <p>b. appropriate provision for management is made.</p> <p><u>Species, habitats, landscape features</u></p>	<p>fragmentation of irreplaceable habitats, including ancient woodland and veteran trees, unless the need for, and benefits of, the development clearly outweigh the loss or harm <u>there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation plan exists.</u></p> <p><u>Avoidance, mitigation and compensation of adverse impacts on nature conservation</u></p> <p>7. In exceptional circumstances where adverse impacts on designated sites and irreplaceable habitats are demonstrated to be unavoidable and the benefits outweigh the harm, as set out in 2 to 6 above, development proposals will only be permitted where:</p> <p>a. impacts are appropriately mitigated, with compensation measures towards loss used as a last resort where mitigation is not possible; and</p> <p>b. appropriate provision for management is made.</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>8. On sites supporting protected species, important landscape features, and priority habitats and species (as defined by legislation), development proposals will only be supported where:</p> <p>a. it can be demonstrated that the benefits of the development clearly outweighs the impact on species, habitats, important landscape features; and</p> <p>b. that appropriate avoidance, mitigation, enhancement and management measures can be satisfactorily secured.</p>	<p><u>Species, habitats, landscape features</u></p> <p>8. On sites supporting protected species, important landscape features, and priority habitats and species (as defined by legislation), development proposals will only be supported where:</p> <p>a. it can be demonstrated that the benefits of the development clearly outweighs the impact on species, habitats, important landscape features; and</p> <p>b. that appropriate avoidance, mitigation, enhancement and management measures can be satisfactorily secured.</p>		
MM87	Chapter 10 Paragraph 10.11	An appropriate level of ecological assessment will be required to demonstrate how development proposals meet the requirements of this policy. Considering how new development can strengthen ecological networks is key to providing net gains in biodiversity	An appropriate level of ecological assessment will be required to demonstrate how development proposals meet the requirements of this policy. Considering how new development can strengthen ecological networks is key to providing net gains in biodiversity		Signpost to new guidance to help developers demonstrate net gain. Not significant in terms of the SA, but a positive addition.

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		whilst improving the natural environment's resilience to change.	whilst improving the natural environment's resilience to change. <u>The Defra Biodiversity Metric and key principles, as set out in the 'Biodiversity Net Gain: good practice principles for development 2016' (see table 10.3), are key tools for assessing and demonstrating how net gains in biodiversity will be delivered and monitored through new development.</u>		Screened out
MM88	Chapter 10 Paragraph 10.39	It is important that both the occupiers or users of new development, and those elsewhere who may be affected by it indirectly, will not be subjected to below acceptable standards of air quality. Therefore, in controlling the potential impact of development upon air quality, the council will require an effective air pollution mitigation strategy for significant developments.	It is important that both the occupiers or users of new development, and those elsewhere who may be affected by it indirectly, will not be subjected to below acceptable standards of air quality. Therefore, in controlling the potential impact of development upon air quality, the council will require an effective air pollution mitigation strategy for significant developments. <u>An Air Quality and Emissions Mitigation Guidance for Developers Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will provide further guidance for policy implementation.</u>	To respond to comment and the Nottinghamshire Rapid Health Impact Assessment of Mansfield District Local Plan Publication Draft 2013-2033 / further discussions with Nottinghamshire County	A positive addition. However, the effects would be attributed to the SPD rather than this supporting text. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
				Council.	
MM89	Chapter 10 Paragraph 10.41	Much of the district is located on a principle aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution. All previous and future uses of a development site must be investigated to determine whether there is potential to cause contamination to groundwater. Locations over principle aquifers also exhibit high permeability and usually provide high water storage. These are of strategic significance as they support water supply and base river flow. These are shown in the MDC Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2008). Risk of contamination of principle aquifers and other open water bodies, during construction and post construction, should be assessed and satisfactorily demonstrated that a viable remediation can be implemented.	Much of the district is located on a principle aquifer where groundwater is sensitive to pollution. All previous and future uses of a development site must be investigated to determine whether there is potential to cause contamination to groundwater. Locations over principle aquifers also exhibit high permeability and usually provide high water storage. These are of strategic significance as they support water supply and base river flow. These are shown in the MDC Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2008). Risk of contamination of principle aquifers and other open water bodies, during construction and post construction, should be assessed and satisfactorily demonstrated that a viable remediation can be implemented. <u>Development proposals should take into account the Environment Agency's Source Protection Zone (SPZ) and Safe Guarding Zone policy, the principles of the Water Framework Directive and River</u>	To respond to comment.	Useful addition to supporting text that refers to important guidance. However, not likely to have significant effects in terms of the SA findings as the policy remains the same. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<u>Basin Management Plan for the Severn River Basin.</u>		
MM90	Chapter 11 Policy HE1	<p>1. As part of ensuring the conservation and enhancement of Mansfield district's historic environment, where a development proposal would affect the significance of a heritage asset (whether designated or non-designated), including any contribution made to its setting, it should be informed by proportionate historic environment assessments and evaluations (such as heritage impact assessments, desk-based appraisals, field evaluation and historic building reports) that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. identify all heritage assets likely to be affected by the proposal; b. explain the nature and degree of any effect on elements that contribute to their significance and 	<p>1. As part of ensuring the conservation and enhancement of Mansfield district's historic environment, where a development proposal would affect the significance of a heritage asset (whether designated or non-designated), including any contribution made to its setting, it should be informed by proportionate historic environment assessments and evaluations (such as heritage impact assessments, desk-based appraisals, field evaluation and historic building reports) that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. identify all heritage assets likely to be affected by the proposal; b. explain the nature and degree of any effect on elements that contribute to their significance and demonstrating how, in order of preference, any harm will be avoided, minimised or mitigated; c. provide a clear explanation and justification for the proposal in order for the harm to be weighed against public benefits; and 	In response to EXAM16	<p>Changes do not notably alter the notion of the policy and so effects are unlikely to be significant.</p> <p>Screened out</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>demonstrating how, in order of preference, any harm will be avoided, minimised or mitigated;</p> <p>c. provide a clear explanation and justification for the proposal in order for the harm to be weighed against public benefits; and</p> <p>d. demonstrate that all reasonable efforts have been made to sustain the existing use, find new uses or mitigate the extent of the harm to the significance of the asset; and whether the works proposed are the minimum required to secure the long term use of the asset.</p> <p>2. Development proposals affecting conservation areas will be permitted where they make a positive contribution to</p>	<p>d. demonstrate that all reasonable efforts have been made to sustain the existing use, find new uses or mitigate the extent of the harm to the significance of the asset; and whether the works proposed are the minimum required to secure the long term use of the asset.</p> <p>2. Development proposals affecting conservation areas will be permitted where they make a positive contribution to the character, distinctiveness and appearance of the conservation area and its setting, and preserve or enhance its significance, including settlement patterns, important buildings, important spaces, landscapes, walls, trees and significant views within, into and out of the conservation area.</p> <p>3. Development proposals affecting listed buildings, scheduled monuments or registered parks and gardens will be permitted where they conserve the heritage asset(s) and their settings.</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>the character, distinctiveness and appearance of the conservation area and its setting, and preserve or enhance its significance, including settlement patterns, important buildings, important spaces, landscapes, walls, trees and significant views within, into and out of the conservation area.</p> <p>3. Development proposals affecting listed buildings, scheduled monuments or registered parks and gardens will be permitted where they conserve the heritage asset(s) and their settings.</p> <p>4. Development affecting non-designated heritage assets (including those identified through the planning process and archaeological sites) will be considered according to the significance of the asset and the contribution that setting makes to the</p>	<p>4. Development affecting non-designated heritage assets (including those identified through the planning process and archaeological sites) will be considered according to the significance of the asset and the contribution that setting makes to the significance. development involving loss will be resisted unless public benefits have been satisfactorily demonstrated that would outweigh the loss. <u>A balanced judgement will be required having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset.</u> Where development proposals are likely to affect non-designated archaeological sites, including sites with archaeological potential, the developer should submit sufficient information to allow the significance of the potential archaeological remains and the impacts of the proposals to be understood. Appropriate measures should be taken to ensure the protection of archaeological sites in-situ.</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		significance; development involving loss will be resisted unless public benefits have been satisfactorily demonstrated that would outweigh the loss. Where development proposals are likely to affect non-designated archaeological sites, including sites with archaeological potential, the developer should submit sufficient information to allow the significance of the potential archaeological remains and the impacts of the proposals to be understood. Appropriate measures should be taken to ensure the protection of archaeological sites in-situ.			
MM91 <i>Policies Map change PM23</i> <i>Figure 12.1 is</i>	Chapter 12 Policy CC1 Renewable and low carbon energy generation	Renewable and low carbon energy generation 1. Development proposals for renewable and low carbon energy development will be supported provided there is no significant,	Renewable and low carbon energy generation 1. Development proposals for renewable and low carbon energy development will be supported provided there is are no significant,	Amend wording to take account of significant impacts alone and in combination -	Limits the potential for wind energy schemes. Screened in

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
<i>to be removed.</i>		<p>cumulative adverse impact in terms of:</p> <p>a. landscape character and visual effects when considered in conjunction with nearby developments and permitted proposals within the district or adjoining local authority areas;</p> <p>b. ecology, biodiversity (including bird flight paths), and geodiversity;</p> <p>c. pollution and emissions;</p> <p>d. amenity of nearby residential and non-residential uses;</p> <p>e. the built and natural environment resulting from the construction, operation and decommissioning of any equipment/infrastructure;</p> <p>f. loss of best and most versatile agricultural land;</p> <p>g. flooding;</p> <p>h. operation of telecommunication</p>	<p>cumulative adverse impacts <u>s alone and in combination.</u> in terms of:</p> <p>a. landscape character and visual effects when considered in conjunction with nearby developments and permitted proposals within the district or adjoining local authority areas;</p> <p>b. ecology, biodiversity (including bird flight paths), and geodiversity;</p> <p>c. pollution and emissions;</p> <p>d. amenity of nearby residential and non-residential uses;</p> <p>e. the built and natural environment resulting from the construction, operation and decommissioning of any equipment/infrastructure;</p> <p>f. loss of best and most versatile agricultural land;</p> <p>g. flooding;</p> <p>h. operation of telecommunication</p>	<p>Corrected due to omission of wording.</p> <p>Also to remove policy text in relation to wind turbines to address soundness issue.</p> <p>Also requires Inset Map 6 to be removed from the Policies Map, and Figure 12.1 to be removed from the plan (page 197).</p>	

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>systems;</p> <p>i. aircraft safety;</p> <p>j. highway safety and traffic; and</p> <p>k. heritage assets and their setting.</p> <p>2. Small scale, single wind turbines (up to 43 metres in hub height) will be permitted subject to meeting criteria 1 (a) to (k) above.</p> <p>3. Larger scale wind turbine developments will only be permitted in areas identified as suitable on the Policies Map subject to meeting criteria 1 (a) to (k) above.</p> <p>4. Conditions will be applied to ensure scheme decommissioning and reinstatement of the previous use of land (if appropriate) when no longer in operation.</p>	<p>systems;</p> <p>i. aircraft safety;</p> <p>j. highway safety and traffic; and</p> <p>k. heritage assets and their setting.</p> <p>2. Small scale, single wind turbines (up to 43 metres in hub height) will be permitted subject to meeting criteria 1 (a) to (k) above.</p> <p>3. Larger scale wind turbine developments will only be permitted in areas identified as suitable on the Policies Map subject to meeting criteria 1 (a) to (k) above.</p> <p>4. <u>2.</u> Conditions will be applied to ensure scheme decommissioning and reinstatement reinstatement of the previous use of land (if appropriate) when no longer in operation.</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM92	Chapter 12 Paragraphs 12.6	12.6 The impact of wind generation projects on communities has been recognised by the Government. A Ministerial statement made on the 18th June 2015, makes it clear that suitable areas for wind energy development must be identified in local plans and such developments must have the support of local communities https://www.parliament.uk/document/s/commons-vote-office/June%202015/18%20June/1-DCLG-Planning.pdf	12.6 The impact of wind generation projects on communities has been recognised by the Government. <u>Any proposals for wind turbines will be considered against the Planning Practice Guidance and the Written Ministerial Statement</u> made on the 18th June 2015, makes it clear that suitable areas for wind energy development must be identified in local plans and such developments must have the support of local communities. <u>This can be viewed at:</u> https://www.parliament.uk/document/s/commons-vote-office/June%202015/18%20June/1-DCLG-Planning.pdf	To update the supporting text to reflect part deletion of Policy CC1.	Wording change does not affect the policy appraisal outcome. Screened out
MM93	Chapter 12 Paragraphs 12.7	12.7 Our evidence base tells us that the key local energy opportunities for the district relate to the potential for micro-generation, including heat pumps and solar panels. Although the district has good average wind speeds, the potential for commercial scale wind energy development is limited.	12.7 Our evidence base tells us that the key local energy opportunities for the district relate to the potential for micro-generation, including heat pumps and solar panels. Although the district has good average wind speeds, the potential for commercial scale wind energy development is limited.	To update the supporting text to reflect part deletion of Policy CC1.	No change Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM94	Chapter 12 Paragraphs 12.8	12.8 While single small scale wind turbines (up to 43 metres in hub height (5)) will be supported anywhere in the district subject to meeting the requirements of Policy CC1, proposals for multiple, or taller wind turbines will only be supported within the areas identified as being suitable for wind turbines on the Policies Map (see also Figure 12.1).	12.8 While single small scale wind turbines (up to 43 metres in hub height (5)) will be supported anywhere in the district subject to meeting the requirements of Policy CC1, proposals for multiple, or taller wind turbines will only be supported within the areas identified as being suitable for wind turbines on the Policies Map (see also Figure 12.1).	To update the supporting text to reflect part deletion of Policy CC1.	Reflects changes to policy (which are discussed above for MM91) Screened out
MM95	Appendix 2 Glossary of terms	Affordable housing Housing, whether for rent or shared ownership, provided at a cost considered affordable in relation to incomes that are average or below average, or in relation to the price of general market housing. The NPPF defines affordable housing as social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing, provided for eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices. Affordable housing includes: Social rented housing: is owned by local authorities and private	Affordable housing Housing, whether for <u>sale</u> , rent or shared ownership, <u>for those whose needs are not met by the market (including housing that provides a subsidised route to home ownership and / or is for essential local workers)</u> . provided at a cost considered affordable in relation to incomes that are average or below average, or in relation to the price of general market housing. The NPPF defines affordable housing as social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing, provided for eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. Eligibility is determined with regard to local	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 2)	Glossary not taken into account as part of the appraisal process. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>registered providers (as defined in Section 80 of the Housing and Regeneration Act 2008), for which the guideline target rents are determined through the national rent regime.</p> <p>Affordable rented housing: is let by local authorities or private registered landlords of social housing to households that are eligible for social rented housing. Affordable rent is subject to rent controls that requires rent of no more than 80% of the local market rent.</p> <p>Intermediate affordable housing: is housing for sale and rent provided at a cost above social rent, but below market levels, subject to the criteria in the affordable housing definition above. These can include shared equity, other low cost housing for sale and intermediate rent.</p> <p>Starter homes: is housing as defined in Sections 2 and 3 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 and any subsequent</p>	<p>incomes and local house prices. Affordable housing includes <u>The NPPF defines affordable housing as follows:</u></p> <p><u>Affordable housing for rent: Meets all of the following conditions:</u></p> <p><u>a. the rent is set in accordance with the Government's rent policy for Social Rent or Affordable Rent, or is at least 20% below local market rents (including service charges where applicable);</u></p> <p><u>b. the landlord is a registered provider, except where it is included as part of a Build to Rent scheme (in which case the landlord need not be a registered provider); and</u></p> <p><u>c. it includes provisions to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households, or for the subsidy to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision. For Build to Rent</u></p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>secondary legislation made under these sections.</p> <hr/> <p>Town centre: Area defined on the local authority's policies map, including the primary shopping area and areas predominantly occupied by main town centre uses within or adjacent to the primary shopping area. References to centres apply to town centres, district centres and local centres, but exclude small parades of shops of purely neighbourhood significance. Unless they are identified as centres in the development plan, existing out-of-centre developments, comprising or including main town centre uses, do not constitute town centres.</p>	<p><u>schemes, affordable housing for rent (i.e. Affordable Private Rent) is expected to be the normal form of affordable housing provision.</u></p> <p>Social rented housing: is owned by local authorities and private registered providers (as defined in Section 80 of the Housing and Regeneration Act 2008), for which the guideline target rents are determined through the national rent regime.</p> <p>Affordable rented housing: is let by local authorities or private registered landlords of social housing to households that are eligible for social rented housing. Affordable rent is subject to rent controls that requires rent of no more than 80% of the local market rent.</p> <p>Intermediate affordable housing: is housing for sale and rent provided at a cost above social rent, but below market levels, subject to the criteria in the affordable housing definition above. These can include shared</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<p>equity, other low cost housing for sale and intermediate rent.</p> <p>Starter homes: is housing as defined in Sections 2 and 3 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 and any subsequent secondary legislation made under these sections.</p> <p><u>Discounted market sales housing: is that sold at a discount of at least 20% below local market value. Eligibility is determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices. Provisions should be in place to ensure housing remains at a discount for future eligible households.</u></p> <p><u>Other affordable routes to home ownership: is housing provided for sale that provides a route to ownership for those who could not achieve home ownership through the market. It includes shared ownership, relevant equity loans, other low cost homes for sale (at a price equivalent to at</u></p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<p><u>least 20% below local market value) and rent to buy (which includes a period of intermediate rent).</u></p> <hr/> <p>Town centre: Area defined on the local authority's policies map, including the primary shopping area and areas predominantly occupied by main town centre uses within or adjacent to the primary shopping area. References to <u>town</u> centres <u>or centres</u> apply to <u>city centres</u>, town centres, district centres and local centres, but exclude small parades of shops of purely neighbourhood significance. Unless they are identified as centres in the development plan, existing out-of-centre developments, comprising or including main town centre uses, do not constitute town centres.</p> <hr/> <p><u>Derelict Land - There is no statutory definition of derelict land, but it is defined administratively as 'land so</u></p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<p><u>damaged by industrial or other development that it is incapable of beneficial use without treatment'.</u></p> <hr/> <p><u>“Highway Authority - An organisation responsible for the maintenance and safety of public roads as defined in the Highways Act 1980. Highways England is responsible for main trunk roads (e.g. motorways). In Mansfield district, Nottinghamshire County Council is the local highways authority responsible for all other roads and public rights of way. Duties include, for example: maintaining the safety and usability of highways, highway drainage, maintaining records and providing comments on planning applications.”</u></p> <p><u>“Nottinghamshire County Council Highway Design Guide - Sets out the general principles and minimum standards for the layout and dimensions of roads and paved areas in residential and</u></p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<p><u>industrial developments.</u> http://www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/transport/roads/highway-design-guide”</p> <p><u>Major development - includes applications for minerals and waste. It also includes housing development where 10 or more homes will be provided, or the site has an area of 0.5 hectares or more and number of dwellings is unknown. It includes non-residential development with floorspace of 1,000m² or more, or a site of 1 hectare or more, or as otherwise defined in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015.</u></p> <hr/> <p><u>Minor development – includes development that falls below the thresholds for major development, or as otherwise defined in the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015.</u></p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			<p><u>This may include, for example, householder applications, change of use.</u></p> <hr/> <p><u>Surplus Land - land or buildings which are not required for their current or previous use.</u></p> <hr/> <p><u>Transport Statement - A Transport statement (TS) should set out the transport issues relating to a proposed development site (existing conditions) and details of the development proposals (proposed development. A TS will be normally be required for the following types and scale of development</u> http://www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/media/131232/4_part_2_0.pdf <u>“Transport Assessment - A transport assessment (TA) will normally be required for larger developments likely to have impacts over a wide area</u> http://www.nottinghamshire.gov.uk/media/131232/4_part_2_0.pdf</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
MM96	Appendix 3 Table A3.1 Objective and policy links		See Appendix 2. <i>(Insert updated table)</i>	Update following changes to local plan policy references	Not relevant to the appraisal process Screened out
MM97	Appendix 5 Table A5.1 Housing trajectory		See Appendix 3. <i>(Insert updated housing trajectory)</i>	To update to the latest version of the trajectory	Considered through policy modifications Screened out
MM98	Appendix 8 Masterplanning requirements	Development of housing and employment sites identified in the Local Plan will be planned through a comprehensive masterplanning process proportionate to the scale of development. Preparation of masterplans will involve the active participation and input of all relevant stakeholders, including the council, landowners, developers, the local community, service providers and other interested parties. Masterplans will be developed in consultation with the council prior to the submission of a planning application. Where appropriate they may be adopted as Supplementary Planning Documents.	Development of housing and employment sites identified in the Local Plan will be planned through a comprehensive masterplanning process proportionate to the scale of development. Preparation of masterplans will involve the active participation and input of all relevant stakeholders, including the council, landowners, developers, the local community, service providers and other interested parties <u>need to be informed by detailed discussions with the Highways Authority and relevant evidence taking into account any historic and natural environment constraints and taking the opportunity to enhance</u>	In response to comments from statutory consultees / further correspondence.	Clarification of what is expected of the Masterplans. No specific details that would affect appraisal findings. Screened out

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		Masterplans will be expected (proportionate to the scale of development) to:	<p><u>where possible these environments. Preparation will involve the active participation and input from all relevant stakeholders including the council, landowners, developers, the local community, service providers, relevant statutory consultees and other interested parties.</u> Masterplans will be developed in consultation with the council prior to the submission of a planning application. Where appropriate they may be adopted as Supplementary Planning Documents.</p> <p><u>The masterplans are for indicative purposes only and are expected to be subject to alteration following a full detailed assessment of site opportunities and constraints (including archaeological evaluation).</u></p> <p>Masterplans will be expected (proportionate to the scale of development) to:</p> <hr/>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
			See Appendix 4. (Add supporting text after <i>Figure A8.1 Pleasley Hill Farm master plan</i>)		
MM99	Appendix 11 The Mansfield Green Space Standard	<p>The following relates to Parts A and B above</p> <p>a. It is recommended that 100% of residents within a proposed development should, where practicable, have access to provision within the defined walking distances in the standard. The size of existing nearby open space also needs to be taken into account and should be adequate to meet the needs of new development. In these cases, a common sense approach and consultation with the council will be needed to inform requirements.</p> <p>b. Access should be measured based on realistic walking routes (on the ground) between the proposed development and existing open space entrance points (or, where feasible to new entrances). The walking journey also needs to take</p>	<p>The following relates to Parts A and B above</p> <p>a. It is recommended that 100% of residents within a proposed development should, where practicable, have access to provision within the defined walking distances in the standard. The size of existing nearby open space also needs to be taken into account and should be adequate to meet the needs of new development. In these cases, a common sense approach and consultation with the council will be needed to inform requirements.</p> <p>b. Access should be measured based on realistic walking routes (on the ground) between the proposed development and existing open space entrance points (or, where feasible to new entrances). The walking journey also needs to take</p>	In response to comment.	<p>Increased emphasis on the need to consider people with disabilities.</p> <p>In combination with other policies could have benefits.</p> <p>Screened in</p>

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>into consideration geographical access barriers (e.g. busy roads, railway lines, rivers) and awkward road layouts that are likely to lengthen or restrict journeys. This should consider how these can be most appropriately addressed (e.g. new safe crossing points, new entrances/pathways to nearby open space, permeability of layout, etc).</p> <p>c. Where feasible, new play or other form of formal provision should cater for a range of ages and abilities, as it relates to the community it is likely to serve.</p> <p>d. Natural green space is open space where around one third (1/3) or more of its area consists of natural areas/features (e.g. trees, woodland, orchards, wildflower meadows, sensory gardens, nature trails or areas of natural play). Formal landscaping can be included where it contributes to the park's overall setting and feeling of tranquility, softening impacts from any nearby urban influences. Natural</p>	<p>into consideration geographical access barriers (e.g. busy roads, railway lines, rivers) and awkward road layouts that are likely to lengthen or restrict journeys. This should consider how these can be most appropriately addressed (e.g. new safe crossing points, new entrances/pathways to nearby open space, permeability of layout, <u>access for people with disabilities</u>, etc.).</p> <p>c. Where feasible, new play or other form of formal provision should cater for a range of ages, <u>disabilities</u> and abilities, as it relates to the community it is likely to serve.</p> <p>d. Natural green space is open space where around one third (1/3) or more of its area consists of natural areas/features (e.g. trees, woodland, orchards, wildflower meadows, sensory gardens, nature trails or areas of natural play). Formal landscaping can be included where it contributes to the park's overall setting and feeling of</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		<p>green space are places where <i>human control and activities are not intensive so that a feeling of naturalness is allowed to predominate.</i></p> <p>e. New provision for district parks and teenagers facilities will most likely depend on available land and viability factors. Addressing deficiencies in Part A should be prioritised before Part B, although new play facilities should cater for a range of ages and abilities where feasible.</p> <p>f. District level parks are typically medium to larger parks that provide a range of recreational facilities, including play, sports facilities, or other features such as formalised gardens, sensory gardens, etc.). They may also support community facilities such as cafe, bandstand, outdoor theatre, or organised social events.</p> <p>g. Teenager provision includes open space with facilities such as, NEAPs,</p>	<p>tranquility tranquillity, softening impacts from any nearby urban influences. Natural green space are places where <i>human control and activities are not intensive so that a feeling of naturalness is allowed to predominate.</i></p> <p>e. New provision for district parks and teenagers facilities will most likely depend on available land and viability factors. Addressing deficiencies in Part A should be prioritised before Part B, although new play facilities should cater for a range of ages, disabilities and abilities where feasible.</p> <p>f. District level parks are typically medium to larger parks that provide a range of recreational facilities, including play, sports facilities, or other features such as formalised gardens, sensory gardens, etc.). They may also support community facilities such as cafe, bandstand, outdoor theatre, or organised social events.</p>		

Main Modification Reference	Chapter/ Policy of Local Plan	Original Text (where applicable)	Main Modification	Reason for Modification	SA Screening
		skate/BMX parks, trim trails, multi-use games areas, outdoor gyms, etc.	g. Teenager provision includes open space with facilities such as, NEAPs, skate/BMX parks, trim trails, multi-use games areas, outdoor gyms, etc.		
MM100	Appendix 13 Monitoring framework		See Appendix 5. <i>(Insert updated monitoring framework)</i>	Discussion at hearing session (Main Matter 9)	No implications for SA findings, but could help to update the monitoring framework at Adoption stage. Screened out

Appendix 1 – Table 7.11 Sources of retail and leisure supply (as at 1 April 2018) (Modification MM57)

	Comparison goods floorspace (sqm)	Convenience goods floorspace (sqm)	Leisure goods floorspace (sqm)	Other uses
Requirement (2017 – 2033)	13,200	0 (-2,100)	3,500	-
<i>Medium term requirement (2017 – 2026)</i>	<i>1,100</i>	<i>0 (-3,000)</i>	<i>900</i>	-
- Commitments (sites with planning permission minus those taken account of within the requirement calculation see Retail Update 2019)	200 (2018/0732/COU) 84 (2010/0805/ST) 91 (2018/0319/FUL) 51.5 (2018/0523/FUL)	-	155 (2019/0037/NMA) – A5 624 (2018/0488/COU) – SG* 135 (2018/0513/COU) – A4 490 (2018/0630/COU) – SG*	N/A
- Completions / commencements	127 (2017/0754/FUL) 335 (2018/0281/FUL) 18.5 (2015/0578/ST)	250 (2017/0407/FUL) 40 (2017/0814/FUL) 52 (2018/0452/FUL)	63 (2016/0513/ST) – A3 131 (2017/0644/FUL) – A3 167 (2018/0305/FUL) – A3	N/A
- On allocated sites (those listed in RT6 and SUE) (Figures are approximate)	1,500 – RT6a <u>tbc – RT6b</u> tbc – SUE1	<u>tbc – RT6b</u> 250 – RT6b <u>c</u> tbc – SUE1 500 – SUE2	550 – RT6a <u>tbc – RT6b</u> 500 – RT6b <u>c</u> tbc – SUE1 250 – SUE2	Hotel and D2 use – RT6a <u>tbc – RT6b</u> Hotel – RT6b <u>c</u> Hotel, gym and nursery – SUE1
- Reoccupation of vacant town centre units	2,000	0	1,000	-
+ Total lapsed / superseded (if counted in calculation of need)	101 (2015/0733/ST) 182 (2016/0719/FUL)	-	-	-
Balance (against long term needs)	9,076	0 (-3,192)	0 (-565)	-
*SUI GENERIS – But proposal is akin to an A3 / A4 use				

Appendix 2 – Table A3.1 Objective and policy links (Modification MM96)

Objective	Policies which address this objective	Related strategic priorities
Objective 1		
Support economic growth and prosperity - by promoting the regeneration of previously developed land and existing buildings, as well as identifying other sustainable areas, for job growth, services and new homes. In doing so, direct most development to the Mansfield urban area, including Mansfield Woodhouse, Forest Town and Rainworth, followed by Market Warsop, whilst seeking to mitigate against any significant adverse social, environmental and infrastructure impacts of development.	S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, P4, H1, H2, H7, E1, E2, E3, E4, RT1, RT2, RT3, RT6, RT7, RT11, SUE1, SUE2, SUE3, HE2, IM1.	SP1, SP3, SP7, SP11
Objective 2		
Contribute to creating a stronger, more resilient local economy - by bringing forward a diverse range of employment sites to reflect the changing economy and ensuring that residential areas are accessible to employment, education and training opportunities.	S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, P4, H6, E1, E2, E3, E4, E5, SUE1, SUE2, SUE3, HE2, IM1.	SP3
Objective 3		
Increase the range and choice of housing throughout the urban areas and villages - to better meet the needs of the whole community, through the provision of more diverse market, affordable, and specialist housing so creating inclusive, mixed neighbourhoods.	S1, S2, S3, H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6, H7, H8, SUE1, SUE2, SUE3, IM1.	SP1, SP2
Objective 4		
Conserve and enhance the identity, character and diversity of the district's historic and cultural heritage assets and their settings.	S1, S2, S3, P1, P2, P8, RT4, HE1, HE2, IM1.	SP9, SP15
Objective 5		
Ensure that all new development achieves a high standard of design and amenity - which reflects local context, circumstances and opportunities to create healthy, safe and attractive neighbourhoods.	S1, S2, P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, P6, P7, P8, H3, H5, H7, RT4, RT10, IM1.	SP15
Objective 6		
Safeguard and enhance the vitality and viability of the district's town, district and local centres – particularly through regeneration opportunities, in ways that help meet the consumers' needs. Attracting new and varied uses to bring increased activity, footfall and vibrancy into these locations, with a focus on cultural, residential and leisure activities to complement the retail and service role of these centres.	S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, P1, P2, RT1, RT2, RT3, RT4, RT5, RT6, RT7, RT8, RT9, RT10, RT11, IM1.	SP4
Objective 7		
Improve the health and wellbeing of the district's population and reduce health inequalities - by ensuring residents and visitors have better opportunities to take exercise through convenient access to a range of good quality green space, green corridors, trails, leisure and community facilities and the countryside through appropriately designed places and well planned green infrastructure.	S1, S2, S3, P1, P2, P3, P5, P7, H3, RT9, RT10, IN2, IN3, IN4, IN5, IN6, IN7, IM1.	SP8, SP12, SP13, SP15
Objective 8		
Ensure new development minimises, and is resilient to, the adverse impacts of climate change - by adopting measures to appropriately address renewable and low carbon energy generation, flood mitigation, green infrastructure, resource and waste management.	S1, S2, P1, P2, P5, IN1, NE2, CC1, CC2, CC3, CC4, IM1.	SP7
Objective 9		
Reduce the need to travel and support improvements to transport accessibility - so that people can move around, across and beyond the district easily and sustainably, including by public transport, walking and cycling. Locating new development taking account of those areas of the highway network that are identified as being very congested with little capacity for expansion and managing impacts on air quality. Providing parking for vehicles to meet appropriate local needs and avoiding impacts on local highway safety.	S1, S2, S3, P1, P2, P3, P4, P5, RT5, IN1, IN2, IN8, IN9, IN10, IM1.	SP5

Objective	Policies which address this objective	Related strategic priorities
Objective 10		
Deliver the infrastructure requirements of the districts future population – including access to high speed broadband.	S1, S2, P5, IN1, IN2, IN3, IN4, IN5, IN7, IN8, IN9, IN10, IN11, IM1.	SP6, SP8, SP13, SP14, SP15
Objective 11		
Protect the vitality, identity and setting of the villages - by safeguarding important areas of open land and enabling access to key community facilities and services.	S1, S2, S3, RT9, IN2, IN5, IN7, NE1, IM1.	SP11
Objective 12		
Protect, enhance, restore and maintain important natural resources, in and adjoining the district - including wildlife, soil, air quality and geological resources, and the network of habitats and designated sites.	S1, S2, IN2, NE2, NE3, NE4, IM1.	SP12, SP14, SP10
Objective 13		
Encourage new development to be water sensitive by addressing water efficiency, protecting and enhancing the natural environment and reducing flood risk and pollution, whilst at the same time ensuring the effective design and location of sustainable urban drainage systems (SuDS) and naturalising the river environment to create a more attractive healthy environment for residents.	S1, S2, CC1, CC2, CC3, CC4, IM1.	SP6
Objective 14		
Conserve and enhance the quality of the district's landscape character and key landscape features - by positively addressing National Character Area profiles and landscape policy actions within the Sherwood and Magnesian Limestone landscape areas through the design and location of new developments.	S1, S2, S3, NE1, IM1.	SP11

Appendix 3 – Table A5.1 Housing trajectory (Modification MM97)

	Completions						Next five years																			
Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20						
	13/14	14/15	15/16	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	29/30	30/31	31/32	32/33	Plan period	Post plan	Total			
Large Site Completions - Sites not included in HELAA	112	59	83																		254		254			
Small Sites – Sites below HELAA threshold (5 dwellings)	27	27	65	92	55	81		35	35	36											453		453			
Windfall Allowance											38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	380		380			
Mansfield Urban Area – Completed	104	130	152	205	61	129	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	781	0	781			
Mansfield Urban Area – Permission Granted	0	0	33	35	96	181	292	352	330	288	255	209	232	207	155	155	145	115	85	85	3250	346	3614			
Mansfield Urban Area - Pending Decision	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49	97	68	56	57	50	50	34	5	466	0	466			
Mansfield Urban Area - Proposed Allocations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	70	250	255	298	300	298	314	285	156	125	2386	555	2941			
Warsop Parish – Completed	54	39	59	41	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	219	0	219			
Warsop Parish - Permission Granted	0	0	0	0	0	7	10	38	53	40	40	71	60	40	40	40	40	10	0	0	489	0	489			
Warsop Parish - Pending Decision	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	10	10	10	10	10	10	7	0	82	0	82			
Warsop Parish - Proposed Allocations	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	10	15	11	0	0	0	49	0	49			
Gross Completions	297	255	392	373	238	398	337	425	419	363	403	632	705	661	609	613	608	508	320	253	8809	919	9728			
Losses	2	1	1	6	1	7	26	1				38									83	0	83			
Net Completions	295	254	391	367	237	391	311	424	419	363	403	594	705	661	609	613	608	508	320	253	8726	919	9645			
Cumulative Net Completions	295	549	940	1307	1544	1935	2246	2670	3089	3452	3855	4449	5154	5815	6424	7037	7645	8153	8473	8726						
Annual Requirement	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	325	6500					
Cumulative Requirement	325	650	975	1300	1625	1950	2275	2600	2925	3250	3575	3900	4225	4550	4875	5200	5525	5850	6175	6500						
Monitor - no of dwellings above or below annual requirement	-30	-71	66	42	-88	66	-14	99	94	38	78	269	380	336	284	288	283	183	-5	-72						
Manage - Annual requirement taking account of cumulative net completions	325	327	331	327	325	330	326	327	319	310	305	294	256	192	114	15	-134	-382	-827	-1973						

Appendix 4 – Supporting Text (*After Figure A8.1 Pleasley Hill Farm master plan*) (Modification MM98)

The development of the Pleasley site will include commercial uses on land that will be located across both sides of the Mansfield and Ashfield Regeneration Route (MARR). The Council expects these commercial areas to be designed to a high standard and as part of demonstrating the quality and robustness of design proposals, applicants will need to demonstrate that a series of options have been thoroughly explored.

Three key design principles will guide design proposals:

- Human scale;
- Ease of pedestrian and cycle movement, including across the MARR; and
- Creating a local connection.

A strong emphasis will be placed on creating an environment that is of a human scale where the need for vehicular access does not compromise those on foot and those on a bicycle. This will include a strong movement strategy for enabling people to cross the MARR easily, directly and comfortably. The character of the MARR will need to change as it passed through the allocated site area; calming vehicle speeds and creating a more human scale environment. Options may include the use of tree planting, the use of different surface materials and street furniture (such as more modestly scaled lighting columns), carriageway narrowing, in line parking (which in turn will support the vitality of the commercial facilities) and gateway features. Applicants will be expected to work closely with the Council and the Highways Authority to explore options which will be informed by appropriate precedents.

Particular attention must be afforded to the accessibility of these commercial uses, in particular access by foot and by bicycle from adjacent residential areas. To ensure a high degree of accessibility on foot and by bicycle the connections between residential development and the commercial areas will require careful consideration to ensure that routes are direct, convenient, generous, attractive, well-lit and overlooked; thereby guarding against isolated, non-direct and unpleasant routes that pass to the rear of buildings and associated service areas. The arrangement of commercial buildings and plots must not compromise the need to create a permeable block structure that allows direct connections to be made. In addition, the design of the commercial area must ensure that those arriving by bike are afforded secure and overlooked parking facilities that are located as close to the entrance as car parking spaces.

A strong, Forest inspired landscape strategy will create a local connection. Where appropriate, this will sensitively and creatively integrate the surface water management strategy.

Appendix 5 – Table A13.1 Monitoring Framework (Modification MM100)

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
S1: Presumption in favour of sustainable development	All	No indicators proposed as policy sets out approach to take towards planning applications and is unlikely to be used to determine planning applications.			
S2: The spatial strategy	All	Net additional dwellings completed by location ¹ .	Meeting local housing need when assessed on a three year rolling average.	95% of target 85% of target 75% of target 50% of target	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand issues affecting policy delivery. Development of further supplementary guidance. If net additional dwellings against a three year rolling average is:
		Net additional economic land.	41ha		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> below 95%– prepare Action Plan below 85% - require a buffer of 20% on the five year housing land supply below 75%– apply presumption in favour of sustainable development below 50% - partially review Local Plan
		Net additional retail floor space by location and type.	Targets and distribution in accordance with S2 2c	50% of retail growth delivered not in accordance with S2 2c	
		No. of years supply of deliverable specific housing sites.	5 years supply including any shortfall and an appropriate buffer depending on past delivery.	Less than 4 years supply for 3 years in a row	
		Supply of deliverable / developable employment land.	10 years supply	Less than 5 years supply	
Policy S3: Development in the countryside	1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11 and 14	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy ² .	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.

¹ The Housing Monitoring Report will continue to set out a range of indicators including: no. of homes with extant planning permission (full and outline) and the no. of homes under construction.

² This will include identifying the nature of the application, the route of decision (i.e. LPA decision or appeal) and reasons granted planning permission.

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
S4: Supporting economy and housing growth through urban regeneration	1 and 2	No. of additional dwellings on brownfield land.	Increase		<p>Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to development of brownfield land in Mansfield district.</p> <p>Seek additional gap funding.</p> <p>Consider use of Council powers including Brownfield Register/PiP, LDO and CPO.</p> <p>Development of further supplementary planning guidance.</p>
		Amount of additional economic land on brownfield land.	Increase		
		Amount of net additional retail floor space on brownfield land.	Increase		
S5: Delivering key regeneration sites	1, 2 and 6	Progress towards development of identified sites. ³	<p>Progress in the preparation of master plan/ design briefs for the site.</p> <p>Planning Application submitted</p> <p>Planning Permission granted.</p> <p>Delivery of the regeneration sites</p>	<p>Substantial delay to preparation of master plan/ design briefs for the site.</p> <p>No progress made on the regeneration sites within the first 10 years of the plan period.</p>	<p>Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to development of identified sites.</p> <p>Development of a town centre masterplan and further supplementary guidance.</p> <p>Seek additional gap funding.</p> <p>Consider use of Council powers including Brownfield Register/PiP, LDO and CPO.</p>
Policy P1: Achieving high quality design	4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9	% of major non-residential applications approved contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	<p>Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.</p> <p>Development of further supplementary guidance.</p> <p>Partial review of the Local Plan.</p>
		% of major residential applications approved with red scores on Buildings for Life assessment.	0%	30% of major residential applications approved with red assessments against Buildings for Life	
		Progress with adoption of Design SPD	Progress as per agreed timetable.	Substantial delay to timetable	
		% of major planning applications where a design review was carried out.	100%	30% of planning applications where a design review is not carried out	
Policy P2: Safe, healthy and attractive development	4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.

³ Key stages to be confirmed following review of sites.

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
		% of qualifying applications which submit a Health Impact Assessment	100%	30% of qualifying applications failing to submit a Health Impact Assessment	Development of further supplementary guidance. Partial review of the Local Plan.
Policy P3: Connected development	5, 7 and 9	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Partial review of the Local Plan.
P4: Comprehensive development	1, 2, 5 and 9	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		% of large sites (5ha or more or 150 dwellings) granted planning permission where a masterplan has been agreed	100%	30% of large sites without an agreed masterplan	Development of further supplementary guidance. Partial review of the Local Plan.
P5: Climate change and new development	5, 7, 8, 9 and 10	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Partial review of the Local Plan.
P6: Home extensions and alterations	5	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
P7: Amenity	5 and 7	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
P8: Shop front design and signage	4	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
		No. of grants made through the Shop Fronts scheme	Information only		Development of further supplementary guidance.
H1: Housing allocations	1 and 3	Progress with delivery of identified sites. Key stages: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EIA Screening (if required) Submission of application Determination of application Agreement of s106 Approval of Reserved Matters Commencement of development Site complete 	Progress as per identified timetable	Substantial delay to timetable	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to development of identified sites.
		No. of homes completed	Progress as per identified trajectory	Covered by other indicators	Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan.
H2: Committed Sites	1 and 3	Net completed dwellings on identified sites.	Progress as per Local Plan trajectory.	Covered by other indicators	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to development of identified sites. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan.
H3: Housing Density and Mix	3, 5 and 7	Average density of major residential planning permissions	Information only		Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		Mix of house types on major residential planning permissions	Information only		
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Development of further supplementary guidance.
H4: Affordable housing	3	Number of Affordable homes completed	55dpa when assessed on a three year rolling average	75% or less of annual target when assessed on a three year rolling average	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand reasons affordable housing is substantially below target.
		Number on housing waiting list	Reduce	Substantial increase	
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
		Number of affordable homes granted planning permission	Information only		result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Partial review of the Local Plan.
H5: Custom and Self Build	3 and 5	Number of custom or self-build homes completed	Increase Information only		Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand reasons delivery of custom and self-build plots is substantially below level required. Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Partial review of the Local Plan.
		Number of custom or self-build plots granted planning permission	Delivery of sufficient plots with planning permission to meet need identified on self/custom build register. Information only	30% of qualifying sites granted planning permission without inclusion of custom or self-build plots	
		Number of people on self-build register	Information only		
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	
H6: Specialist Housing	2 and 3	Number of net additional C2 beds granted planning permission	Increase		Work with partners, developers and land owners to understand barriers to delivery of C2 uses. Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	
H7: Homes in multiple occupation	1, 3 and 5	Net additional HMOs granted planning permission	Information only		Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
		Number of HMO licenses granted	Information only		
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	
H8: Accommodation for Gypsies, Travellers and travelling show people	3	Net additional pitches / sites delivered.	3 pitches	Target date to be established through preparation of DPD.	Review and address reasons for lack of progress with DPD.
		Delivery of transit site	1 transit site	Target date to be established through preparation of DPD.	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to delivery of pitches and transit site.
		Number of Travelling Show People plots delivered.	Increase		

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
		Progress towards adoption of DPD: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reg 18 consultation Reg 19 consultation Submission to SoS Examination Adoption 	Identified dates for key stages	Substantial delay at key stages	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	
Policy E1: Enabling economic development	1 and 2	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	<p>Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to delivery of economic development site.</p> <p>Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.</p> <p>Development of further supplementary guidance.</p>
Policy E2: Sites allocated as new employment areas	1 and 2	Progress with delivery of identified sites. Key stages: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EIA Screening (if required) Submission of application Determination of application Agreement of s106 Approval of Reserved Matters Commencement of development Site complete 	Progress as per identified timetable	Substantial delay to timetable	<p>Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to development of identified sites.</p> <p>Development of further supplementary guidance.</p> <p>Seek additional gap funding.</p> <p>Partial review of local plan.</p>
		Amount of employment land (ha) completed on site	Information only		
Policy E3: Retaining land for employment uses	1 and 2	Development completed on Key Employment Areas	Information only		Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to delivery of economic development sites.
		Planning applications granted on Key Employment Areas	Information only		
		Vacancy rate on key employment areas	Reduce	Substantial increase in vacancy rate on a key employment area	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Partial review of the Local Plan.
Policy E4: Other industrial and business development	1 and 2	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
Policy E5: Improving skills and economic inclusion	2	% of major schemes where a local labour agreement is secured.	50%	30% of major schemes approved without a local labour agreement.	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to delivery of local labour agreements. Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
RT1: Main town centres	1 and 6	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		% of retail applications of 500sqm or more without an Impact Assessment	0%	30% of 500sqm plus retail applications granted without an Impact Assessment	Development of further supplementary guidance.
RT2: Mansfield Town centre strategy	1 and 6	Venue score ranking ⁴	Improve	Substantial worsening of ranking	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to improving Mansfield town centre.
		% of town centre units vacant ⁵	Reduce	Substantial increase in town centre vacancy rates	

⁴ Due to cost this will be obtained every three years.

⁵ Town centre health checks will be carried out annually and published as part of the Retail Monitoring Report.

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
		Progress with preparation of town centre masterplan / investment framework.	Preparation in accordance with key stages ⁶	Substantial delay to preparation.	Review town centre vision and / or development of further supplementary guidance. Preparation of Town Centre Masterplan Partial review of the Local Plan.
RT3: Mansfield town centre primary shopping area	1 and 6	% of ground floor units in non-A1 use	No more than 25% in primary frontages No more than 50% in secondary frontages		Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to improving Mansfield town centre.
		Loss of units of 500sqm or more from A1 use	Zero		Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		Instances of continuous frontage of non-A1 units	Zero instances of 3 or more in primary frontages Zero instances of 4 or more in secondary frontages		Review of town centre vision and / or development of further supplementary guidance.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Preparation of Town Centre Masterplan Partial review of the Local Plan.
RT4: Mansfield town centre improvements	1, 4, 5 and 6	Progress with enhancements to Old Town Hall, Four Seasons Shopping Centre, Rosemary Centre and Beales Department Store.	Information only		Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to improving Mansfield town centre. Review of town centre vision and / or development of further supplementary guidance. Preparation of Town Centre Masterplan Seek additional gap funding.
RT5: Accessing Mansfield town centre	6 and 9	Details of improvements secured as part of major development proposals	N/a	None	None

⁶ Key stages to be confirmed following scoping work

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
RT6: Retail and leisure allocations	1 and 6	Progress with development of identified sites <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EIA Screening (if required) Submission of application Determination of application Agreement of s106 Approval of Reserved Matters Commencement of development Site complete 	Information only		Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to development of identified sites. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding.
RT7: Retail and leisure commitments	1 and 6	Progress with development of identified sites <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of Reserved Matters (if applicable) Commencement of development Site complete 	Progress as per agreed timetable	Substantial delay to timetable	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to development of identified sites. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding.
RT8: District and Local Centres	6	% of A1 retail use within centres	A1 remains at 40%	A1 is no longer the predominate use	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to improving district and local centres. Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Partial review of the Local Plan.
		% of town centre units vacant	Reduce	Substantial increase in town centre vacancy rates	
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy	0%	Substantial % of applications granted contrary to policy	
RT9: Neighbourhood Parades	6, 7 and 11	% of A1 retail use within parades	A1 remains at 40%	A1 is no longer the predominate use	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to improving neighbourhood parades. Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
		% of units vacant	Reduce	Substantial increase in vacancy rates	
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	
		% of units vacant	Information only		
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
RT10: Hot food takeaways	5 and 7	No. of applications for A5 uses approved within 400m of a secondary school or college.	Zero	Substantial number of applications approved within 400m	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Development of further supplementary guidance.
RT11: Visitor economy	1 and 6	Number of new hotel rooms / floor space of visitor accommodation built.	Information only		Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to provision of tourist and visitor facilities in district.
		Details of new visitor and tourist attractions provided	Information only		
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
SUE1: Pleasley Hill Farm	1, 2 and 3	Progress with delivery of site. Key stages: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EIA Screening (if required) Agreement of masterplan Submission of application Determination of application Agreement of s106 Approval of Reserved Matters Commencement of development Site complete 	Progress as per agreed timetable	Substantial delay to timetable	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to development of site. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan
		No. of homes completed	Progress as per identified trajectory	Covered by other indicators	
		Amount of retail floorspace provided	Progress as per identified trajectory	Covered by other indicators	
		Amount of economic ha provided	Progress as per identified trajectory	Covered by other indicators	

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
SUE2: Land off Jubilee Way	1, 2 and 3	Progress with delivery of site. Key stages: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> EIA Screening (if required) Agreement of masterplan Submission of application Determination of application Agreement of s106 Approval of Reserved Matters Commencement of development Site complete 	Progress as per agreed timetable	Substantial delay to timetable	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to development of site.
		No. of homes completed	Progress as per identified trajectory	Covered by other indicators	Development of further supplementary guidance.
		Amount of retail floorspace provided	Progress as per identified trajectory	Covered by other indicators	Seek additional gap funding.
		Amount of economic ha / floorspace provided	Progress as per identified trajectory	Covered by other indicators	Partial review of the Local Plan
SUE3: Committed strategic urban extensions	1, 2 and 3	Progress with development of identified sites <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of Reserved Matters (if applicable) Commencement of development Site complete 	Progress as per agreed timetable	Substantial delay to timetable	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to development of identified sites.
		No. of homes completed	Progress as per identified trajectory	Covered by other indicators	Seek additional gap funding.
		Covered by other indicators	Amount of retail floorspace provided	Progress as per identified trajectory	Partial review of the Local Plan
		Amount of employment ha / floorspace provided	Progress as per identified trajectory	Covered by other indicators	
IN1: Infrastructure delivery	8, 9 and 10	Progress with delivery of priority infrastructure required in district	Provision of priority infrastructure	Failure to provided priority infrastructure	Work with partners, developers and land owners to through the developer forum and growth delivery group understand barriers to provision of priority infrastructure.

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan
IN2: Green Infrastructure	7, 9, 10, 11 and 12	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to provision of green infrastructure. Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding.
IN3: Protection of community open space and outdoor sports provision	7 and 10	Net change in ha of community open space and sports provision identified for protection in the Local Plan ⁷	No net loss of those identified for protection in the Local Plan	Substantial loss of open space and sports provision	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
		% of major residential planning permissions which accord with Mansfield Green Space Standard	100%	30% of major residential planning permissions which do not accord with Mansfield Green Space Standard	Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan
		% of applications granted contrary to the recommendations in the Playing Pitch Strategy	0%		
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	Substantial % of applications granted contrary to policy	
IN4: Creation of community open space and outdoor	7 and 10	Details of new community open space and sports provision	Information only		Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not

⁷ Includes replacements for the loss of those identified in the Local Plan. Fully new open space is monitored under IN4.

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
sports provision in new development		% of major residential planning permissions which accord with Mansfield Green Space Standard	100%	30% of major residential planning permissions which do not accord with Mansfield Green Space Standard	result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan
IN5: Protection and creation of allotments	7, 10 and 11	Net change in allotments (ha).	No net loss (ha)	Substantial loss of allotments (ha)	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to provision of allotments.
		Number of people on waiting list.	Reduce	Substantial increase in numbers on waiting list	
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding.
IN6: Designated local green space	7	loss of designated local green space	No loss	Substantial loss of local green space	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	
		Details of planning permissions granted on Local Green Space	Information only		Partial review of the Local Plan
IN7: Local shops, community and cultural facilities	7, 10 and 11	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
IN8: Protecting and improving the sustainable transport network	9 and 10	Progress with delivery of identified transport schemes	Progress as per agreed timetable	Substantial delays to agreed timetable	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to delivering highway improvements
		No. of new planning permissions for residential, employment and retail within 400m of a bus stop.	Information only		Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		% of new dwellings and retail and employment floorspace within 400m of a train station.	Information only		Development of further supplementary guidance.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	Substantial % of applications granted contrary to policy	Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan
IN9: Impact of development on the transport network	9 and 10	Number and type of incidents in Mansfield	Reduce	Substantial increase in incidents	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Development of further supplementary guidance.
IN10: Car and cycle parking	9 and 10	Progress with adoption of Parking Standards SPD	Progress in accordance with agreed timetable	Substantial delay with progress of Parking Standards SPD	Seek additional gap funding.
		Number of electric charging points within district accessible to the public.	Increase		Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Development of further supplementary guidance.

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
IN11: Telecommunications and broadband	10	Average broadband speed in Mansfield district.	Information only		Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to providing telecommunications and broadband infrastructure in Mansfield.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding.
NE1: Protection and enhancement of landscape character	11 and 14	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan
NE2: Biodiversity and geodiversity	7, 8 and 12	Biodiversity	Net gain in biodiversity reflecting DEFRA biodiversity metric	Insufficient net gain in biodiversity.	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand barriers to protection/enhancement of biodiversity and geodiversity.
		Net change in ha of LWS, LGS and LNR	No net loss	Substantial net loss	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		% of LWS / LGS in positive management ⁸	Information only		Development of further supplementary guidance.

⁸ Include caveats as only monitors council owned sites

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
		Details of habitat areas created by new development	Information only		Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan
		Change in ha of SSSIs	No loss		
		No. of planning permissions granted within SSSI impact zones ⁹ .	Information only		
		% of major applications with management plans (where relevant) for habitats, species and designated sites.	100%		
		Change in Ancient Woodland	No loss	Substantial loss	
		Number of applications granted within 400m of ppSPA	Information only		
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	Substantial % of applications granted contrary to policy	
NE3: Pollution and land instability	12	Ha of land that is contaminated as defined by Part 2a of the Environmental Protection Act (1990)	Information only		Undertake monitoring of air quality. Follow actions required by designation of an AQMA. Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance.
		Air quality modelling	PM _{2.5} no more than 10µgm ³	Substantial worsening of air quality	
		No. of AQMAs designated within the district	Zero	Designation of AQMA	
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	Substantial % of applications granted contrary to policy	
NE4: Mineral Safeguarding Areas	12	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
HE1: Historic environment	4	% of districts heritage assets classified as 'at risk'.	Reduce	Substantial increase in heritage assets classed as 'at risk'	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand reasons for increased risk Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not
		Date of most recent Conservation Area Appraisal	Information only		
		No. of applications approved against Historic England advice	Information only		

⁹ Assessed using http://magic.gov.uk/Metadata_for_magic/SSSI%20IRZ%20User%20Guidance%20MAGIC.pdf

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan
HE2: Pleasley Vale Regeneration Area	1, 2 and 4	% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding.
CC1: Renewable and low carbon energy generation	8	Details of applications renewable and low carbon energy ¹⁰	Information only		Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding.
CC2: Flood Risk	8 and 13	Number of applications granted against Environment Agency advice	0	Substantial number approved against Environment Agency advice	Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.
		Number of applications approved in Flood Zone 2,3a or 3b	Information only	N/a	Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding.
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	Partial review of the Local Plan
CC3: Sustainable drainage systems	8 and 13	Number of planning permissions which incorporate SUDs.	Information only		Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal.

¹⁰ Details to include type of renewable or low carbon energy and installed capacity

Policy	Objective	Indicator	Target	Trigger	Possible Remedial Actions
		Number of applications within surface water high risk areas.	Information only		Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding. Partial review of the Local Plan
CC4: Protection, restoration and enhancement of river and waterbody corridors	8 and 13	Details of de-culverting schemes taken forward	Information only		Review relevant applications to establish reasons non-compliance with policy did not result in refusal. Development of further supplementary guidance. Seek additional gap funding.
		No. of applications approved in Green SUDs Priority Areas	Information only		
		No. of applications approved in low flow areas	Information only		
		Details of schemes to re-naturalise the River Maun	Information only		
		Quality of water bodies assessed through the Water Framework Directive	No deterioration	Substantial deterioration	
		% of planning applications granted contrary to policy.	0%	30% of applications granted contrary to policy	
IM1: Monitoring and review of the Local Plan	All	Review of Local Plan	Complete no more than 5 years from date of adoption	Delay of review beyond five years from date of adoption	Work with partners, developers and land owners through the developer forum and growth delivery group to understand issues affecting delivery. Review new evidence to establish if it significantly impacts on the evidence underpinning the local plans and the choices made in its preparation. Partial or full review of the Local Plan.
		Net additional dwellings	Meeting local housing need when assessed on a three year rolling average.	50%	
		Supply of deliverable specific housing sites.	5 years supply including any shortfall and an appropriate buffer depending on past delivery.	Below 4 years for 3 years in a row	
		Availability of new evidence.	New evidence becomes available.	New evidence becomes available which significantly impacts on the Local Plan	
		Progress with key sites.	Progress with sites as identified	Substantial delays on key sites	